THE DEVELOPMENT AND PROPERTIES
OF RAW COTTON
THE WORLD'S COTTON CROPS

BY

JOHN A. TODD, B.L.

PROFESSOR OF ECONOMICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, NOTTINGHAM

FORMERLY OF THE KHEDIVAL SCHOOL OF LAW, CAIRO

Large crown 8vo., cloth, with 32 pages of illustrations, 10 maps, and several diagrams

Price 10s. net

The writer of this volume has endeavoured to provide a comprehensive survey of the production and consumption of the raw material which provides nine-tenths of the world's clothing, as well as furnishing and decorative materials, and of endless other new and varied industries from typewriter ribbons to aeroplane sails. The point of view is that of the economist, not the botanist. The uses of cotton seed and the various trades into which it enters, from margarine and "olive" oil to soap and cattle cake, are also briefly described.

AGENTS

AMERICA . . . . THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
14 & 16 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK

AUSTRALASIA . . OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
90, FLINDERS LANE, MELBOURNE

CANADA . . . . THE MACMILLAN COMPANY OF CANADA, LTD.
ST. MARTIN'S HOUSE, 72 BOND STREET, TORONTO

INDIA . . . . . MACMILLAN & COMPANY, LTD.
MACMILLAN BUILDING, BOMBAY
302 BOW BAZAAR STREET, CALCUTTA
PLATE I.—THE FIRST PURE COTTON.

This photograph shows the first commercial sample of pure-strain cotton passing through a full-sized power-gin, at the Gilg Cotton Experiment Station (Egyptian Government). December 30, 1913.
THE DEVELOPMENT
AND PROPERTIES OF
RAW COTTON

BY

W. LAWRENCE BALLS, M.A. (CANTAB.)
LATE FELLOW OF ST. JOHN'S COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE
FORMERLY BOTANIST TO THE KHEDIVIAL AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY OF
CAIRO, AND TO THE EGYPTIAN GOVERNMENT AGRICULTURAL
DEPARTMENT

WITH SIXTEEN FULL-PAGE ILLUSTRATIONS
AND TWENTY DIAGRAMS IN THE TEXT

A. & C. BLACK, LTD.
4, 5 & 6 SOHO SQUARE, LONDON, W.
1915
The seal of the Old Khedivial Agricultural Society constitutes the cover design, by permission, in tribute to former colleagues.
PREFACE

The simple story of a cotton-fibre has been made difficult in the past, because of a general disinclination to recognize the law-abiding habits of plants, and it has not been made easier by the tendency to regard cotton as a special gift of Nature, destined to man’s use. Further, our knowledge of cotton has lagged far behind our knowledge of other useful plants, because it was but rarely that the trained student found himself living in the cotton areas, and it was still less often that—so living—he possessed the necessary tools whereby to exercise his craft; lastly—having the tools—he ran the risk of obsession by the financial significance of the cotton-plant.

The main purpose of this book is to present the history of the development of cotton-lint, for although this development is essentially normal and simple, it may possibly be of some ultimate use that the mystery which has enwrapped it should be removed.

Accessory to this purpose it has been needful to indicate the manner of the development of the plant on which this lint is borne. In doing this I have taken occasion to point out some of the more recent views and methods which the "organized common sense" of natural science has brought to bear on cotton, and also to indicate the practical bearings of such views and methods.

It cannot be denied that the latter aim of this book
is the more difficult. Practice draws average lines of conduct through the medley of practical considerations, and except in the cultivation of Pure Strains, and the shortening of the Picking Intervals—both of which are too expensive to employ except on high-priced cottons—the researches have resulted in little of immediate applicability. I have endeavoured, however, to leave the matter in such a form as will enable the results of future scientific researches on other plants, and on animals also, to be fitted to the special case of the cotton-plant with as little waste of time and trouble as may be.

My greatest difficulty has been due to the very limited appeal which my subject makes to a very wide audience, whom it is nevertheless desirable to reach. The possibility of a purely popular treatment in this book was rejected as too remote, besides being dangerous with a relatively unfinished topic. On the other hand, all technicalities and jargon outside those pertaining to cotton have been deleted wherever it was practicable to do so. Some care has been taken to facilitate perusal by the employment of varied type, and by the use of marginal notes indicating the main interest of paragraphs as relating to the seed, growing, irrigation, ginning, grading, and spinning of cotton.

Comparatively few references are made to the writings of previous authors, and this has been done deliberately, because the subject has suffered severely from injudicious copying of accepted statements without verification. A list of the chief works read is appended.

Three causes have led to this comparative independence of treatment. In the first place should be set the researches and influence of Mr. F. F. Blackman, Reader in Botany in Cambridge University, which have revolutionized our knowledge of the workings of plants, and reconstituted the available data. The author was one of those
who first applied Mr. Blackman's methods to the study of Growth, first of a fungus under the microscope, and then tentatively to cotton-plants growing in the open field.

Secondly, a statistical repetition of O'Neill's work on the breaking strain of cotton lint hairs, made by Mr. F. Hughes, Chemist to the Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture, was of very great use. Mr. Hughes ascertained the precise significance of such results, and showed from this that an unexpectedly small number of hairs was sufficient to give useful figures. It having thus been shown that single-fibre testing was worth doing, an automatic machine for doing it was the natural outcome, and we now know not only the value of such tests, but also their useless features.

Thirdly, my own system of routine records of field crop, in the form of Plant-Development Curves, accumulated from 1904 to 1914, has provided abundant material from which check data could be drawn as occasion arose.

The scope of this volume may be criticized as embodying much that should properly find its place in scientific journals only. It must not be forgotten, however; that the greater number of its probable readers have not the opportunity to consult reference libraries, although the subject of "Cotton" in all its manifestations is far more of an entity to them than that of botany. That this association should have been injurious to research in the past is not a valid reason for withholding information from the growers and users of the future cotton crops.

W. LAWRENCE BALLS.

Little Shelford,
Cambridge.
January 1, 1915.
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THE DEVELOPMENT AND
PROPERTIES OF RAW COTTON

CHAPTER I

THE DEVELOPMENT OF PEDIGREE

The reader of a book dealing with the various kinds of raw cotton, or with the cotton trade, will notice a very large number of tolerably confusing names—Oomrawattees, Uplands, Islands, Nyasaland, and so forth. If he is engaged in the manufacturing side of the trade, many of these will be familiar to him, but possibly without any conception of the different kinds of plant on which they are borne. If the grower’s side of the trade is his affair, a few of them will be very familiar; but he might even fail to recognize plants of unfamiliar kinds for cotton-plants at all.

Reference to works dealing with the botany of cotton may easily bewilder any reader of an inquiring turn of mind. Either the multiplicity of names leaves him with the impression that these things are better left to botanists, or on further inquiry he finds that different names are given to the same kind of cotton. In point of fact, the main outlines of the Systematic Botany of the cultivated
cottons are relatively simple, though the details are almost incapable of resolution.

The object of all classificatory, or systematic, biology was, in the first instance, to provide a designation for every known organism by which it could be conveniently mentioned without circumlocution. At a later date the idea of a common ancestry for those forms which were closely similar took root and grew until the old purpose of mere convenience was overshadowed by the purpose of tracing relationships. For many years after the "Origin of Species" was published this newer purpose was productive mainly of argument, but the present century has seen a revival of experiment in this direction, with consequent advances in knowledge.

The end and aim of such inquiry is thus the construction of a genealogical tree which shall show the evolution of each organism from extinct or surviving ancestors. Such a tree has the advantage of being pictorial, therefore easily memorized, and serving a more definite purpose than the assignment of names which—to the trade, at least—are merely useless duplicates of easier names.

The genealogy of any cultivated crop is necessarily intricate, owing to transport of seed from one country to another, and to natural or artificial crossing of stocks thus obtained, with the consequent formation of commercial varieties which embody not only the original wild varieties, but many compounds of elements inherited fractionally from them.
PLATE II.—SENNAR TREE-COTTON.

One twentieth natural size. For description see Sir G. Watt's "Wild and Cultivated Cottons."
This intricacy is shown by cotton at least as much as by any other crop, but only in details. The main outlines are quite simple in so far as the commercial cottons are concerned, especially since some of the most obvious differences are really of little importance; thus, although Tree cotton and Annual cotton would appear to be very primary divisions for the genus, the actual differences are but slight: a difference of a few degrees in the relation of growth to temperature, the constitutional power to develop one lateral bud instead of another, or even a change of district only, and the annual becomes a tree, or conversely. Similarly, the smoothness or “fuzziness” of the seed, which has been ridden to death in some schemes of classification, is almost an accident; various forms of the accidental result happen to be commoner in some species than in others, but naked-seeded forms are known now in all the commercial cottons, having probably arisen as sudden “sports.”

In constructing a genealogical tree, we are compelled to take some account of its trunk, or, for our purpose, of the primitive cottons; but to do more than glance at them would carry us into regions of controversy. The original ancestor of cotton was probably a hairy annual plant, with rounded leaves, yellow flowers blotched with crimson and surrounded by three green leaves, ripening a fruit divided into five or more compartments, each containing seeds covered with a green felt. Even to postulate such an ancestor
is to sail dangerously near controversy, but the fate of its descendants is less uncertain.

The other characters of this primitive cotton were those common to related genera within the suborder Gossypioe (or Hibisceae) of the order Malvaceae, which is very definitely distinct from the other suborders, and includes such obviously cotton-like plants as Hibiscus and Abutilon. All have capsule fruits, as distinguished from the one-seeded fruitlets of the Mallows, and, in common with all the Malvaceae, they possess a staminal column, formed by the united development of many stamens into a tube which surrounds the style, and bears a brush of stamens externally.

At the present day certain wild cottons are found which represent the descendants of this primitive ancestor not so very much altered, such as the wild species Gossypium sturtii in Australia. The existence of this latter form indicates that the genus was definitely cotton-like and probably widely spread before the Australian continent was isolated from Eurasia, so that cotton is by no means a new genus, even from a geological standpoint.

The modifications which led to the cultivated cottons of to-day may be sketched as follows:

At an early stage the offspring of some primitive cotton-plants threw off a group having leaves cut into rounded lobes, and of only moderate size. From this stock are descended the plants of the various species of the "Asiatic cottons," comprising the majority of cultivated Indian cottons, Levant cottons with the extinct cotton of mediaeval Northern Egypt,
and some indigenous African tree cottons (Fig. 1). The evolution of "lint" had possibly taken place before this group-parent was thrown off.

Probably much later in the world's history the primitive stock threw off another modification, in which the leaves were larger and more or less cut into pointed lobes, the blotch of crimson on each flower was rather smaller, and the whole plant was less wiry than in the Asiatic group.

![Cotton Leaves](image)

**FIG. 1.—COTTON LEAVES. (VERY DIAGRAMMATIC.)**

To illustrate the general differences in form and size between the three main groups of cotton-plants. Above, Asiatic; left, Upland; right, Peruvian.

While forms which may well represent the primitive ancestor of the Asiatic group are still surviving, no apparent representative of this next offshoot is known. In all probability it did not long exist as a separate form, but underwent another pedigree-cleavage, into plants with more deeply cut leaves which retained the yellow flower, and plants with the less cut leaves which lost the yellow flower colour (Fig. 1 and Pl. III.). The descendants
of the two branches of this cleavage have given rise at the present day to the "Peruvian group" and the "Uplands group" respectively. The former embraces the Sea Islands, Peruvian, and Egyptian cottons; while the latter is commonly typified by American Uplands, Cambodia, and the Hindi Weed cotton of Egypt. The origin of the former group was probably in Central America, while Persia or China is indicated as the original habitat of the latter. It should be remembered that the form from which we have designated the latter group is entitled to the honour only on account of its commercial importance, having been imported to America from Asia.

It would be interesting to attempt to follow the subdivisions of the genealogical tree through the ages, but such discussion would be nine-tenths pure speculation, eked out by fragments of evidence from dried specimens, from the atlas, and from the beginnings of experimental work on heredity in cotton, by which the inherited structural components are slowly being analyzed out and traced to their source. For our present purpose it will suffice to leave the matter at the simple conception of three main branches (Pl. IV.), with a few slender twigs coming off at intervals to hint to us what the nature of the extinct ancestors might have been.

We may next discuss the origin of the lint itself (Pl. V.), and of its accompanying "fuzz."

The most primitive of the surviving cottons vary in their seed coatings from a single coat of fuzz to fully
differentiated fuzz and lint. Whether the modern lint is the primitive fuzz enlarged, with a new kind of fuzz below it, or whether the lint is a new development above the primitive fuzz, is not easily ascertainable, and it is, indeed, quite possible that evolution may have taken place in both ways. That there is very little essential difference between fuzz and lint is quite certain, and in any case the original evolution must have taken place by the formation of a new layer of seed hairs. Both lint and fuzz exhibit similar colourings, due to closely similar—if not identical—chemical substances, through greens and browns to white. It may not be generally known that cotton with lint of a vivid emerald green is sometimes found in American Upland, and is known as "Texas wool." The behaviour of these colours on crossing is the same in lint and fuzz, as also is the distribution of lint and fuzz on the seed.

From the primitive cotton-seed, with its coating of fuzz, there thus evolved a seed with two coatings, and it may be of interest to consider the effect of such evolution upon the chance of the plant in the struggle for existence.

It has been repeatedly asserted that the lint is an adaptation for wind distribution, but the probability of this statement is very dubious. That open bolls may be stripped of their cotton by the wind is undeniable, but there the matter ends, short of a cyclone. The seeds are not blown out one by one as a rule, but in a lock of six or seven; they are too
heavy in proportion to their hair surface to travel more than a foot or two before reaching the ground, and unless the surface on which they fall is very clean and tidy—which it is not under jungle or meadow conditions—the lint rather obstructs than facilitates the further transport of the seed, through entangling by its movements (as it dries or moistens) the projecting portions of any plant or object on which it lies. Moreover, the matted fibres hinder the field germination of the seed, and it is easy to recognize self-sown seedlings which have grown from fallen seed cotton by their emaciated appearance as compared with seedlings from ginned seed. In point of fact, the modern cultivated cottons do not stand the slightest chance under wild conditions in competition with the meanest weeds, although their lint is developed to what should be a highly beneficial degree. On the other hand, though such locks of seed germinate badly, they rarely fail to germinate, owing to the moisture-absorbing properties of the blanket of lint; and it is certain that a less development of lint, insufficient to retard the germinated seedling, but still sufficient to retain plenty of moisture, would give such seed a very good chance of survival in competition with naked seeds under conditions where rainfall was intermittent or scanty. The natural habitat of cotton would thus appear to be land with ample water beneath the surface, which its long tap-root could ultimately reach, but with scanty rainfall. On such sites the cotton-plant would possess decided advantages over many others. In any case, it is time that the cherished fiction of wind dispersal was abandoned.
The subsequent evolution of the fuzz and lint which all the three main groups of the genus possessed from the commencement is best sketched in terms of unit-factor composition, as ascertained by the application of Mendel's law.*

The fuzz in the Asiatic cottons appears to depend on a single factor, which may be lost, and naked-seeded sports or varieties then appear. In the Peruvian and Upland groups there are certainly two factors concerned at least, the loss of one of them producing a seed with fuzz almost entirely confined to the two ends of the seed, and the loss of the other or of both producing an entirely naked seed. The appearance of naked-seeded forms, such as Hindi Weed, within the Upland group, and possibly of naked seeds within Upland varieties themselves, would seem to be due to the modern loss of one factor; while the typical naked or semi-naked seed of the Peruvian group, which is older than history, seems to be due to the loss of the other factor far back in evolutionary history. How far this generalization may go can only be settled by much laborious accumulation of data from the study of hybrids, but it is certainly true in some cases, such as a first cross of naked Hindi Weed with the semi-naked Egyptian, which is covered with fuzz like Uplands, and behaves in later generations in such a way as to show clearly that two factorial elements are involved.

