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Figure 1: *The Duc de Choiseul, Mme. de Brionne and the Abbé de Barthélémyn at the Château de Chanteloup*, about 1765, by Jacques Wilbault (French, 1729-1806). The J. Paul Getty Museum.
The author wishes to thank Gillian Wilson, Curator of Decorative Arts at the Getty Museum, for bringing this portrait to her attention and allowing it to be used in this article.
An Expensive Affair: The Cost of Elegance in Eighteenth-Century France and Italy*

Anne Ratzki-Kraatz

Was the pursuit of elegance an expensive affair in the eighteenth century? How much, proportionately, did elegant men and women spend on their laces and clothes in relation to other things? Were special occasions such as weddings the excuse for extraordinary spending? In short, what were the economics of elegance in the 18th century?

This article proposes to try to give at least the beginning of an answer to these questions by quoting figures for various items purchased by fashionable people of the day. Most of the examples cited are drawn from unpublished sources in the French and Venetian State Archives. For access to the latter, I would like to express my grateful thanks to the Gladys Kriehle Delmas Foundation of New York from which I received two separate grants enabling me to spend five months in Venice, working in the Archives.

In order to make the figures quoted more meaningful, I have established an artificial relation of one to one between the French and Italian currencies of the time and the American dollar, that is, one French livre or one Venetian lira will be quoted as one dollar.¹ This is merely a device to help visualize, as it were, the sums mentioned. I have done this because what I would like to show is not how much certain goods manufactured in the eighteenth century would cost today: that is impossible! Most of them are no longer made and, besides, currencies fluctuate far too widely and frequently in our era. Instead, what I have attempted is to establish a scale of values by comparing the price of luxury items with amounts spent for necessary or less luxurious ones, thereby placing the economics of elegance in the context of the times to which they belong.

To illustrate the point right away: the average price of a one-pound loaf of bread in France in the second half of the eighteenth century was three sols (or 3/20 of a livre).² Using the livre as a unit of value of one dollar, I refer therefore to the price of bread as fifteen cents. The average monthly wage of a servant in a fashionable household at the same time was twenty livres, to which I refer as twenty dollars. The price of a length of multi-colored silk fabric averaged twenty-four livres an elli, the elli being a unit of measure varying widely from country to country but taken here to represent one and a quarter yards.³ This price of twenty-four livres shall be quoted as twenty-four dollars, so that it may readily be seen that a servant in a wealthy household, consequently better paid than many, would have had to work five weeks in order to be able to purchase a single length of good silk.

*Taken from a lecture delivered at the Los Angeles County Museum of Art and at the J. Paul Getty Museum in March 1983, in connection with the Los Angeles County Museum of Art exhibition "An Elegant Art: Fashion and Fantasy in the Eighteenth Century."
But first let me set the elegant continental stage as described in the diary of a young lady of fashion, Miss Cleone Elizabeth Knox of Castle Kearney, County Down, Ireland, who was travelling to France and Italy with her father and brother in the year 1764. Arriving on August sixth at the Château de Chanteloup, at the invitation of the Duchesse de Choiseul whose husband was Secretary of State to Louis XV and a protégé of Madame de Pompadour, Miss Knox exclaims: "Never have I seen or indeed dreamed of any place so magnificent as this . . . everywhere one glances are fine gilt mirrors, clocks and vases of priceless value . . . 'Tis fit for a princess! We pass our days in elegant leisure and, for supper, we dress as if at Versailles."

A painting by Jacques Wilbault now in the J. Paul Getty Museum, shows the Duc de Choiseul himself with Madame de Brionne and the Abbé de Barthélémy at the Château de Chanteloup (Figure 1). Judging from the lady’s dress and her laces, the date must be nearly the same as that at which our young lady was guest in the house, that is in the middle 1760's.

Madame de Brionne is wearing an afternoon dress of plain salmon-pink silk; such unbrocaded, solid-color silks cost an average of thirteen dollars a length at that time. Fabrics were a narrow twenty-one inches in width so that it took up to thirty-four yards to make a dress. That would put the cost of Madame de Brionne's dress at 442 dollars; the dress-maker would receive some twelve dollars for the work of cutting and sewing the dress.5 The lace cuffs, which appear to be French needle lace of the Alençon/Argentan type, might have cost as much as 490 dollars an ell, as indicated on a bill from a Mon-sieur Vanot. Monsieur Vanot was lace-merchant to the king of France, Louis XV. Several of his bills preserved in the French National Archives show that on January seventh, 1765, he furnished Louis XV with extra fine needle lace at 490 dollars an ell for a pair of cuffs. Madame de Brionne’s cuffs, or engageantes as they are called, require approximately four yards of lace6 and would therefore have amounted to a total of 1,960 dollars, almost exactly five times as much as the cost of her dress.

Returning to the king’s accounts for a moment, we find that M. Vanot also supplied Louis XV in January 1765 with several lengths of Valenciennes lace for his nightcaps and cuffs. The bill specifies that it is real Valenciennes lace, meaning that it was made in the city of the same name, in northern France, and not in a neighboring town, however close, where the quality of the air as well as of the workmanship were thought to be inferior to those prevailing in the city itself (Figure 2). In the month of January 1765, alone, the king ordered a total of forty-three ells of “super fine” Alençon, Argentan, Valenciennes, Malines and Brussels lace to make up eight nightcaps, twenty-four pairs of matching cuffs for his nightshirts and three pairs of other cuffs. The bill amounted in all to 5,286 dollars.7 The king was obviously as elegant by night as he was by day (Figure 3)! By comparison, the average yearly wage of a Valenciennes lace-worker for the same period was 156 dollars, or less than the cost of one length of her own lace.8 The daily account
books of a French lady show us what one could buy with three dollars, the equivalent of our lace-worker's weekly wage: "... item, one pig's tongue, jellied, two bottles of wine (origin and year not specified), one one-pound bread," or hardly enough to feed a family for a week.9

To illustrate the point with one last example: on the seventeenth of September, 1766, the seven-year-old Madame Clotilde, one of the princesses of the blood, received two new flounces for her dressing table, one of Alençon lace and one of Brussels lace worth a total amount of 7,733 dollars, representing almost fifty years of