The history of the fuzz seems thus to be one of analysis, the full fuzz of the primitive cotton being progressively split up into simpler forms by the loss of factors. That of the lint is certainly the reverse, new forms appearing by synthetic evolution,† first bearing lint in place of no lint at all, and then

* A general outline of this subject is given in "Mendelism," by Professor R. C. Punnett. London, 1911.
† The appearance of lint, or an increase in its length, may be interpreted as analytical evolution, if the author's views on growth-inhibition are substantiated.
long lint in place of short lint. Similarly, perhaps, the variations in distribution on the seed may have arisen, but more probably the primitive lint originated all over the seed coat, and has become irregular in its distribution by loss of factors, just in the same way as the fuzz, down to sports which are found in American Upland and in Hindi Weed, producing naked seed with neither fuzz nor lint! Similarly, there are indications that mutations may take place in long-linted cottons at the present day, whereby short ancestral lint reappears; but the experimental difficulties in keeping a cotton-plant's pedigree untarnished are so great that it must be many years before any definite statements can be made on this subject.

It may be considered somewhat absurd to state that the lint length in every variety of cotton depends on inherited factors, in that it would seem to demand an endless number of factors, or at least one for every eighth of an inch increase in length. As a matter of fact, no such demand is made; some three lengths at most would cover the whole range of raw cottons, the gradations being provided by a process for which the author has devised the term "Autogenous Fluctuation," as distinct from ordinary Fluctuation due to external circumstances; in this process the manifestation of a character is affected by the inherited nature of the plant body on which it is borne. Thus, if a 1½-inch lint borne on a medium-sized seed is transferred by crossing to a large-seeded plant, it will rise in length to about 1½ inches; and, conversely, if placed in a small-seeded plant, it will fall to 1 inch. Many other similar effects can be traced, due to the inherited size of the boll, the leaf area, branching, and other
PLATE IV.—PLANTS OF THE THREE MAIN GROUPS.

Left: Asiatic type (Saharanpur country cotton). Centre: Upland type (Truitt Big Boll). Right: Peruvian type (Egyptian Abbasi).
Photographs taken at the beginning of the flowering period. One-fifteenth natural size.
more recondite peculiarities. It is to this phenomenon, 
superadded to a very few constitutional changes in length, 
that the whole range of length in different kinds of cotton 
is due.

To the ordinary Fluctuation (Pl. V.), which acts on 
the constitutional basis just described, producing 
differences between the crops in different 
Fluctuation. parts of the same country and in different 
years, we shall advert when discussing the development 
of the fibre in the principal portion of this book.

Commercial Varieties.

The subdivision of the three great divisions of the 
genus Gossypium into those ultimate units in which the 
crop is classified on Cotton Exchanges may be carried 
to very fine distinctions of breed, or it may be very 
rough, according to the social conditions of the country 
of growth.

As a rule, even in the least fine cottons, the trade name 
covers a population of plants which are fairly closely 
related, forming a "subspecies" of the genus, though a 
different name may be given in the trade to the produce 
of the same subspecies when grown in another district. 
In any serious cultivation, however, and especially in 
the finer cottons, the subspecies is cut up into named 
varieties, which have usually originated in the chance 
discovery of some well-favoured plant, and the multipli-
cation of its descendants.

The discovery of such especially good plants implies 
that the subspecies itself is not homogeneous, since we
know that if the abnormality had been simply due to accidents of nutrition it would not have reproduced itself. A few remarks on the material from which commercial varieties are thus derived may not be out of place.

We have seen that all cotton-plants can be classified on broad evolutionary grounds into three main species, and several equally important, though economically useless, minor primitive species. We have abstained from carrying the classification farther on account of the absence of experimental evidence, but the next step would be the grouping of all species of cottons of the Peruvian type, for example, into groups of relations, each group being designated a subspecies. The members of a subspecies would all be alike to ordinary observation, just as all the brambles in a hedgerow are obviously brambles. Closer observation would reveal differences other than those due to accident of situation and nutrition, whose nature could be tested by raising offspring from self-fertilized seed.

If this test be applied to subspecies of cotton, it is found that many separate components which breed true at once go to make up the subspecies, in addition to a larger number of plants which do not breed true. These latter are necessarily of hybrid origin, though the cross which originated them may have taken place even centuries before; the former may or may not have originated from a cross. Where the circumstances are such as to justify the presumption that they did not originate by crossing—and
such circumstances are rare in cultivated cottons—these definitely distinct forms are classified as "elementary species," just as any gatherer of hedgerow blackberries will have noticed that two bushes growing side by side may have slight but definite differences; the English bramble, in point of fact, can be subdivided into a large number of such elementary species.

Where a cultivated subspecies of cotton consists of more than one elementary species, the first step towards improvement is the separation of these of Cultivated elementary species from one another, and their cultivation under distinct names.

The improvement of a species is as definite a thing as a chemical compound, and may, indeed, be regarded as such. It cannot deteriorate nor improve its constitution, however much may be done to improve its environment, nor is it of the slightest use to select within it for the best-looking plants.

In the commercial cottons, however, no original elementary species can yet be traced, although investigations into the genetics of cotton are showing the various components of the cultivated species. stocks, and may ultimately permit us to declare how those components were combined to form the original elementary species from which the stocks arose. Thus, in the case of Egyptian cotton, we know that Sea Island and an indigenous brown cotton of similar habit, closely resembling modern Peruvian, were the original
components; we may safely presume that both these components had originally consisted of several elementary species, so that the pedigree of a modern variety of Egyptian cotton is a very complex one.

The origin of these elementary species has taken place in the same way as for the subspecies and species—namely, by abnormal germ-cell formation. The modern view tends more and more towards a physico-chemical conception of living organisms, and in the case of species formation it is being more generally accepted that a new species arises from its parent species at a single jump. Instead of forming its germ cells by symmetrical cell division, so that the offspring resulting from reunion of male and female cells exactly resemble the parent, something goes wrong with the physico-chemical machinery of cell division, and abnormal asymmetrical pairs of germ cells are formed, with the result that, on fusing with one of the opposite sex, a representative of a new species, subspecies, or elementary species, is produced. The process of sudden origination of new forms in this way is called "mutation." The proof of its occurrence demands most careful experimentation, and, as we mentioned formerly, it will be years before such proof can be obtained clearly in the particular case of the cotton-plant, though it may well be still taking place.

Except for the purpose of clarifying ideas upon the subject, it is of little immediate use to discuss elementary species in the cultivated cottons, since none are recognizable. This is due to the fact that free intercrossing takes place under natural conditions between related forms of cotton. The Indian group does not appear to cross with the Upland or Peruvian groups, but the two latter can easily
PLATE V.—COMBED SEED-COTTON.

Four-sevenths natural size. The measurements of lint-length used in this book are chiefly made on seeds thus combed. Note the fluctuation from seed to seed within the pure strain, due to external causes.
be crossed with one another. Under field conditions, however, this latter cross is comparatively uncommon; thus, though the Hindi Weed is common in fields of Egyptian cotton, hybrids between the two are comparatively infrequent. This is due to the pollen tube growing faster down the style of its own kind of plant than down a foreign style; consequently, if both self and foreign pollen reach the style of any flower, nearly always the foreign tube will be beaten in the race to the ovules.

Intercrossing under field conditions is usually confined to closely related forms, and from such crossings there arise various recombinations of the factors composing the parents, and consequently new varieties. The more dissimilar the parents are, the greater will the number of recombinations be, and the rarer the chance of such a new form breeding true. Still, if further crossing is excluded, perfectly pure new forms will segregate out from the mixture, and new varieties will result from their multiplication, equal in definiteness to the original elementary species. There is no very definite convention as to the distinction between elementary species and varieties.

The amount of natural crossing which takes place in cotton under field conditions was formerly supposed to be negligible; but the author in 1905 showed that about 5 to 10 per cent. of the cotton-seed in an Egyptian field crop was not self-fertilized, and since then it has been elsewhere shown that most other commercial cottons intercross to about the same extent. The effect of this crossing is gently to mix, and to keep mixed, the pedigree of the plants composing the crop, so that even if a variety
consisted of only two elementary species when first introduced, it would soon be complicated.

The commercial varieties have usually been derived from single plants, or groups of similar plants, selected from these mixtures. Sometimes they have been bred from an artificial cross, but the difference is slight, unless one of the parents was an entirely fresh introduction to the country of growth, which has seldom been the case. Often they have not been bred down to the pure form before being placed on the market, though externally no marked differences were obvious. In other cases they have been introduced from the beginning in a hopeless state of mixture, such as the Assili cotton of Egypt (Fig. 16, Targets 4 and 8), whereof half the flowers were golden-yellow, half light yellow, and the length and outturn of the lint showed nearly 50 per cent. of rogues in the second year of its introduction. There are indications that some varieties, other things being equal, are more susceptible to crossing than their neighbours, so that the rate of deterioration of a variety varies; but it should now be obvious to the reader that even a very small percentage of impurity in a new variety must ultimately leaven the whole lump. Even if the variety is introduced in an absolutely pure condition, it is bound to deteriorate in the end, owing to the admixture of neighbouring varieties, such admixture being brought about by imperfect cleaning of ginneries—and perfection is commercially impossible—by resowing with other seed, by self-sown seedlings springing up in the field, or by the shooting of rattoon stumps from an
old crop, as well as by bees carrying foreign pollen from a distance, and so making bastards with unknown pollen parents.

There is nothing magical or unpreventable about the deterioration of cotton varieties, and every case known can be explained in terms of crossing, seed mixture, and natural selection.

This discussion may appear to have wandered a long way from the cotton fibre, but it is necessary that the principles involved should be understood, because the purification of cotton varieties, and their maintenance in a pure state, is almost the only big advance in the technique of cotton-supply which is economically practicable at the present day. Research is showing more and more clearly that uniformity is the chief thing needed by the trade, and lacking in the field; and while it is quite possible to produce useless rubbish from a perfectly pure strain of ideal properties, perfect cotton cannot be produced from an impure strain. Moreover, cotton is grown by, and dependent upon, cheap indigenous labour. Such labour is not easily reformed to Western requirements, simply because such reformation would not pay the labourer, and the provision of pure seed in place of impure does not interfere with any of his traditional or casual methods.

The cultivation of pure strains of cotton, whether they be selected elementary species, purified existing varieties, or new strains synthesized by crossing, is only practicable with a system of seed renewal. The causes leading to deterioration cannot be avoided in the field, but they can be avoided
in the laboratory. Strains can therefore be kept pure on a small scale, and a fresh stock run up into bulk (Pl. VI.) each year to replace the contaminated descendants of previous years. The system was introduced into Egypt by the writer, and at the time of writing is in abeyance through misunderstanding of the complexities involved in the isolation and testing of new strains; but it will inevitably become general before many years have elapsed in all countries supplying fine cottons to the trade. Whether it will ever be worth while to apply it to coarser cottons remains to be seen, but though uniformity is less important in inferior cottons, the possession of it still increases their value, and the question of yield is also worth consideration.

In such a country as Egypt the limiting factor of the yield is the conditions under which the plants are grown, and it is a little doubtful whether any change in the type of plant cultivated could increase the yield appreciably, though the author is inclined still to think that this could be done. In India, on the other hand, and in most countries dependent on rainfall, the conditions of cultivation cannot be so perfectly controlled, and the problem is rather one of how to produce and maintain varieties which will give the best results under the existing average conditions. The researches of Mr. Leake on the breeding of branching habits and of ginning out-turn may be cited as an example of the beginning of such work, and the logical outcome of any such developments must also be the supply of pure seed and provisions for its renewal.
PLATE VI.—PROPAGATION OF COTTON FOR SEED SUPPLY.

A ton of seed, harvested in 1913 from one seed sown in 1911.

The sacks of seed-cotton in this photograph were filled with the crop gathered in 1913 from a wide-sown area, which had been sown with the seed from one of the small cages shown in Plate XIV. This cage had been sown in 1912 with one-third only of the seed produced by a single plant in 1911.
In concluding this chapter, it may be useful to point out a few of the revised ideas which the isolation and study of pure strains have introduced into the cotton trade. Perhaps it would be more correct to say "will introduce," for the disposition to regard cotton-plants and varieties as capable of reasonable behaviour has yet to be manifested.

In the first place, the greater part of this book is occupied by results which could not have been obtained, in their present form, on a mixed commercial variety. One experiment describes the steady oscillation in length of lint between 34 and 31 millimetres (seed-combed length); the most uniform commercial variety in Egypt contains plants which range from 25 to 33 millimetres on the same day (p. 134, Target 11); so that such slight changes as an eighth of an inch (3.1 mm.) are almost entirely obscured, unless an intolerable amount of additional labour is expended in accumulating data so as to smooth out these constitutional differences between plant and plant.

Again, cotton has for generations been held up to censure and admiration alternately as the most "variable" of organisms, capable of being moulded into any form, and equally incapable of retaining it. This opinion has had to go by the board, with the progressive analysis of the phenomena into such constituents as those outlined in this book, and the plant is now known to be no more variable than any other, and equally controllable. Two pure strains of cotton grown by the writer may be cited: one
had remained unchanged for nine years, the other for seven; one would not produce half a crop in the north of Egypt, the other would not produce half a crop in the neighbourhood of Cairo; one consistently contained about 30 per cent. more salt in its cell sap than the other when the roots were occupying the same soil. Such simple differences as $\frac{1}{4}$ inch in lint length, 1 per cent. in ginning out-turn, and 2 or 3 degrees in the angle of the lobing of the leaf; colour of leaves and flower, shape and dimensions of the boll, fuzziness of the seed, and so forth, while all capable of being distorted from the normal, were, it need hardly be added, all showing exactly the same under the same conditions in 1913 as they had been in 1907. Left exposed to crossing and mixture for a single season the strains showed 10 per cent. of impurity in the following year.

Such strains as those mentioned, in conjunction with other experimental evidence, throw light on such customs as “change of seed,” “selection for yield,” etc. From a sowing mixture of these two strains in equal parts we harvested 75 per cent. of one or the other, according as to whether the mixture was grown in the south or the north. In the following year the seed from this mixed sowing produced a percentage of hybrid plants; selection of the highest yielders in the population included nearly all these hybrids, and scarcely any of the parental stocks. Thus in the third year, had the seed of these plants been sown, both the pure parents would have been lost entirely, and a most intricate jumble of all sorts of cotton would have rewarded this blindfold selection.
CHAPTER II

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PLANT

Reference to many standard works on the subject will provide the reader with full descriptions of the various kinds of cotton-plant; but these descriptions, though accurate and invaluable, do not convey the impression of "livingness." In this chapter we shall therefore attempt to describe the main features of the plant in a somewhat different fashion, presenting rather a kinemato-graph than a simple photograph of the cotton-producing machine. From this we may proceed to details in the ultimate stages of lint formation.

It may be well to remind the reader that, although this account of the life of the plant* is very largely generalized, and of universal applicability in principles, the mental picture before the author is mainly—though by no means exclusively—one of Egyptian plants growing under Egyptian conditions. Thus, water is associated in the writer’s mind with a controlled irrigation rather than with rain, extreme heat with temperatures from

* Those readers who, having no knowledge of Botany, are interested in these aspects of Cotton, are advised to read "The Life of the Plant," by Professor C. A. Timiriazeff, in the English translation. (London, 1912.)
100° F.* to 115° F., and cold with such temperatures as 40° F. The tracking of cause to effect is necessarily easier in Egypt than in some countries—as regards the cotton-plant—but the same interpretations are being found to hold good elsewhere, though with greater complication; and it might be well to notice here that even Egypt is far from possessing a monotonous climate, excepting during July, August, and September. A difference of 20° F. between the maximum temperatures on successive days is not at all uncommon in the other parts of the season. Further, this limitation is less objectionable than it might be, because the researches presented in this book are of more interest to the fine-spinning trade than to the ordinary trade, and Egypt is the largest producer of such fine cottons.

The characteristic feature of all cotton-plants is the bell-shaped flower (white, yellow, yellow with red spots, or entirely red), with a brush of golden or buff stamens borne in the centre on a hollow cylinder, through which the style extends to the exterior from the ovary, and surrounded externally by three leafy bracts (Pl. III.). The latter feature distinguishes cotton from such plants as Lavatera, which is frequently confused with it, and when the capsule has opened into two to six loculi, exposing the white or brownish cotton, the plant is unmistakable. The main differences between the principal groups of cottons have already been indicated.

The stages of the life-history before sowing have very little immediate interest for us, and we may take up the

* 100° F. = 38° C.; 115° F. = 46° C.; 40° F. = 4° C. For conversion of future statements of temperature, note that (C.° × 9/5) + 32° = F.°
story at the stage when the seedling is well established, with several leaves, and a field of them is beginning to bear a rough resemblance to a crop of potatoes.

If the seedlings before this stage have been stunted through any cause, such as imprisonment under clods or in stiff soil, through the bite of a prowling caterpillar or the rotting action of fungi, by heat or by water shortage, they will be smaller than their neighbours, take longer to open their first flower, and will therefore—other things being equal—yield less cotton, simply because they have less time in which to open and ripen their flowers.

Similarly, seed which is sown too late will yield a smaller crop for the same reason, though the plants may grow better than their early-sown neighbours, stage for stage. It does not follow, however, that sowing very early is of any advantage; it may be pernicious, and in Egypt there is a Critical Sowing-Date in each district, which varies only a few days from year to year. Sowings made moderately early before that date all do equally well, while sowings made afterwards are proportionately later in flowering (Fig. 2). It is obviously best to sow on the critical date itself, since the chances of a cold spell are less as the summer approaches.

That this should be the case is obvious on a little reflection. Cotton is usually sown when the weather is getting warmer (when the reverse, as in the Sudan, the same arguments apply), and there are two ends to the plant, of which the root is equally important with the
The five curves show the average number of flowers opening daily in each week of the flowering season. Each curve represents the average behaviour of 1,000 plants arranged in five scattered plots (see Pl. XI). Variety, Domains A98; site, Giza; year, 1913.

— = Sown one month before the usual date, ——— = Sown a fortnight before the usual date. ———— = Sown at the usual date (March 10).

These three all come to maturity at the same time, but since a certain number of plants have been stunted by accidental circumstances, due to cool weather in the earlier sowings, the sowing at the usual date is actually the best.

----- = Sown a fortnight later than the usual date. ---- = Sown a month later than the usual date.

These develop more quickly, but cannot make up all the time lost, and, being late in flowering, ultimately give a smaller crop.
THE PLANT

shoot. The rate of growth of the root is usually controlled by the temperature of the soil, provided that the soil is sufficiently moist, and that the stem is sending enough food to it from the leaves. In the early spring the soil is too cold for the root to function freely, and the stem suffers in consequence, though the air may be warm enough. Soil temperatures at a few inches below the surface scarcely vary their annual change from one year to the next, so that the date of sowing depends on deep soil temperature in the first instance, which is the same on the same day in the same field each year.

Further, to sow excessively late will result in failure of the seedlings through overheating. This phenomenon recurs at later stages of the plant’s history, and merits some attention. Seed germinated in incubators at various temperatures will provide simple illustrations. At 15° C. the germination is slow, while at higher temperatures the rate of growth increases; between 20° C. and 30° C. the rate of growth is doubled, and if an incubator is adjusted to 36½° C., it is possible with Egyptian cotton to prepare a report on the germination capacity of a sample within twenty-four hours from receiving it. If, however, a sample thus incubated is left for two days, it will be surpassed by those samples kept at lower temperatures, and in three days will be almost irreparably injured. This injury is due to accumulation of poisonous excreta in the tissues, these being thrown off in the chemical processes of growth more rapidly at high temperatures than the rate at which the plant can dispose of them.
Similar poisoning from overheating takes place when seed is sown too late into the summer. Otherwise the root rarely suffers from this cause, being buried in the cooler soil; but the stem is often affected on hot days, when the temperature exceeds 37° C. (Fig. 4). It must be remembered that the actual temperature of the stem tissues is the important thing in this respect, and not the shade air temperature, which may be widely different, the plant having a lower temperature than the air, through evaporation from the leaves, when there is ample water round the roots, and a higher temperature if the roots are short of water. The presence or absence of wind, clouds, newly watered soil, etc., also affect the temperature of the air itself in the cotton-fields, and may make it quite different from the temperature recorded in a meteorological screen close by.

We have seen, then, that a rise of tissue temperature up to about 33° C. continually accelerates growth, but that prolonged exposure to higher temperatures than this is prejudicial. This all assumes that light, water-supply, etc., are sufficient. If any one of these is deficient, the growth will accelerate with rise of temperature until it reaches a rate at which it is using all the food or all the water available, and beyond this rate it plainly cannot pass, even though the temperature continues to rise; in other words, food or water becomes the "Limiting Factor" instead of temperature (Fig. 3).

The relations of the seedling to water bring out one or two points of interest, which bear upon our previous con-
jectures as to the utility of the lint to the plant for absorbing and retaining water instead of acting as seed-wings. Cotton-seed germinates best when lying in water, half covered. If laid on wet blotting-paper in the conventional way for making tests of germination with many other seeds, very few of the seeds germinate, whatever the temperature, the seed-coat being unable to absorb enough water.*

* The cuticle of the seed-coat is slightly waxy, probably from the same wax which has long been known to occur on the lint. Until this wax has been broken by the initial swelling of the seed, the absorption of water is difficult.
This cause of germination failure is common in some countries, though not in Egypt; but even there it may be found. Seed sown in very lumpy soil will not be thoroughly wetted for a sufficient time, and seed sown too early in the spring may fail from the same cause. The latter is a rather curious point. It would at first sight appear that cold was the limiting factor, but neighbouring seeds will come up freely, and the failures themselves will germinate on a second watering. The cause of failure is simply that at the lower temperatures the absorption of water by the seed-coat is too slow, from purely physical causes, unless the seed is thoroughly and continuously in contact with soil-water.

The reader may have noticed that, in speaking of the cooling effect of evaporation upon the temperature of the stem tissues, we phrased the necessary condition as "ample water around the roots," and not merely as ample soil-water. The reason for this is that the root may dry the soil-particles which lie near it. The author first showed in Egypt that the cotton-plant stood in a rather extreme attitude towards its water-supply, which might almost be described as one of greed and improvidence. These results have since been confirmed by Professor Lloyd for the United States with the Upland crop, so that there is some justification for believing that they are general. The phenomena are most marked in the later stages of the plant's growth, and do not begin to exert much limiting control until after flowering has begun, provided that the seedlings receive a reasonable water-supply. The reason for this is simply the increasing area of leaf-surface,
causing greater loss of water. If, however, seedlings or young plants are allowed to become very short of water, they may be limited thereby, with subsequent effects on the crop, to which we shall later advert.

The basis of these marked peculiarities of the plant in regard to water is a structural one—namely, the presence of a rather exceptionally large number of "stomata" on both sides of the leaf. These breathing-pores, which also act as lip-valves for regulating the loss of water-vapour, number about 300 to the square millimetre on the lower leaf-surface (or 200,000 to the square inch), and 100 on the upper surface. In cottons of the Peruvian type the surface is practically hairless, but in the Upland type the leaf-surface is commonly hairy, and since the hairy tangle prevents rapid motion of air past the exterior aperture of the stomata, evaporation is diminished. Upland cotton can in consequence endure dry weather with less injury than Egyptians—a fact which will have some influence on the development of cotton-growing in many new areas. Some idea of the number of these apertures may be gathered from the fact that a seedling without any other leaves than the pair of original seed-leaves is pierced with about a million stomata. The evaporation of water takes place almost entirely through these apertures in the older leaves, though in very young tissues, which have not developed the impermeable skin of cuticle properly, some water escapes directly from the skin of the leaf. The stomata open and close in reaction to the condition of the plant. If water is deficient, they close before the plant is noticeably wilting, and so restrict further loss.
Under the climatic conditions in which cotton finds its most suitable temperatures, the mere evaporation of water from a water-surface is usually very high during the day, and the total "water-surface" of all the leaves in a field of cotton is enormous. Some idea of its magnitude may be gathered from the fact that the author and Mr. Hughes, working quite independently, both showed that normal fields of Egyptian cotton in a normal year (1912) evaporated amounts of water which increased steadily up to fifty tons of water per acre per day. This figure is almost incredible, being twice as high as the amount of water actually supplied to the land in irrigation—the duty of water in Egypt being twenty-four tons—but the deficiency is made up by water withdrawn from the water-table. When expressed in terms of single plants, it is even more incredible—i.e., about three pints per plant per day.

There can, however, be no shadow of doubt as to the truth of the figures, which in both cases were obtained by directly measuring the changes of water-content in the soil of a field. They throw into vivid relief the severe nature of the "water-strain" which the plant has to undergo each day of its life.

One of the first evidences of this strain was the writer's discovery that from quite the beginning of its career the cotton seedling did not grow in sunshine, all the water being used in keeping the plant cool as long as possible; and when the soil supply began to give out, at some time during the afternoon, the stomata closed.
This closure of the stomata brings about other effects which may be roughly described as starvation, since carbon dioxide gas can no longer pass into the leaf, where it would be built up into sugar for the food of the plant. The result is that the plant, as a result of its water-greed, leads a rather miserable existence every afternoon in hot sunny weather.

The story of its average day is roughly thus: Stomata open with the sunrise, and open wider as the light gets stronger, the formation of sugars beginning and increasing as the day gets warmer, till carbon dioxide may be taken up as fast as a free surface of caustic potash could take it, and the weight of the leaves increases rapidly. Growth has meanwhile slowed down or stopped entirely, according to the wetness of the soil and the humidity of the air. Presently, at some hour after 9 a.m., depending on the same conditions, the plant has dried up the soil in the immediate vicinity of its roots by taking away more water than can be replaced by capillary movements among the soil particles, and the stomata begin to close, while growth is entirely stopped. This closure of the stomata checks the formation of sugars, by cutting off the supply of carbon dioxide, and the transport of sugars, etc., into the body of the plant being no longer compensated by the formation of new supplies, the dry-weight of the leaf decreases. The plant remains thus during the afternoon until sunset, when—the water-loss ceasing—growth is resumed, often quite suddenly. During the night the rate of growth is controlled by the night temperature
(Fig. 4), until the food formed during the day is exhausted, which may happen before the morning if the previous day's experiences have been very severe, though this does not appear to be often the case in good cultivation. The total growth in the twenty-four hours thus depends mainly upon the temperature at night, with modifications. The chief of these modifications are growth in the morning after sunrise, due to milder weather; and overheating during the day, which poisons the cells and slows growth during the following night (Fig. 4).

Lastly, of the three main controlling factors of growth, we have, in addition to temperature and water, the soil itself. In so far as texture is concerned, it is easy to read from the foregoing discussion the reason which makes loam the most suitable soil for cotton—namely, free movement of water to the root system, superadded to ample retention of water by the soil particles. There is a second reason, which is that cotton roots appear to be intolerant of any deficiency of air for their respiration (probably for reasons connected with those discussed) such as may easily happen in a clayey soil.

Besides the texture of a soil, its depth and its composition have to be considered. These two go partly by inverse proportion. A large plant will flourish in a small pot of rich soil or in a large pot of poor soil; but the daily water-strain on cotton-plants makes a large volume of soil essential for the best results, unless unceasing watering can be given in driblets, which is not practicable in the field. A large volume of
soil might result from placing the plants widely apart, but this, beyond a certain lower limit, would reduce the yield per area, since the increase in size of the plants would not compensate for the smaller number of plants on the area (Fig. 6), and, moreover, surface soil dries up more quickly than deep soil, so that it would be

**FIG. 4.—DAILY GROWTH OF THE MAIN STEM.**

Actual experimental data.

Variety, Asli; site, Giza; ordinary field crop; year, 1911. **T**, upper curve, represents nightly minimum temperatures; **G**, lower curve, represents the amount of elongation of the main stem in each successive twenty-four hours.

Until the end of June the two curves are similar—i.e., growth was limited by night temperatures, except upon days which are marked in the diagram by eight feathered arrows.

These arrows denote days when the maximum temperature in the previous afternoon had been too high (over 36° C.), so inducing the formation of a "heat-poison" in the plant, and thus reducing growth during the following night to less than the amount expected (indicated by dotted lines).

At the end of June the effects of soil-water were just beginning to be felt as the plants grew larger and took more water from the soil. Previously there had been more water than the plants could remove.

Further, this shortage of water induced "self-poisoning" in the terminal bud of the main stem, and its growth ceased ultimately to respond even to irrigation. The terminal buds of the branches are similarly affected later.
harder to keep a uniform moisture-content. While discussing this soil question, it may be worth while to note that the effect of spacing upon yield is worth considerable attention by new cotton-growing countries. The author showed in Egypt—somewhat to the disappointment of reformers—that the native cultivator is exactly right in the spacing he adopts (Fig. 5). If more plants were crowded on the area, they would, roughly speaking, interfere with one another’s roots before they came into flower, and would suffer more under the water-strain of the early autumn, and from the rise of the water-table.

If fewer plants were employed, the gain in yield per plant from the diminished effect of these same causes could not make up for the decreased number of plants actually at work. At the spacing which the Fellah employs in each district, the effects of root-interference are delayed till the first few flowers have formed, and the gain thus obtained in the early picking (Fig. 6) through having
more plants is nicely counterpoised by the loss in the later stages through having too many roots in the soil.

FIG. 6.—Effect of Spacing on Yield.

These curves are generalized from experimental data obtained at Giza in 1912 (see Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc., 1915, for the full account).

The tall vertical line on the left marks the extreme limit, or "infinite density," when the seed would be so thickly planted as to give no yield at all.

The maximum total yield is obtained at the conventional Egyptian spacing of about 14,000 holes to the acre, with two plants in each hole.

The employment of one plant in each hole lessens the total yield by about 19 per cent. (dotted line) in any spacing.

At wider spacings than 1,000 plants per acre the yield is directly proportional to the number of plants.

The first few flowers to open are directly proportional to the number of plants on the area, unless the spacing is extremely dense; thus, the first picking (dash line) is at its largest with a closer spacing than that which gives the maximum total yield.

The curves here drawn apply to fairly symmetrical spacings, such as the ideal and Egyptian ones in Fig. 5, opposite. Any departure from symmetry, as in the U.S.A. arrangement, diminishes the yield, other things being equal. The difference between the total yields with Egyptian and U.S.A. arrangements, but with the same number of holes per acre, is about as 5 : 4. This is shown graphically to the left of the diagram, where the black top of the column represents the advantage of the hand-cultivated Egyptian system over the American one.

It being agreed that the larger the volume of soil available, the better it is for the plant, and lateral extension
being ruled out by considerations of yield, it follows that the soil must be deep. In respect of this our ideas have undergone great changes since 1908, in which year the author first traced a cotton root to a depth of two metres below the surface. This trifling episode led us to reconsider many matters. Thus, in the event of surveying a new district for cotton-growing, we are no longer content to examine the top foot of soil, but we require to know something about the state of affairs down to some 6, or even 10, feet below the surface. Again, that a rise of the water-table which did not reach within a metre of the surface should be prejudicial to the crop was no longer absurd, since this would drown out half the root system of an adult plant, and, as we now know, the portion which is doing most of the work in the autumn. It had formerly been thought that cotton was a comparatively shallow-rooted plant, on account of the slenderness of the tap-root, which diminishes very quickly in the first foot of descent, and looks as if it were nearly at an end. This slenderness does not prevent it from carrying large amounts of water, as we have seen, the main water-conducting cell-elements being only two or three in number, and consequently of wide bore. Through these two or three tubes, not exceeding \( \frac{1}{4} \) millimetre each in diameter (\( \frac{7}{64} \) inch), there rushes a stream of about a litre of water during some ten hours. From the tap-root arise laterals, which at first form a gossamer web around the seedling tap-root, but which very largely die down, only a few surviving, to be supplemented by new ones lower and lower down. The survivors run out
horizontally almost as far as the tap-root descends vertically, though not quite so far if other things are equal, and are important contributors to the plant’s sustenance until the soil layer which they occupy is too crowded with roots from adjacent plants to be of much use.

![Diagram of root system]

Fig. 7.—Absorption of Water by the Root System.

From actual experimental data taken in field crop at Giza, 1912 (see Journal of Agricultural Science, vol. iv., 1913, for the full account).

The black areas represent the water-loss during a fortnight, and are thus practically a picture of the activity of the root-system at various depths, for four periods of the year.

Approximate dimensions of stem and root at each period are indicated.

Surface soil loses much water by direct evaporation in the early part of the season. Later on the plants shade the surface, and most water is derived from three to four feet below the surface at the time when the first picking is ripening.

The zone of most importance in the root system thus shifts steadily downwards as the season goes on, until, at the time when the first picking is ripening in Egypt, the crop is actually taking more water from a depth of four
feet than from any other part, so that a boring-tool may be pushed through wet mud on the surface and broken by attempting to struggle through the hard dry soil at that depth (Fig. 17). Many other side-issues show the enormous possible extent of the cotton root system. Thus, a plant which is allotted 3 square metres of surface will produce more flowers and more cotton than a plant which receives 2 square metres allowance. Since the root of the latter has about five tons of soil to itself, it might be considered to receive ample accommodation; but the plant can still make use of more. The last fact brings up in vivid relief the artificial conditions of field-crop cultivation, where the plants are crowded together (Fig. 5, Pl. XII.) to such a density as will produce the maximum yield, and the consequent limitations in the size of the root system have to be met as far as is possible by skill in cultivation. The author is sometimes inclined to think that in irrigated countries with high-priced cottons the tendency will be towards even closer crowding of the plants, as skill in cultivation becomes greater through better understanding of their necessities. Certainly the heavy first picking which results from closer spacing should be a valuable asset (if skilfully ripened off) in countries troubled by boll-worms, which attack the later pickings.

The last component of the soil question is the chemical composition, and here Egyptian experience is not of much use, since manurial composition is rarely the principal limiting factor of growth there, though there is some indication that it acts as such for short periods at certain
stages of growth in the summer. On the other hand, the presence of salts in the soil is often a serious factor in Egyptian cotton-growing, whether the alkali salt is common sodium chloride or the more objectionable carbonate, or "black alkali."

The action of salts is relatively simple; an excess of them prevents water-absorption by the root through simple physical control, while less amounts may in some cases act poisonously. Under field conditions one or two interesting points arise. Since salt is concentrated at the surface of the soil by evaporation, and washed down again by surface watering, the surface soil may be so salt as to hinder the germination of seed; but any odd seedling which may manage to work its tap-root down into the sweeter soil below will flourish. Thus, a perfectly healthy plant may be growing in the middle of a salted patch of land. Another curious feature of cotton with regard to common salt is that it takes up quite large amounts from relatively sweet soil, the concentration attained in the cell sap being different with different kinds of cotton, and amounting in the most "salty" kinds to nearly as much as in typical salt-marsh weeds which are washed by spray from the sea.

In most countries other than Egypt the manurial composition of the soil is an important factor, but one which has never been properly investigated, with the result that most conflicting opinions are held. In saying that no proper investigations have been made, the author would not wish to discredit the large amount of experimental work which has been carried out in this
direction; but the fact remains that it is almost impossible to analyze the results of such experiments (cf. Fig. 19). So many circumstances may act on the plant, and the water relations are so important, that to disentangle the manurial effects from the accidents, over a cropping period of two months, is almost impossible. Solution of these manurial problems can only be obtained—except in the simplest cases—by keeping continuous records of the growth, flowering, and fruiting, of the plant day by day, so that the action of the various factors may be distinguished from one another. This is more particularly the case with regard to the many cases in which it is stated that manuring diminishes the yield through causing too rank growth. The author has never yet met with a case under Egyptian conditions in which growth was ever the cause of reduced yield in itself. Secondarily, it may lead to the exaggerated influence of external causes, if cultivation is not, or cannot be, modified to meet the new dimensions of the plant; but it is much to be hoped that a more thorough analysis may ultimately relegate this view to the limbo whither several venerable fictions have already been despatched, such as the dictum that “the longer the staple of cotton, the lower must be the yield.” We will return to some of these points subsequently.

Thus far we have attempted to give some idea of the interplay of circumstances which act upon the living body of the plant, modifying the growth of its various portions, and building up the scaffolding upon which the cotton is borne, as well as the fruit and the cotton itself.
The ground we have covered would require several large volumes for any adequate presentation, even of the established facts, unless such presentation were made in severely technical language; but enough has probably been said to show that there is no longer any need to indulge in vague generalities about vitality, suitable climate, and so on, and that it is possible to assign numerical values to the quality of the cultivator's work. It now remains to examine the scaffolding itself, and its load of fruits, built by the plant under the control of its inherited tendencies as reacted upon by environmental agencies, and itself continually acted upon in the same way.

And here it may be excusable to insert a small comment on the difficulty which many persons feel in accepting recent interpretations of this reaction. Their objection may be summarized in such a case as the following: They have grasped the idea of the chemical definiteness of a pure strain, characterized, let us say, by a 30-millimetre lint; but when they find that a 35-millimetre lint is obtained from this strain on cultivation under some particular set of conditions (cf. Figs. 14 and 15), they object that it must be nonsense to speak of any definiteness in the inheritance or manifestation of such variable characteristics. A simple parallel will best illustrate the falsity of the objection. Certain strains of the Chinese primrose are known which breed true to white, whatever the conditions under which they are grown; there are also other white strains which remain white when grown in a cool greenhouse, but turn pink
in a house kept at 30° C. The inherited peculiarity in both cases is not actually colour, but the absence or presence of the power to react under environmental influence—high temperature in this particular case—so as to produce a colour.

So the plant inherits the capability of reacting in a certain exact and definite way to any set of exactly defined conditions.

The plant-scaffolding of cotton consists of root and

![Diagram of branch scaffolding](image)

**Fig. 8.**—Types of Branch-Scaffolding. (Diagrammatic.)

Flowering branches are represented as lines bearing crosses, vegetative branches as plain lines.

Left: Ideal plant, early flowering (cf. Pl. VII., X.).
Centre: Usual type of plant (cf. Pl. IX., right).
Right: Late flowering. Vegetative branches branch again before flowering branches are formed (cf. Pl. II.).

shoot, but very little is yet known about the details of the former, owing to the obvious difficulties which attend investigation. The shoot-scaffolding begins

Branches, as a main stem, or central axis, from which lateral branches are given off. These branches may be flowering branches (Pl. VII.) or ordinary vegetative branches; which latter may again produce other vegetative branches, or flowering branches, or both, according to the inherited tendencies of the plant (Pl. IX.).
PLATE VII.—SIMPLE BRANCING.

A plant of a very atypical strain isolated from Abbaa. Widesown, at Giza, September, 1913. One-fifteenth natural size. Scarceley any vegetative branches produced (cf. Plate IX.). The ripened bolls of the first picking show the "fructing-scaffolding" of the cotton-plant in its simplest form (cf. Figs. 8 and 9, pp. 42 and 44).
THE PLANT

The flowering branches, on the other hand, bear a limited number of flowers, and do not normally yield any further branches.

The development of these various branches is mainly acropetal and centrifugal, or, in other words, a branch high up the main stem is younger than a lower branch, and the flowers at the outer end of a flowering branch are younger than those nearer the main stem (Fig. 9). Exceptions to this occur in the relative times of development of the two kinds of branches, but in general it is safe to assume that the opening of flowers on the plant body pursues a kind of spiral course, beginning at the innermost flower of the lowest flowering branch, and ending at the upper and outermost flower of the youngest branch.

The relations between the two kinds of branches are of the utmost commercial importance. If any particular kind of cotton develops nothing but flowering branches on the main stem, it will obviously be an economical machine for cotton production (Pl. VII., X.) if its leaf area is sufficient to feed all the flowers which it sets, until they open as ripe bolls. If, on the other hand, another kind of cotton does not produce flowering branches on the main stem at all, but waits to bear them on the vegetative lateral branches, it will be slow in coming to maturity, and unsuitable for any district which can provide only a short growing season. This problem has been faced by Mr. Leake in India. Into the further details of branching it is scarcely necessary to enter, but it might be noticed that excessive vegetative branching is undesirable, in that it makes a field
of cotton into a damp jungle, in which various secondary troubles may arise; while a plant with a large leaf area is more likely to have its physiological condition seriously upset by water-strain in the event of a spell of dry weather.

The length to which the main stem and the various branches extend is obviously dependent on the various causes affecting growth-rate, as already sketched by us.

Over and above this, however, there comes Senescence. sooner or later in the career of each branch a period of "Senescence," due to internal causes akin to the heat-poisoning which we have mentioned, and
which we may term "self-poisoning." This phenomenon shows itself in a general slowing of the growth-rate, and in diminished reaction to external changes, such as temperature; it is nearly akin to muscular fatigue. Usually the oldest parts of the plant—or, rather, the terminal buds of each part—are the first to show it, and the main stem first of all (Figs. 4 and 10). This cessation of growth of the main stem has an effect on the flowering later on, for, since no more flowering branches can be formed in an upward direction, flowering must stop when all the existing flowering branches have opened all their flowers, unless lateral vegetative branches exist to take up the work.

Like all other features of the plant, although this senescence may be induced by ill-treatment at any time, it is a specific reaction which varies with different kinds and strains of cotton-plants. Under the same conditions of cultivation some kinds show it at a very early age in the terminal bud of the main stem, and are commercial failures thereby; others show it so late that the flowers they have formed are as many as can be ripened off before the winter comes; and others may not show it at all, but continue year after year to respond directly to the limiting factors of the environment, and be known as "tree cottons" (Pl. II.).

Moreover, senescence is not irremediable with cotton-plants as it is with mankind at present. A senescent bud may recover after a prolonged rest, and probably we shall be able to obtain such recovery almost instantly when the nature of the poisons is known. The result of
field recovery is a second crop of flowers or even fruits in a single season. Before a regular water-supply was secured for the Egyptian crop during the summer, senescence following water shortage, and rejuvenation when the flood came down, were probably much more normal phenomena than they are at the present day. We shall meet with the same senescence in the fibre itself.

Until this senescence is shown individually by the various branches of the same plant, all branches grow at nearly the same rate. Thus, measurements of the daily growth-rate of the main stem in the early part of the season show (Figs. 4 and 10) the variations in the rate at which the scaffolding of flowering branches is being laid down. It therefore shows also the daily variation in the rate at which flower-buds are being formed on this scaffolding, and consequently—since each bud takes a fairly uniform time to develop into a flower—this pre-senescence growth-record of the main stem anticipates the daily variations in the rate of flowering. In Egypt this leads to remarkable possibilities of forecasting flowering from growth, and consequently of forecasting the crop, since the variations in the rate of flowering in the early part of the season are the same all over Egypt from day to day, some days being good and others bad, mainly as the result of rapid or slow growth during warm or cold nights, nearly a month previously. How far this will apply to other countries remains to be seen.

The point of especial interest up to which we have been
leading, through this conception of a flowering scaffolding, is that the ultimate yield of the plant has been partly determined some two and a half months before it appears as ripe cotton bolls.

Various investigations have shown that, as most growers will concede willingly, the number of bolls ripened depends on the number of flowers which open (Fig. 10). A certain proportion of these flowers are shed by the plant, the average amount under Egyptian con-

---

**Fig. 10.—Plant-Development Curves and Their Use in Forecasting.**

Actual experimental data taken in a crop of *D. minor* Aff at Giza in 1913.

Daily records were taken in scattered groups of plants to obtain the daily rate of growth of the main stem (cf. Fig. 9, p. 44), the number of flowers opening, and number of bolls ripening (cf. Fig. 15, p. 110) each day. These have been smoothed for convenience to five-day means (see p. 197).

From left to right are—G, the growth-curve; F, the flowering curve; B, the bolting curve, the area enclosed by this last having been shaded with vertical lines to emphasize its importance as representing the actual final yield.

If G is moved forward for seventy-four days, and F for fifty-one days, they closely resemble the early part of B.

Thus, the ripening of the first picking, day by day, can be foretold two and a half months in advance.

Later pickings can also be forecasted by similar means; they follow the flowering curve, with deviations due to shedding of the flowers.
ditions being constantly about 40 per cent. year in and
year out. This proportion varies daily (Fig. 15) with
the weather, and especially with the water-
supply; any severe water shortage increasing
the amount of shedding; while after each watering—if
not excessive—the proportion of shed flowers diminishes,
to show up again some fifty days later in the form of
an increased rate of bolls opening.

It seems to have escaped notice that the shedding takes
place almost entirely in the flower stage. The fact that a
certain proportion of the sheddings (as collected from the
ground below the plants) consists of bolls and buds is rather
misleading. At any given moment there are enormously
more buds and bolls on the plants of a field than there are
flowers; in the middle of the flowering season the ratio would
be about 20 buds : 1 flower : 40 bolls, simply because of the
differences in the duration of each stage of development. If,
therefore, we even found equal ratios of buds, bolls, and flowers,
in the sheddings, this implies that 40 per cent. of the available
flowers have been shed for every 1 per cent. of available bolls,
and for 2 per cent. of the buds available.

The flower stage is thus extremely liable to shedding, possibly for reasons connected with the chemical side of pollina-
tion or with the greater transpiration of water from the open
flower.

Apart from shedding, the only other factor which can
seriously influence the yield is the size of the individual
boll, and this, again, appears to be specific
for each kind of cotton under definite cir-
cumstances, so that cotton-breeding for a big boll would
appear to be a profitable line of research. With the
opening of the flower the purpose of the present chapter
PLATE VIII.—AN UNHEALTHY PLANT.

Belonging to a strain which sheds its flowers very readily on any disturbance, this plant, while rather short of water, was attacked by the leaf-eating larva of the cotton-worm. Most of the early flowers and bolls had been shed. Note the flowering branch which projects farthest to the left, from which the three innermost bolls have all gone.
ends, since the history of the ripening fruit requires a separate chapter to itself; but it should be remembered that fresh flowers are opening day after day, for a period which is not usually less than two months, upon the scaffolding of branches (Pl. VII.), and that all these are being acted upon successively by all the factors of the environment which we have mentioned, and by others; by internal factors such as self-poisoning, and its antidotes, the whole result being moulded upon the basis of inherited capabilities for reaction.

It is convenient to think of these environmental factors as acting in two ways: either as "constructive," influencing the rate of construction of the scaffolding; or as "modifying," producing deformation of the results which would have been anticipated from the constructed scaffolding. Thus, sufficient scaffolding may have been laid down to produce a certain yield in a certain way, but the action of water shortage may produce shedding, attacks of insect pests may check the development of the buds, or a frost may kill the whole plant; such factors are modificatory (Pl. VIII.).
CHAPTER III
THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE BOLL
A. From Bud to Seed

B. From Egg to Embryo

C. From Embryo to Last Hair

Fig. II.
Fig. 11.—MicrOSCopic Details.

A. From Bud to Seed.

a, Flower-bud three to four weeks before flowering.
b, Flower-bud a few days later.
c, Flower-bud about two weeks before flowering.
d, Ovules (embryonic seeds from c).
e, f, g, Successive stages in the development of the ovule showing the origin of the seed-coats.
h, Ovule at time of flowering, ready for fertilization, with large central embryo sac, in which is contained the egg-cell. Same age as Day 0 in Fig. 13, p. 80.
k, Seed, corresponding to about sixth day in Fig. 13. Endosperm dotted, with embryo embedded in it near the point.

B. From Egg to Embryo.

(Magnified 200 diameters.)
a, Fertilization of egg by male nucleus. Day 1.
b, Resting fertilized egg—i.e., one-cell embryo. Day 2.
c, First division of same, to form two-cell embryo. Day 3.
d, Third division completed, forming spherical eight-celled embryo.

(Magnified 4 diameters.)
e, Embryo on fifteenth day.
f, On eighteenth day; seed-leaves visible.
g, On twenty-first day; bending.
h, On twenty-fourth day; seed-leaves folding over.
k, On twenty-seventh day; seed-leaves beginning to envelop the rootlet.
l, Ripe embryo.

C. From Epidermis to Lint-Hair.

(Magnified 200 diameters.)
a, b, and c, Epidermal cells of seed-coat budding out to form hairs.

(Magnified 20 diameters.)
d, Complete ripe lint hair, diagrammatically reproduced from actual drawing, showing relative length and diameter, and the twist.
CHAPTER III

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE BOLL: I. STRUCTURAL

The previous chapters have dealt with the origin of cotton, and with the development of the plants from seedlings to become the bearers of fruit. Reference can be made to the Appendix for the methods of studying the fruit itself, and the cotton formed therein.

Those readers who are not interested in the ways by which conclusions are obtained, but only in the conclusions themselves, may take it for granted in the following pages that, whenever a statement of fact is made, it results from one of two methods—either the observation on which it is based has been repeated sufficiently often to leave no reasonable doubt as to its truth, or (in the case of numerical statements) the degree of uncertainty attaching to the statement is exactly known and definable. That some statements may be qualified by reservations does not imply that they are imagined to be incorrect.

Expressions of the author's personal opinion as formed by deduction from the data are an entirely different matter. These opinions about raw cotton run counter to those generally accepted by previous writers, and, in
order to afford the reader an opportunity of satisfying himself as to their validity, the rather exceptional course has been followed of presenting in the Appendix a great part of the experimental data themselves in the form of tables, instead of merely summarizing them in the diagrams.

The biologist will probably see that a number of reservations would have to be made if the arguments were carried much farther, but such reservations would be due to general causes—such as the very recent development of Limiting Factor methods in the study of Growth—and not to any fundamental uncertainty as to the particular case of the cotton fibre.

The spinner will also see that similar uncertainties are only just avoided by restriction of the scope of the work. It is the author's hope that either he or some other student may ultimately be able to write a sequel to this book, by similar examination of lint at various stages of manufacture. Even simple breaking-strain determinations before and after the processes of ginning, baling, pressing, bale-breaking, scutching, carding, drawing, combing, spinning, etc., would be well worth having, if the fate of a bale from a single field could be followed in this way, since from such a numerical basis established for an obvious characteristic it would be easier to proceed step by step to elucidation of the more subtle features, with which this book can scarcely profess to deal.

In the year 1905 a small book dealing with the microscopic structure of the cotton-plant was published by Mr. Flatters, containing some very pertinent remarks on
the world’s ignorance of the subject. Owing to lack of material, the subject of fertilization was not dealt with, the work having been done in England, presumably on material sent from abroad.

At the same time, but independently, the present author published a paper dealing with the development of the flower from the primordial bud until a few days after its opening, and incidentally dealing with the earliest stages of the development of the lint. These two accounts both showed that the accepted story, as given by Dr. Bowman, was not reliable.

The errors of Bowman’s description, which has been quoted as late as 1904, were mainly due to natural causes, this description having been published in 1881, when botany was considered to be an “inaccurate science,” and our knowledge of plant and cell structure was much smaller. Unfortunately, a new edition of Bowman’s work was published in 1908, which repeats almost all the original mistakes in greater detail. Monie’s work, published in 1904, need not be mentioned in this connection, since it is largely based on Bowman’s book of 1881, with some philosophical additions. The only critical statements on the subject, other than Flatters’, are in Mr. Scott Taggart’s book, which does not profess to deal with the development of the fibre. The justifiable comments made in this work provoked a reply from Monie in the 1904 edition of his book, but the effect of this reply was nullified by the fact that in the preceding lines mention had been made of “vital fluids, which are composed of a creamy-coloured oleaginous matter.”
The amount of copying which has taken place may be realized by the fate of a rough sketch of the ovary which first appeared in the 1881 edition of Bowman, and has returned to England again in Matthews' work dated 1904, where it is acknowledged as being derived from a German book by Witt.

To deal in detail with the various misstatements which have thus been passed from hand to hand, would take too much time, and would, moreover, be scarcely courteous to the original work of Dr. Bowman. One point alone requires notice—namely, the cause of the origination of many of these mistakes.

The developing boll grows to its full external dimensions in the first half of its maturation period. Thenceforward its external appearance remains unchanged, so that unless flowers are labelled with their dates in field-crop, the microscopist will be confused by finding different stages inside bolls which appear externally to be fully developed and ready to open. The confusion thus introduced has been accentuated by the use of greenhouse plants grown in England, instead of normal plants grown in cotton-fields. The study of the abnormalities shown in the former conditions is a separate subject for research in itself. The truth of this criticism is demonstrated very well by Fig. 34 in the 1908 edition of Bowman, where five bolls are figured as ten, twenty, thirty, forty, and sixty days old, respectively. Of these, the twenty and forty day bolls are typical of what the Egyptian calls "nabroon," or a stunted condition due to premature
partial obstruction of the stalk by a cork-layer, a process which carried further leads to shedding. If the very marked indication in this figure of the lines along which the boll opens may be trusted, all the bolls figured were extremely unhealthy.

Trustworthy conclusions as to details cannot be drawn in this way, and to force these abnormal plants into publicity with one hand, while writing on the extreme sensitivity of cotton to environmental influences with the other, can lead to no great progress in the mutual confidence between grower, spinner, and scientist, which is needed for the benefit of the trade.

The following account of the development of the fruit, seed, and fibre, is based upon material taken from a pure strain of Egyptian cotton, known as No. 77, producing lint which is akin to the Nutari variety of Egyptian, but rather longer and stronger. The account has been checked by examination of many other kinds of cotton, both Egyptian and American.

The Flower-Bud (Fig. 11).—The first appearance of this characteristic bud, with its three-cornered cover of leafy bracts, takes place between three and four weeks before it opens into a flower. It is formed at the end of the flowering branch, and any further extension of the latter is indirect. In the case of vegetative branches the terminal bud persists, and continues to develop fresh leaves and stem-joints. In the case of flowering branches the terminal bud is differentiated into a flower-bud, and the further extension of the branch results from a new bud arising in the axil or junction of the leaf next below
the flower-bud (Pl. VIII.). This new bud grows out in almost a straight continuation of the old stem, forcing the flower-bud and its stalk sideways, and itself repeats the same performance. Each new flower is therefore in reality a lateral branch from its predecessor, though the general appearance is as if each flower was an offshoot from a main flowering branch.

The flower proper begins to develop inside the three enclosing bracts at a date which can be fixed with certainty as being twenty-three days before the flower opens, in the case of No. 77 strain grown at Giza.

During these twenty-three days the various portions of the flower are developed, and their internal structure is differentiated.

The chief of these latter processes is the formation of the male and female sex-cells; but as the author has dealt with them fully elsewhere, and as the details are not immediately relevant to our present topic, a few general remarks will suffice.

The various parts of the open flower are the green ring of the calyx (which must not be confused with the three external leafy bracts), the coloured petals, the hollow brush of stamens containing the pollen, and the ovary containing the ovules. The latter develops after flowering and fertilization into the fruit, or boll, and into the seeds, respectively.

The pollen-grains each contain two male nuclei and one other nucleus. The nucleus is a definite structure found in the protoplasm of every living cell (e.g., Fig. 11, B, b, and C, c), there being usually one nucleus to each of the cells which by their growth and multiplication build up the bodies of all living organisms. The function of this nucleus appears to be that of directing and controlling the behaviour of itself and of the rest of the living substance, or protoplasm, of the cell,
The transmission of inherited characters also appears to be centred mainly, if not entirely, in the nucleus of the sex-cells.

The ovules contain each a large cell in the centre (Fig. 11, A, g, h), in which is a single female or egg-cell, with its nucleus, accompanied by a bevy of seven other nuclei, each with its definite function. Fertilization of the egg-cell by fusion with one of the male nuclei of the pollen-grain (Fig. 11, B, a) produces a fusion nucleus, or fertilized nucleus (Fig. 11, B, b). The fertilized egg-cell thus formed is the first origination of a new plant, and on looking down the microscope tube at two fusing nuclei, whose aggregate diameter is only 0.015 millimetre, or one-fifteen-hundredth of an inch, it must be realized that the nature and origin of these two specks of protoplasm is determining irrevocably the nature of the plant which will grow from them (Fig. 11, B).

Of these various portions of the flower, the first to show itself in the developing bud is the calyx. The rounded end of the branch within the three tiny bracts begins to make a ring-shaped protuberance. Within this ring two other rings are formed, the outer one having an undulating edge, and its five undulations growing into the five petals. The inner ring grows up into a hollow cylinder, and the stamens arise on the outside of this cylinder as isolated protuberances, which develop into the stalked yellow or buff-coloured sacs containing the pollen-grains.

When these latter protuberances are so far advanced that the processes which will result in the formation of the pollen-grains themselves have already begun, a fourth and last set of rings arises on the end of the branch, within the staminal cylinder. These rings develop into the ovary, and thence into the boll. They are variable in number according to the kind of cotton, and are situate around the centre of the end of the branch. Each one as it grows becomes larger at the base, forming a flask-shaped body (Fig. 11, A, c); the necks of these flasks adhere to one another, and ultimately form
the "style" of the flower, which protrudes through the hole in the centre of the cylindrical brush of stamens, and is destined to receive the pollen-grains which effect fertilization. The bodies of these flasks similarly adhere, and form—according to the number of flasks—an ovary,* consisting of two, three, four, or five loculi, and ultimately a boll, which will open into two, three, four, or five divisions, or "locks."

On the inner wall of the body of each flask (Fig. 11, A, c') there next appears a double row of small protuberances, from which are formed the ovules, and consequently—after fertilization—the cotton-seeds. Each of these protuberances as it enlarges forms round its base two annular swellings, first a lower one, and then another above it; these are the beginnings of the seed-coats. The embryonic seed-coats grow up over the protuberance on which they are formed, and completely enclose it in a double jacket (Fig. 11, A, d, e, f, g), excepting for a minute hole at the top, through which the fertilizing pollen-tube will ultimately enter. Until fertilization has been accomplished, these seed-coats are of uniform structure internally, excepting that the outermost and innermost cell layer of each can be distinguished as an epidermis. The outer epidermis of the outer coat gives rise to the cotton-fibre itself (Fig. 11, A, k; C, a, b, c; Fig. 13).

THE FLOWER (Pl. III.).—The processes so far described have taken place during twenty-three days, and when the flower opens on the morning of the twenty-fourth day it consists of three leafy bracts, about three inches long, cut into long narrow teeth (in many cottons), enclosing an inconspicuous green calyx-ring, from within which rises the bell or trumpet shaped corolla, with its brush of stamens, and the more or less protruding style. By about 9 a.m. on the day of flowering the corolla is fully expanded, and the sacs of pollen are gaping open,
setting free the pollen-grains. By the evening the corolla has faded, turning in the process to pink and red colours if the fading is taking place in damp air, or simply drying up if the air is dry, with the colours almost unchanged.

Meanwhile pollen has reached the style, either by accident or brought by bees, which have visited the flower for the honey which it secretes from the nectaries between the bases of its petals. In either case the pollen may be derived from the same flower or from a foreign flower. In the former case the flower is self-fertilized; in the latter it is "crossed," and this latter event happens in 5 to 10 per cent. of the seeds ripened in an Egyptian field. Lastly, if in the latter case the pollen is derived from an identical brother-plant, such as another member of the same pure strain, the effect is the same as self-fertilization; but if—as is likely to be the case in commercial varieties—the pollen-parent is not exactly identical, the effect is to produce a hybrid embryo, and consequently a hybrid plant in the following season. The exclusion of such foreign pollen (Pl. XIII.) is the great difficulty confronting those who attempt to introduce new varieties of cotton or to improve old ones.

The action of the pollen is very like that of a parasitic fungus. The pollen-grain germinates when placed on the style or in a 2 per cent. solution of sugar, and sends out a tube, which grows between the cells of the style tissue, through the inner walls of the ovary, and enters the cavity of the ovary. There its end creeps over the surface of the ovules until it enters the small hole left by the seed-coats. Passing down this canal through the two
coats, it reaches the tissues inside, and burrows through them till the end reaches into the large central cell already mentioned (Fig. 11, A, k) as containing the egg-cell and its seven attendants. There the end of the tube literally swells up and bursts, setting free the male nuclei inside the large central cell, or, as it is commonly called, the embryo-sac. One of the male nuclei fuses with the egg-cell, as already mentioned, forming the unicellular Embryo. The other fuses with two of the attendant nuclei, forming a "triple-fusion nucleus," the fate of which is to provide by its division some tissue called the "endosperm" (Fig. 11, A, k), which at first surrounds the young embryo, and is subsequently destroyed and digested by it during its growth, until only a papery layer is left in the ripe seed between the embryo and the seed-coat, along with an outer papery layer which represents the remains of the tissue in which the embryo-sac was situated. Thus the embryo-sac filled with endosperm absorbs and digests the surrounding tissue up to the seed-coats, and is itself absorbed and digested later by the embryo, till only the embryo and certain layers of the seed-coat are left alive, one complicated structure after another having been in its turn developed and sacrificed to its purpose. Lastly the seed-coat dies also.

Of the many pollen-tubes which germinate on the style, only some twenty or so can find an ovule. Those which were too late in starting, or too slow in growing down the style, also perish, and their remains may partly be traced in the walls of the young fruits; while the rest are thrown off when the style breaks away from the point of the young boll.

Some remarkable features of this race between the pollen-
tubes require further study. The style of some kinds of cotton is either non-nutritious, or more probably poisonous, to the pollen of other kinds. Thus, crosses between the Indian group of cottons and the Upland or Peruvian groups do not appear to be possible. Uplands and Peruvians can, on the other hand, be artificially intercrossed with ease. Even in this case, however, if equal amounts of self and foreign pollen are placed on the style simultaneously, so that both have an equal chance, 97 per cent. of the victors will be self-tubes; so that, although Egyptian pollen can grow down an Upland style quite satisfactorily, it cannot grow so fast as the Upland's own pollen can do, and vice versa. If, lastly, the pollen mixture is made with pollen from the first cross between Upland and Egyptian, the percentage of wins credited to the home team falls to about 60 per cent.; the hybrid pollen is said to be more "prepotent." These facts have considerable economic bearing on the possibility of keeping cotton strains pure with fewer precautions, but it will take a great deal of tedious research to find whether they have any utility.

The Fruit: General (Fig. 13).—The few days immediately preceding flowering, and the day of flowering itself in particular, are extremely critical ones in the history of the fruit. For some reasons which are not yet understood, the open flower is extremely liable to "shedding" (see p. 48). The cause which provokes such shedding, with consequent complete loss of the fruit from its corner of the plant scaffolding, is usually shortage of water, either for the whole plant or for the particular fruit concerned. The shedding of older fruits is much rarer, and in all probability the disposition to shedding is connected with the presence of some chemical substance or substances formed just at the flowering stage.
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The history of the fruit proper may be taken as beginning with pollination, or perhaps with fertilization of the egg-cell.

The flower having opened completely by nine or ten o'clock, is pollinated shortly afterwards. By the afternoon of the following day the egg-cell has been fertilized, and is in a resting state. The first day is thus marked by fertilization (Fig. 11, B, b).

On the third day this resting egg begins to divide into two cells (Fig. 11, B, c), which again divide, and continue to do so, till at the end of a week the embryo is visible under the microscope as a body beginning to show a heart-shaped outline, about 0.01 millimetre long, and therefore scarcely visible to the naked eye. From this its increase in size continues rapidly, till at the end of the fourth week it has completely filled the seed (Fig. 11, B, l).

Meanwhile the seed has also been enlarging, and in rather less time has attained its full and final dimensions.

The boll also reaches its full length and diameter in this period, and the lint, which began to sprout from the seed-coat on the day when the flower opened, has attained its full length.

The period of maturation, from the opening of the flower to the opening of the boll, being forty-eight days in the case of strain No. 77 at Giza, the boll thus appears to be fully grown at the end of twenty-four days. In the remaining twenty days, however, much remains to be done in the way of structural differentiation. The seed-coat hardens to protect the embryo, the embryo differentiates its internal structures ready to begin an independent
existence when the seed is sown, and the lint thickens its walls for reasons which, as Mr. Flatters pointed out, have usually been ascribed to the direct benefit of Lancashire!

We have already mentioned the confusing effects which this stoppage of external growth has had upon the previous accounts of boll development, and it remains to point out that the phenomenon is not new or strange. It is, on the contrary, the usual procedure of plants first to enlarge the cell or organ, and then to differentiate inside the skeleton of delicate cell wall or tissues thus formed. That it should have taken so many years to be noticed in the case of the cotton-boll is almost incomprehensible.

We will now examine some of the details of this development, taking the boll itself first, then the seed with its components of seed-coat, endosperm, and embryo, and lastly the lint.

It should be remembered throughout that the figures and dates assigned are averages. In the case of any given boll they may be distorted to a definite amount, but under the conditions attained in ordinary good irrigated cultivation this distortion will not be more than about 4 per cent. either way in about half the number of bolls observed, while a distortion of 15 per cent. will be practically impossible.

**Development of the Boll (Fig. 13).**—The full-grown boll of No. 77 strain is 26 millimetres in diameter and 40 millimetres long, with its maximum diameter at two-thirds of the distance from the tip. About one
boll in ten has only two divisions instead of three, this peculiarity of the strain being a useful mark. The ovary from which it develops is about 5 millimetres in diameter.

The yellow petals having faded during the afternoon of the day of flowering, they wither and dry up during the first day of the boll history, and on the second or third day have usually fallen off, carrying with them the faded brush of stamens, and breaking away the remains of the style. This cutting off of the petals is effected by the differentiation of a layer round their base, the cells of which separate from one another instead of remaining united after division. The process of shedding of the bud, flower, or boll, is effected by the plant in the same way, the layer of special cells in this case being formed across the stalk. In both cases there is probably a reaction to some chemical stimulus, normal in the one case, abnormal in the other. Some accepted accounts of cotton inform us that the petals undergo a "peculiar rotation" on their own axis, whereby they "twist themselves completely off." This is scarcely correct.

The diameter of the ripening ovary, or boll, has meanwhile been increasing rapidly. From some 4 to 5 millimetres at flowering, it enlarges by about a millimetre of diameter each day. On the sixth day it has a diameter of 12 millimetres, or half-size; on the twelfth day 18 millimetres; and on the eighteenth day 24 millimetres. The figures with this strain are easily remembered, being six more than the number of days. After the eighteenth day the rate is rapidly decreased, and the full diameter of
26 millimetres—i.e., 24 to 28—is attained by the twenty-fifth day. Henceforward there is no visible change in the external appearance of the boll until it begins to show signs of cracking along the two or three lines of dehiscence on the forty-fifth day. On the forty-eighth day it is opening and hardening, and on the fiftieth day is ready to pick.

Development of the Seed (Fig. 13).—The ovule, when fully matured and ready for fertilization, is about 1 millimetre long. After fertilization, when it receives the designation of a seed, it increases at the following rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Length in Millimetres</th>
<th>Width in Millimetres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Third day</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixth day</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ninth day</td>
<td>4½</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twelfth day</td>
<td>6½</td>
<td>3½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifteenth day</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eighteenth day</td>
<td>9½</td>
<td>5½</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Henceforward the growth ceases rapidly, and 10 by 6 millimetres is the average size of the full-grown ripe seed.

The endosperm (Fig. 11, A, k).—The embryo-sac of the ripe ovule extends over about half its length. It maintains this proportionate size as the seed begins to enlarge, and then encroaches on the neighbouring tissue. The triple fusion nucleus already mentioned does not delay its division like the egg, but by the evening of the day on which fertilization took place (the first day of development) has divided into two separate nuclei. These repeatedly divide, until by the third day there are some hundreds of nuclei arranged in a layer of protoplasm
lining the wall of the embryo-sac. The large central cavity not occupied by protoplasm is filled with cell sap, consisting of water with various salts and food substances dissolved in it. At the end of the seed, where the embryo is situated (corresponding to the tip or stalk end when viewed from the outside), the nuclei form walls between themselves, cutting the protoplasm into definite cells, in the midst of which is the embryo embedded. Walling off does not take place until rather later in the other parts of the embryo-sac. By the eighteenth day the embryo-sac is about one-quarter filled with endosperm tissue, and three-quarters with cell sap, and in three or four days more the endosperm has filled the whole sac, only to be disorganized and obliterated by the embryo within a week.

The Embryo (Fig. 11, B, and Fig. 13).—We have already mentioned the earliest origin and appearance of the embryo. To the naked eye nothing of the nature of an embryo is visible on cutting open the seed until about the fifteenth day, for, although the embryo has been growing steadily all the time, its initial dimensions are so small that even rapid cell division does not give it any great size until several days have elapsed. This makes its ultimate behaviour all the more striking. On the eighteenth day it can be seen clearly by the naked eye, in three days more it is 2½ millimetres long, and in a week more it has practically filled the seed. The second half of boll maturation is occupied, so far as the embryo is concerned, by differentiating the internal structures, with which we are not concerned.
THE DEVELOPMENT OF RAW COTTON

The Seed-Coats (Fig. 13).—The two coats of the ovule, whose origin we have described, consist of almost undifferentiated cells, all resembling one another, excepting that inner and outer epidermis can be recognized on each coat, and that vascular tissue, or veins, traverses them to provide food and water. The vascular tissue enters the seed by its stalk, runs along the side, and then breaks up at the wide butt of the seed into short distributing branches.

The two coats, though originating separately, are closely appressed to one another, and for all practical purposes form a single layer. In the ripe seed this double jacket of delicate thin-walled cells has been converted into a horny protective envelope, consisting of the following structures from the outside inwards: There is an epidermis (between the cells of which the lint arises), an outer pigment layer, a hard "crystal layer" (Krystalschicht of Weisner), then a very thick horny layer of palisade-like cells, followed internally by the inner pigment layer, to which succeed the two papery remnants already mentioned as being derived from the endosperm, etc.

Though their thickness becomes greater through increased cell size and division, the coats show no marked change until the twelfth day of boll development, excepting for the growth of the lint and fuzz hairs.

On the twelfth day the outer epidermis of the inner seed-coat (corresponding to the layer from which the lint arises in the outer seed-coat) begins to increase the size of its constituent cells.

By the fifteenth day these cells have undergone marked
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elongation in a radial direction, and their nuclei show up very distinctly, scattered about all parts of the “palisade” layer which is formed by this elongation.

By the eighteenth day the nuclei of the “palisade” layer have taken up their position at the outward end of each palisade cell.

By the twenty-first day the inner end of the palisade cells has begun to thicken its walls, so that this layer, when viewed in section, consists of one-half thick-walled, clear, translucent, stony tissue, streaked by the original cell walls, and the outer half of the zone alone contains the nuclei and granular protoplasm, with the same walls still relatively thin.

The epidermis continues to increase the depth of its constituent cells for another week, and the palisade layer builds more and more thick cell wall on the inner end of each of its own cells, thereby forcing its nuclei farther and farther from the centre of the seed, so that some three-quarters of the palisade zone consists of translucent stony cell walls.

About the twenty-seventh day, when the seed-coats have reached the state of development described, a marked chemical change takes place in the pigment layers, which has not yet been investigated, but which is of considerable interest because it denotes the beginning of the second stage of boll maturation, during which the lint is given its strength. The change shows up in material which has been preserved in a mixture of acetic acid and alcohol. All the bolls from the first stage “pickle” to a green colour, which, of course, fades to
brown on exposure to light. From the twenty-seventh to forty-fifth day, however, the pickle thus made is at first pink, and then bright red. The colour is probably connected with the development of pigment in the seed-coat. When the boll is beginning to crack, the pickle is brown.

By the thirty-third day the palisade layer would appear to have reached its limit of possible extension by the method of construction practised thus far, and it finishes by putting down a certain amount of thick cell wall externally to its nuclei, so that in the ripe seed the palisade layer, which comprises half the total thickness of the seed-coat, shows a granular zone running through it at about one-third of the way inwards. This zone is the dead remains of the nuclei and protoplasm, which thus constructed and sealed their own living tomb.

At the same stage the epidermis has finished enlarging, and has begun to thicken its walls.

Subsequent changes are relatively uninteresting. The inner epidermis of the outer seed-coat thickens its walls without marked alteration otherwise, and the cells which separate it from the outer epidermis are disorganized to form the outer pigment layer of the ripe seed.

All the cells lying within the palisade layer are similarly disorganized, forming the second pigment layer, the inner epidermis of the inner coat disappearing along with them, in marked contrast to the enormous palisade layer formed from the outer epidermis.

It has seemed worth while to give the details of seed-coat development at some length, because of the casual
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assistance which they might provide to persons wishing to ascertain the age or state of ripeness of odd samples of cotton-seed or of seed cotton which might have to be examined. An entomologist, for example, could easily use them for dating the attack of a boll-worm. If used for such purposes, however, it would be advisable to take a check series when possible, as the times given would necessarily vary to some extent from country to country, and even within the same variety. Thus, at Giza, in 1913, while Strain No. 77 had a maturation period of forty-eight days, the same period on the same land for "Domains Afifi" was fifty-one days.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE LINT (Fig. 11, C, and Fig. 13).—Whatever refinements we may ultimately be able to introduce into our knowledge of lint development, so as to explain the origin of subtle differences which only the expert can detect, between samples of the same uniformity, length, breaking strain, diameter, and colour, it is now clear that the progress of inquiry has been hampered in the past by unnecessary and mystical elaborations of what is actually a most simple story.

The origination of the fibre is quite independent of fertilization, and also of pollination. Flowers picked at noon on the day of flowering show well-defined lint hairs, about as long as they are broad (Fig. 11, C, b). The lint hair itself is a simple unicellular hair, formed by the outward extension of the external wall of a single outer epidermal cell of the outer seed-coat. The full diameter of the hair is attained almost at once, when it is only \( \frac{1}{10} \) millimetre in length (Fig. 11, C, c), while its length
continues to increase until the twenty-fifth day of development, after which (Fig. 13) its wall begins to thicken, giving strength to the lint. This thickening is not uniform, but leaves simple pits in the wall (Fig. 12), set obliquely, and the closure of these pits when the wall dries after the boll opens gives twist to the fibre. The uninucleate cell-contents remain alive until the boll begins to open, when they die through desiccation.

The ordinary epidermal cell of the outer ovule coat has a thick basal wall, separating it from the subepidermal layer, thinner side-walls, a thin cuticle covering the outer wall and dipping slightly between the side-walls, with a nucleus which is about one-fifth of the length of the cell, and small sap cavities in the protoplasm.

The outer wall of some of these cells bulges, their protoplasm becoming densely granular in the protuberance, while the nucleus moves up closely behind these granules. The swelling enlarges to about twice the diameter of the original cell, and the nucleus passes out into it with all the chromatin retracted into a large, deeply-staining, central nucleolus. The nucleus keeps at a short distance behind the tip of the swelling, or hair, which continues to elongate at the rate of about 1 millimetre a day, which may be expressed in terms of diameter as about twice the diameter added to the length on the average every hour. It is almost certain that in the case of Egyptian cotton this elongation is not continuous, but is intermittent during sunshine in the same way as the growth of the branches or stem.

The nucleus at a later stage appears to settle near the centre of the fibre, about one-third of the way from the tip.

The cell wall remains extremely thin for the first three weeks, and the cuticle which still covers it can scarcely be differentiated, unless the wall has been swollen by Schweitzer's reagent, when (being unaffected by the ammoniacal copper
Fig. 12.—Plts.

a. Lint hair maltreated on eighteenth day, showing collapse of primary, unthickened wall.
b. Same treatment as (a) on twenty-fourth day, showing increased strength when even slightly thickened.
c. Longitudinal section of lint hair on thirty-sixth day, showing nucleus (one to each hair), protoplasm, thickened wall, and sap cavities. The protoplasm is slightly withdrawn from the wall; when alive, it is pressed against the wall by osmotic pressure of the cell sap.
d. Wall only, upper half (and diagrammatic end view), showing the pits in plan. Indications of them are also seen in the thickness of the wall as vague markings.
e. Diagram of half a pit. The secondary thickening is resting on a piece of primary wall, which the pits do not pierce.
oxide) it causes the familiar beaded appearance, the cellulose of the wall swelling through torn places in the cuticle.

The actual length to which the hair attains depends in the first place on the inherited nature of the plant which bears it, as discussed in a former chapter, and in the second place on the environmental circumstances during some ten days only, as discussed in Chapter IV. Whatever may have happened to the plant before or after these ten days cannot affect the length, exclusive only of the self-poisoning phenomena of Senescence. These latter probably enter to some extent into the life-history of every hair, but more research is needed upon them. One obvious utility of such research should be apparent, in that if senescence is the cause which checks the growth of the fibre at a certain length, it might be possible to obviate it, and produce lint of any length desired!

In the case of a batch of flowers marked on July 10, 1913, and picked at regular intervals till maturity, on No. 77 strain, the final mean length attained by all the fruits left to ripen was 30 millimetres (determined by seed-combing). The flowers from a few days before and after also gave the same length, so that the environmental circumstances were obviously acting fairly uniformly at the time. The mean length per day in the developing bolls was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>3rd.</th>
<th>6th.</th>
<th>9th.</th>
<th>12th.</th>
<th>15th.</th>
<th>18th.</th>
<th>21st.</th>
<th>24th.</th>
<th>27th.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length in millimetres</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some bolls and seeds stopped before reaching 30 millimetres, and some extended beyond it, the extreme lengths ultimately attained by sixty seeds which were measured when ripe being 24 and 35 millimetres, twenty of these being 29, 30, or 31 millimetres in length. These deviations from the mean are, of course, due to accidental circumstances, such as a check in growth from any cause, affecting the boll locally or the plant generally.

It should be noticed that the rate of growth is at its maximum somewhere near the fifteenth day, and is slow at the beginning. This should be borne in mind, as it will recur in the next chapter. Obviously, any cause affecting lint length will have most influence if it is acting on a boll which is fifteen days old.

Up to the twelfth day the delicate lint is firmly attached to the seed, and can only be torn away with some difficulty. By the fifteenth day, however, a noticeable change has taken place, and the lint can be stripped off with great ease during the next fortnight, leaving a smooth, shiny seed-coat behind. The subsequent firmer adhesion of the lint is due to the thickening of the epidermal cell walls, but it never again is so firmly held as during these first two weeks.

The first signs of thickening of the lint are apparent on the twenty-first day, though no visible increase in wall thickness can be seen. This first sign is a very simple one. Material which has been pickled in alcohol dries very quickly, especially when single hairs are removed and held in the air. Hairs thus treated from material earlier than the twenty-first day are contorted in all
directions as they dry up, but hairs of the twenty-four
day twist up as they dry. By the twenty-seventh day
the wall is visibly thickened with secondary deposits of
cellulose on the interior of the primary cellulose wall and
its cuticle. This accession of material continues until the
boll is about to crack, but the most rapid increase is
noted about the thirty-sixth to thirty-ninth days.

Meanwhile, by the thirty-third day it is easy to dis-
tinguish the simple pits in the wall (Fig. 12). These pits
are common in many kinds of vegetable cell-wall, and
are not in any way peculiar to cotton. They are of
about the same length as the diameter of the cell, and
are set at an angle of about 30 degrees to the axis of the
hair.

They are not at all easy to recognize; ordinary illumination
with a good microscope does not display them, owing to the
translucency of the cell wall and the background of granular
protoplasm. A much higher and better magnification (e.g.,
Zeiss compensating ocular 6, and 3 millimetre apochromatic
objective) is needed than their size would appear to warrant,
together with "critical" illumination. It should also be
noticed that they cannot be seen in ripe hairs, having been
obliterated by the twist, nor are they distinct in old pickled
material; but they cannot be missed in the unripe, untwisted
hairs, given a good microscope, new material, and correct
illumination.

That they have thus far escaped notice is probably due
to the fact that the observers who had the microscopes
had not the material, and vice versa. The discovery of
them renders unnecessary a great deal of speculative
philosophy which has been accumulated round the sub-
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ject of twist. Given such pits, the fibre must twist when it dries, unless the wall has been thickened so much as to obliterate the central cavity almost entirely. Whether the twist is right or left handed in any part of the fibre is determined by whether the pits are laid down with an inclination in one direction or the other. This direction appears to be accidental. It might be well if someone would re-examine the useless wild-cottons, which have weak twist or none, on these lines, and see if, as is probable, the pits are set too obliquely or too transversely to effect a good convolution of the hairs. It should be noticed that the twisting of the cell-wall may take place completely before collapse begins. Unripe lint kept in pickle behaves thus. The subsequent collapse which renders the convolutions visible when the boll opens can thus take place without further twisting.

The actual mode of thickening is open to further investigation; a well-thickened wall is about 0.004 millimetre thick, or about one-six-thousandth of an inch. This wall is probably composed of concentric layers, laid down during the active growth of each successive night, and numbering about twenty-five in all, of which a dozen should be of appreciable thickness. The daily or nightly layers would thus at the most be about 0.0004 millimetre in depth, so that their resolution by the microscope is highly improbable, without some previous treatment. Having been led to consider the existence of such concentric layers as probable, the author made several attempts to see if they could be recognized, but without success. Indications are sometimes seen when a section across a fibre has been torn slightly by the razor in cutting. If they exist, it is possible that some of the finer details of grading may be concerned with their arrangement, and the
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*THE DEVELOPMENT OF RAW COTTON*
Fig. 13.—Structural Development of the Boll.

Diagram drawn strictly to scale, showing the developing boll every three days from flowering to ripeness, with microscopic drawings of the development of the seed coat.
finer properties of cotton might be partly due to regularity of concentric structure, and to alternation of denser and looser layers of cellulose, analogous with the grain of timber.

Mr. Scott Taggart has raised a reasonable objection to the view that the fibre twists upon itself, but it is doubtful whether the objection is valid. He assumes that the hairs would knot themselves together inextricably if this were the case. As a matter of fact, they do knot themselves up a great deal, and now we recognize that the cell does not collapse until the boll is opening, we can allow them much more space to avoid one another than when it was believed that the twist was put in while the boll was still closed. A simple feature which seems to have escaped notice in this connection is the expansion of the seed-cotton when the boll opens. This is simply due to the twisting of the individual fibres, and when any fibre finds its freedom to twist opposed it necessarily pushes away its outermost neighbour, who is on the line of least resistance. The summation of all these little efforts expands the seed-cotton into a fluffy "lock." Very thin-walled cotton, which cannot exert a very powerful twist on drying, ripens silky locks of seed-cotton, in which the fibres run more parallel than in a more robust kind. Taking into consideration the fact that a fibre removed from the boll during the latter half of maturation does twist when dried, the evidence is probably against Mr. Scott Taggart's hypothesis of collapse without twisting, as a whole; but it is not improbable that the twist takes place, as in pickled material, before the collapse which reveals the convolutions (p. 79).

The density of lint on the boll is determined when the
lint first originates by the protrusion of individual epidermal cells. There does not appear to be any further growth of epidermal cells into lint hairs after this first day, in spite of accepted statements to the contrary. The density of the lint on a given area of seed-coat should thus, other things being equal, depend on the circumstances of the environment on the day when the flower opens. We shall see in the next chapter that there is some indication of this being the case.

Fuzz.—The hairs of the fuzz are distinguishable from those of the lint by their much greater diameter, even in the earliest stages of their development. They are as a rule about twice the diameter of a lint hair, or more. They arise in much the same way, at the same time, and from the same layer of cells. We discussed some of the interesting features of this velvety covering in an early chapter, and, except by showing the markedly greater size of the hairs, the microscope has thrown no further light on its significance, nor on its evolutionary relations to the lint.

We have now traced the main outlines of the details involved in the development of an average cotton boll. A tabular statement of the dates and corresponding stages in its life is appended, by the help of which, and of the diagrams (Fig. 13), it should not be too troublesome for the non-botanist to recapitulate the story.

We now pass to a more living account of the development, in which the play and elasticity of the structures we have described are demonstrated under the ebb and flow of environmental conditions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 cell</td>
<td>Layer lining embryo-sac</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2½</td>
<td>1½</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>160 cells</td>
<td>Layer thickening</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15½</td>
<td>4½</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Heart-shaped</td>
<td>Embryo deeply embedded</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6½</td>
<td>3½</td>
<td>3½</td>
<td>Root and seed-leaves clearly defined</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Visible to naked eye</td>
<td>Embryo-sac one quarter filled</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 mm. long</td>
<td>Embryo-sac completely filled</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2½ mm. long</td>
<td>Quarter obliterated by embryo</td>
<td>Outer epidermis enlarging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5 mm. long</td>
<td>Half obliterated</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7 mm. long</td>
<td>Nearly obliterated</td>
<td>Outer epidermis deeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Full size</td>
<td>Obliterated</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Outer epidermal walls thickened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Inner epidermis thickening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Intermediate layer disintegrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Papery layer only</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### OF BOLL DEVELOPMENT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seed.</th>
<th>Lint.</th>
<th>Notes.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner Seed-Coat.</td>
<td>Length (mm.)</td>
<td>Thickness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cuticle and primary cellulose only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer epidermis altering to palisades</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palisade definite, nuclei scattered</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palisade nuclei at outer margin</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half palisades seerotized</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Secondary thickening begins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Thickening scarcely visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-quarters of palisades sealedotized</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Thickening visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Pits visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer margin of palisades sealedotized</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Maximum increment of thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner layers disintegrating</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Thickenning practically ceased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER IV

DEVELOPMENT OF THE BOLL: II. ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCES

By studying in the previous chapter the stages of the development of the boll in one pure strain, we reduced the problem to its simplest terms, taking from this strain a batch of flowers which all opened in the same plot on the same day, and therefore went through experiences as nearly uniform as was possible. The presentation thus obtained is, nevertheless, correct in its general outlines for other kinds of cotton.

Before we can proceed further to some preliminary attempts at analyzing the commercial cottons, a further examination is needed, in which the Environment is allowed to change. The accounts given in this chapter are taken from data obtained with the same strain—No. 77—so as to avoid confusion, and also because it is with this strain only that sufficiently comprehensive data have been garnered. As in the previous chapter, however, the results are generally applicable, with slight modifications, to other kinds and environments.

Then, in Chapter V. we shall consider the effects of altering another component of the problem as well—namely, the Constitution of the plants cultivated.
There are many ways in which the environment of plants can be altered, but the simplest way of all is to make no attempt to control the surroundings, but simply to record the changes which naturally take place. This method is almost ideal for the study of developing cotton bolls in an irrigated and rainless country. Each set of bolls opening on each day will have passed through a slightly different series of experiences from those opening on the day before or on the day following. By arranging to obtain continuous observations day by day throughout the season, we can watch the effect of any particular environmental effect upon bolls of every age. Since the understanding of the following results depends on the realization of this point, it may be dealt with a little more fully (see also Appendix I.).

We saw in the previous chapter some strong reasons for concluding that any marked alteration of the environment on a certain day would affect the developing bolls of various ages according to the particular structural developments which were progressing inside them at the time. Consequently, if we apply the most severe modification possible, by killing the plant outright, we shall not affect the length of the lint in the old bolls, but we shall prevent it from becoming any longer in the young ones. Similarly, since the thickness of the lint hair wall is not laid down until the boll is halfway through its maturation, we shall check any further increase in thickness.

Less severe modifications of the environment, such as
water shortage, would presumably act in a proportionately less severe way. Thus, bolls which were young when deprived of water would not make lint of the full length, but the lint might be subsequently thickened normally if normal water-supply were restored. Conversely, bolls which were three-quarters grown at the same time would not thicken their lint normally, but the length of the lint, having already been established under preceding normal conditions, would be normal and unaffected.

We will now proceed to see how far evidence obtained in this way at Giza will carry us.

Two complete series of data have been obtained. The first covers sixty days in succession during 1912, on a plot of wide-sown No. 77, which was purposely subjected to severe water shortage; the second covers ninety successive days in 1913, on a group of plants of the same strain, growing in field crop conditions on excellent land, with cultivation as nearly perfect as it could be, and producing a crop from this particular plot of roughly 700 pounds of lint to the acre (if allowance is made for some 150 pounds of lint damaged by a very severe boll-worm attack). It will be seen later that the attack of this pest made no difference to the behaviour of the lint, so long as lint from obviously damaged locks was excluded from examination.

One difficulty presents itself—the dating of the bolls. Since there is a definite amount of fluctuation in the length of the maturation period, there must be uncertainty as to the exact stage of development of the old bolls if we group them by the date of flowering, and conversely there
will be uncertainty respecting the young bolls if we group them by the date of boll-opening. For very accurate purposes the flowers should be dated, and only those bolls which had ripened from them at the average maturation interval should be picked. This would mean labelling about five times as many flowers as were actually used, apart from those lost through normal shedding.

In these two series the author employed flower-labelling for the first series, and daily picking for the second. The first series was more closely directed to the study of lint length, and the second to the study of lint strength. The second method, moreover, accords with the actual field practice.

That a real difference, though a slight one, exists as between the two methods may be seen by examining the following table, which shows the "variability" of lint length from bolls which were all of the same nominal age, as determined by the two methods, taking sixty-three seeds in each case, and measuring the length on each in millimetres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length in Mm.:</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dated by flowering..</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dated by boll-opening...</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lengths are much more irregular in the second case, simply because we have included (under the same nominal age-designation) bolls which were not of the same age when the lint length was being determined, whereas in the first case our grouping is not likely to be more than a day wrong either way in this respect.
Diagram showing the changes in the principal characteristics of the seed-cotton from day to day, in samples ripened from flowers opening on sixty successive days; from left to right.

The curves from above downward (on the left side) represent:
- Lint length, thin line.
- Hair strength, thick line.
- Lint length shifted backwards twenty-one days to synchronize the action of the environment upon length, with its action upon strength which—in any one boll—is determined twenty-one days later (see Fig. 13, pp. 80-81), dotted line.

- "Strength," as estimated by experts' grading, smooth curve.
- Ginning out-turn, small dashes.
- Seed weight, longer dashes.
- Lint weight per seed, dots and dashes.
- Lint weight as a percentage of the seed weight, continuous line (crossing the two preceding curves).

The chief feature of this diagram is the resemblance of the dotted line to the thick one. Arrows at the base denote the dates on which water was given by irrigation.
DATED FLOWERS EXPERIMENT OF 1912.

The plot of No. 77 cotton was wide-sown with plants left standing singly on ridges 1 metre apart, the plants being separated by 1½ metres on the ridge. Cultivation was normal until early June, when irrigation was deliberately delayed, and further irrigation was then withheld from June 19 to August 2, instead of being given on July 10 as well.

Twenty flowers were labelled daily from June 7 to September 1, and were picked as they ripened. There were no insect pests, with the exception of abundant "stainer-bug" and a moderate amount of boll-worm in the last bolls.

The actual numerical determinations made are all given in Appendix II., Table I., which shows how the length and breaking strain of the lint, the ginning out-turn, and the weight of a single seed, were actually determined. The calculated weight of lint on a single seed, and the same figure reduced to a standard seed weight of 0·1 gramme, are also included. For the general reader, however, the main interest centres in the final figures for length and strength expressed as five-day means (Appendix II., Table II.), and in the presentment of these and other five-day means in the diagram (Fig. 14).

On this diagram are marked the dates of irrigation, and we could if necessary include all the other factors of the environment, such as temperature, wind, evaporation, etc. To do so would complicate matters unnecessarily, as it is clear that soil-water is the chief factor involved.
This influence of soil-water is characteristic of the Egyptian crop during the ripening of the bolls. In the middle of June the climatic control, which has until then been the main factor of the environment (acting chiefly through night temperature, as we have formerly mentioned), is rapidly lost, with the increasing size and evaporation of the plants, and thenceforward the chief need is the maintenance of sufficient moisture around the roots.

If we now examine the curves showing the changes in these various characteristics, such as lint length and strength, from one five-day mean to the next, the first feature which catches our attention is the suddenness with which the changes take place. The length of the lint rises steadily from 29.1 millimetres on August 1 to 30.9 millimetres on August 10, or nearly \( \frac{1}{2} \) inch in ten days; and this does not give the full magnitude of the change, since the calculation of five-day means tends to smooth out these differences. The true change between July 29 and August 12 is nearly 4 millimetres, or \( \frac{1}{4} \) inch, which in itself is sufficient to change the commercial classification of the lint produced.

These sudden changes are shown by all the observed characters, and it should at once be obvious that, when we speak of the properties of a bale of lint ginned from the field crop of even a pure strain of cotton—much less from a commercial variety—we are speaking in averages. That the pickings from different parts of the same field may be different has long been recognized, as also the fact that
different pickings have different values; but the extreme rapidity with which the properties of the cotton may change, so that the picking of one day may be capable of differentiation from those of the preceding and following days, has not been previously demonstrated.

Since the particular series under discussion was not grown under true field crop conditions, we will postpone further comment on this point.

The next notable feature of these records is the entire lack of apparent connection between the various curves.

Taking the most important cases from the Independence of Properties. economic view-point, Length and Breaking strain of the lint, we find the length falling steadily while the strength is rising unevenly, so that the pickings which ripen from the flowers of July 29 are both the strongest and the shortest of the whole series. Thence-forward there is a general rise in length and fall in strength, so that the flowers of August 11 ripen into lint which is the longest of the series, but is about 20 per cent. weaker than that of July 29.

A first casual inspection of the length and breaking strain diagram might thus lead us to the conclusion that a ripening boll had the choice between one of two careers, in so far as its lint was concerned: it could either become strong or it could become long, but it could not attain to both at once. A further postulate of some external change which would cause the bolls to turn their attention in one direction or the other would complete a theory of cotton development which would not be far remote from the generally accepted opinion of the present day.
ENVIRONMENT OF THE BOLL

Further examination would destroy this conclusion, for it would then be noticed that the two curves do not run exactly counter to one another, but that there are occasional minor rises and falls which are the same in both. These might be due to two causes: either the hypothesis of antagonistic development is wrong, and the general antagonism of the two curves is mere accident; or the methods by which these lengths and strengths are determined are not sufficiently accurate, and the minor rises and falls are of no significance.

Here comes in the utility of modern statistical methods; by their aid we can give a numerical expression to the chances of inaccuracy for these points which compose the curves. It is not necessary to go into details of the way in which these "measures of inaccuracy" are derived, but the result in the case of these two curves is as follows: For any point in the length curve the chances are even that it is not more than 1 per cent. out of the position which it would occupy were infinite pains and repetition used in its exact determination, while it is highly improbable that it should be more than 3 per cent. out of place. For the curve of breaking strain the even chance is 1.5 per cent., and extreme improbability at 5 per cent.

We now take the rise in strength, which culminates on August 9 (August 5 to 12); we find that the rise is 10 per cent. If only August 5 and August 9 were available, this difference might just be explicable by the summation of two extremely improbable occurrences. There are, however, some eight days involved, all in regular sequence; since the addition of each extra observation decreases
the probable uncertainty of the mean of all the observations according to a definite law, it follows that this rise in strength, which culminates on August 9, is not due to deficient precision in the methods used, but was a real rise which the plants actually experienced.

We can now go back to the other alternative, assume that the general antagonism between length and breaking strain in this series was mere accident, and see whether our knowledge of the structural development will help. We decided in the previous chapter that the most rapid increase in lint length took place about the fifteenth day, while in the thickness of the lint hair wall it took place at about the thirty-sixth to the thirty-ninth day.

We will first consider the fortunes of some flowers opening after July 29, remembering that this date was near the end of a long period of water shortage, when the plants were scarcely retaining any of their flowers, and were probably more or less poisoned or senescent. Flowers opening on August 29 were in their fourth day of development when the plot was irrigated, which we have seen in the last chapter would imply that their lint was about 10 millimetres long; they went on to maturity, and produced lint of 28.2 millimetres length. Flowers which opened after this day, up to those which opened on August 11, were of course younger when the water was given; those which opened on August 11 were then young buds which had only just begun to form their pollen-grains, for example. The younger these buds were when
the water was given, the more time they had to recover from the poisoning effects of water-shortage in the hot, dry climate of Egypt, and the more opportunity they had to decompose the poisonous substances which are believed to be formed in the cells. As the amount of this poisonous substance decreased in successive flowers, the lint grew up more nearly to its full length, but—as we shall see in the Daily Picking Series of 1913—did not reach it before the soil began to dry up again, and from August 11 the length therefore began to fall.

The full significance of this poisoning effect has yet to be worked out, and it would seem that cotton-lint is most suitable material for the purpose. Herein consists one of the principal utilities of pure strains: If they do not produce the product which we know they are capable of giving us, we can recognize the fact at once, and can search for the cause. The whole behaviour of No. 77 in this Dated Flower Series was rather that of a good strain struggling under adversity; it was prevented from reaching its normal behaviour by the poisoning effects brought about through water-shortage.

The main feature of these curves remains to be discussed. We have seen that they represent the behaviour of a cotton-plant under severely adverse conditions, and that there is no significant connection between the properties of length and strength in the lint from any given boll. In spite of this the movements of one curve can be used to forecast the other, so that, if we know the breaking strain
of the lint from bolls opening on a certain day, we can prophesy what will be the length of the lint in later bolls.

Let us take the case of a flower opening on August 11, which we have seen already was a day giving the young fruit a chance to produce lint of good length. This would probably be due to causes—in addition to the recovery from poisoning—acting when it was about fifteen days old, as the previous chapter indicated, and if we refer to the diagram we shall find that when this boll was eight days old the land was watered (on August 19). Thus on August 19 to 25 we know that certain environmental conditions existed which were favourable for lint length development.

It remains to see what effect these same conditions produced on the thickness of the lint hair wall, affecting the breaking strain. Such bolls as would receive the most benefit from these optimal circumstances—if such were capable of acting equally on thickness as well as on length—would presumably be about thirty-eight days old at the time. Bolls which were thirty-eight days old about August 24 would have opened as dated flowers about July 20. The flowers which opened about July 20 are seen—in the diagram—to have had nearly the strongest lint hairs in the series.

We can test the matter for every date examined by shifting not merely the flowers of July 20 and August 11 into superposition, but by moving the whole curve of lint length back through an interval of about twenty-three days, as has been done in Fig. 14. The two curves are the same, when duly synchronized, excepting for
alterations in general slope, which are due to the self-poisoning effect.

This identity of the two curves fits in perfectly with the microscopic evidence, and we shall see in the next series that it was no mere accident of the season which brought it about, nor any abnormality of the plots, but that it is even more marked and definite in good cultivation than in experimental modifications.

Before considering the behaviour of the other characters it may be worth while to comment on one detail of the preceding pages. The author has shown elsewhere that it is possible to depict "good cultivation" graphically, for under a given set of climatic circumstances a given kind of cotton should flower and fruit at certain definite rates, and if these rates are not attained cultivation has been defective. Following on from this, and from the remarks on poisoning from water-shortage just made, and supplementing them by comparison with the series of dated bolls in field cultivation, it becomes possible to define the object of good cotton cultivation as a fight against self-poisoning, or senescence, or autotoxy.

Seed-weight is a feature which we can hardly expect to dissolve into its components. To some extent it is determined by the size of the seed, which is settled at the same time as lint length, and should therefore fluctuate with it. The mere size, however, is not everything, and all the subsequent changes which the embryo and seed-coats undergo must each leave its mark upon the weight finally attained. We
might expect that the seed weight would roughly follow the mean between the daily changes in lint length and breaking strain, and this seems to be the case. Senescence effects are more markedly shown by the seed, with its massive cell structure, than by the more or less isolated simple cells of the lint, so that the mean seed weight in this series degrades steadily towards the autumn.

The seed itself is of comparatively little interest to those who have to deal with raw cotton, but to the grower and to the owner of the ginning factories the out-turn in ginning is a matter of considerable importance. The cause of the very definite seasonal and geographical variations which take place in this respect has never yet been explained; differences between different kinds of cotton have been partly traced to their source, but the causes of fluctuation in ginning out-turn have long been mysterious. Part of this is due to the difficult way in which the ratio is expressed as "lint obtained from lint plus seed." If we take the data for ginning out-turn and convert them into absolute measurements as "seed weight" and "lint weight per seed," we shall find that they are easier to handle.

In the first place, we note that towards the end of the season, when the lint is becoming short and weak, the out-turn at the gin rises to its maximum. This is not the common experience of field crop, but is presumably the accidental outcome of our abnormal treatment of the plot in question. It immediately causes one to suspect the
truth of the belief that there is a necessary connection between high out-turn and good lint; probably it is a matter of accident that the circumstances which produce high out-turn do also produce good lint under field conditions.

Having turned the out-turn data into lint weight (per seed), we may now compare lint weight and seed weight. They are evidently closely related, and the same cause which affects one also affects the other. That the relation is not absolute is shown—without the necessity of plotting correlation diagrams—by the mere existence of out-turn variations. The question therefore arises as to the causes which may disturb this relation, causing a seed to produce more or less weight of lint than is normal for its own weight.

We cannot ascribe a rise in out-turn to increased weight of individual hairs through extra thickening, for if this were so the out-turn curve should be the same as the strength curve. We cannot ascribe it to increased length of hairs of equal thickness, for this would make out-turn and length curves identical. If we ascribe it to deficient nutrition of the seed during the later stages we shall spoil our own argument, for that would entail deficient nutrition of the lint hairs, which would thicken less, and therefore weigh less. All these hypotheses and many more can be tested on the data given here, and can be found wanting; there is only one which appears to fit the case.

This last hypothesis is rather remarkable, in that it
places the cause of out-turn variations in the very last stage where one would ever think of looking for it—namely, in the open flower! At the same time it has the merit of explaining every fluctuation peculiarly which ginning out-turn displays.

If we take the curve showing daily variations in the average lint weight per seed, and calculate the weight of lint which would be borne each day if the seeds were all of the same weight—e.g., 0.1 gramme, we obtain the ginning out-turn expressed in a somewhat different form, with the seed as the foundation unit. If we now take this curve of standardized lint weight, and compare it with the curve for lint length, we find that they are closely similar when a shift of about a fortnight is made, so that conditions of the environment which are affecting the length of the lint in a fifteen-day boll are brought into line with their simultaneous action on a boll which is newly set.

There is only one way in which this effect can be accounted for—namely, by changing the number of epidermal cells which sprout into hairs (Fig. 11, C, a). To confirm this conclusion by direct observation would require the counting of all the hairs on a large number of seeds, a task which is humanly impossible by any direct method;* an indirect method which the author attempted will be mentioned below.

* While this book was in the printer's hands an article by Mr. Leake appeared in the Journal of Genetics (1914), dealing with ginning out-turn in the Indian cottons. By infinite patience—aided by the shorter and coarser nature of the Indian lint—he has achieved the "impossible," and shows that the differences
Looking at the significance of a high ginning out-turn in this light, its meaning is plain. If the crop of a given year has been marked by a high ginning out-turn—as compared with former years for the same variety—it implies that a great majority of the flowers have opened on days when the weather was favourable; in other words, that excessively hot, dry days, such as put a severe strain on the water-content of the plant, have been few in number. Thus the correlation which has been shown to exist between the ginning out-turn of the Egyptian crop and the size of the crop in the same year is easily understood. Moreover, if there is a sufficient proportion of good-weather days, and if there is ample water-supply, the length of the young bolls and the strength of the old ones will all be affected beneficially at the same time as the ginning out-turn of their youngest relations is being set at a high figure. High ginning out-turn is thus what it is claimed to be, an index to good quality in general.

Further, if a boll has passed through severe weather in the flower stage, the immediate effect will be diminished sprouting of the lint hairs, with ultimately a low out-turn as the consequence; and in addition to this, and as a natural further sequence of it, the cells of the boll will be more or less self-poisoned, or senescent, and the later stages of development will suffer proportionately. Very

in out-turn between different species and varieties of them are proportional to the numbers of hairs per seed. Since this explanation has been reached by two entirely dissimilar lines of attack, we may consider it fairly well established.
bad weather at flowering, producing severe water-
shor tage, for example, followed by excellent weather for
the rest of the life of the boll, would result in a low out-
turn, rather short lint, and yet the lint might ultimately
recover and thicken to normal strength.

Thus ginning out-turn is not necessarily connected
with any other characteristic of the lint, except when
self-poisoning is involved; but in the gamut of a series
of cotton bolls ripening during a period of more than two
months, the chances are that generally a high out-turn
will be accompanied by generally good length, and to a
rather less extent by good strength.

An interesting side-issue of this interpretation is that
ginning out-turn should be more variable than lint
 length, and this in its turn more variable
than strength, if we take only a uniform
period of weather lasting a few days, while
seed weight should be the least variable of
all. Actually, the extreme percentage differences between
groups of bolls ripened under the same conditions were
about 2 per cent. in seed weight, 5 per cent. in lint
length, 8 per cent. in breaking strain, and 16 per cent. in
ginning out-turn. This is due to the different lengths of
the period in which determination of the respective
characters takes place, seed weight being affected over a
long period, and out-turn over a very short one, so that
an accidental circumstance lasting for a few hours will
scarcely make any impress on the former, but will almost
entirely determine the latter.

Again, however, considering the average of the chances
of a whole crop, the order of variability is reversed, short-period accidents tending to obliterate themselves; so that while ginning out-turn only changes by 1 or 2 per cent. from year to year, length may vary more, and strength so much as to mark off certain years of the Egyptian crop, just like famous or infamous vintages of port and champagne.

Before considering the grader’s report on the samples from these dated flowers, it is necessary to deal with a result derived mainly from other material. Weight of Single Lint than the series under discussion—namely, Hairs. the weights of single fibres. As in the case of breaking strain, although the measurements of this characteristic are not of direct use to the commercial growers or users of cotton as they stand, it is quite possible that some simple indirect or mechanical method of obtaining the measurements may be devised, and knowledge of them be turned to utilitarian account. The four components which could affect the weight of a lint hair are its length, the thickness of its wall, the density of the cellulose of which the wall is composed, its diameter, and its moisture-content. Length can be eliminated by cutting uniform lengths out of the middle of a fibre, and moisture by standardizing the humidity of the air in which the weighings are made, or by calculation; we do not know whether variations in the density of the wall exist, but if such is the case they could be detected by weighing hairs of equal thickness. In general, however, the weight of the hair will depend on its diameter and the thickness of its wall; thus the weights of fibres of
equal diameter should be proportional to their strength as tested by breaking strain.

The author happened to possess two pure strains of cotton whereof excellent samples were available, grown under the most suitable conditions, which had both been graded by experts as extraordinarily strong. The diameter of a hundred fibres from two such samples (strains No. 77 and No. 310) showed relatively slight differences, but the breaking strain of one was half that of the other, and the weight of equal lengths of fibre was in the same proportion. Weight determinations were made on a few samples from the series of Dated Flowers, with similar results, but, since it was not possible to construct a full series of data, it will suffice to illustrate the main points by standard samples of different cottons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind.</th>
<th>Fibres weighed</th>
<th>Weight of 10 Millimetres</th>
<th>Breaking Strain</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77 G.</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.00176</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>0.0177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310 G.</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.00198</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>0.0174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310 N.</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.00122</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77 D.F.</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>0.00157</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assilí G.</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>0.00142</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ratio \( \frac{\text{fibre weight}}{\text{breaking strain}} \), where \( x \) is a constant, is almost the same in all cases—thus:

- 77 G. \( \ldots \ldots \ 3.26 \)
- 77 D.F. \( \ldots \ldots \ 3.87 \)
- 310 G. \( \ldots \ldots \ 2.60 \)
- 310 N. \( \ldots \ldots \ 2.95 \)
- Assilí \( \ldots \ldots \ 3.10 \)
ENVIRONMENT OF THE BOLL

Consequently, the breaking strain of a fibre is very largely determined, if not entirely, by its weight, or, in other words, by the thickness of its cell wall. This holds good between very different types of Egyptian cotton, and is independent of the lint length or of the site in which the cotton is grown. The sample marked 310 N. was grown at Neguileh, in the Northern Delta, over a hundred miles away from Giza, where the others were grown. The two samples marked with a star (77 G. and 310 N.) were respectively of Nubari and Sea Island type, and were both graded as extremely strong, or SSSSS on the grader’s scale, but 310 N. was much "finer" than 77 G.

This last result carries us on to the gradings of the dated flowers, but before leaving the subject of weight of single lint hairs it may be interesting to note that the mean lint length of 310 N., taken hair by hair, is just over 41 millimetres, and that the weight of lint on a single seed is about 0.033 gramme; since 10 millimetres of a single lint hair in its thickest part weighs 0.00122 milligramme, one hair will weigh about 0.00400 milligramme, and there must consequently be about 8,000 hairs on a single seed, whose aggregate length at 41 millimetres per hair must be 328,000 millimetres, or 328 metres.

The hairs from five seeds only of 310 N. would therefore extend for a mile if placed end to end.

It is rather interesting to notice also that the sample 77 G. also works out at about 8,000 hairs per seed; for though each hair weighs more, the lint weight per seed happens to be greater.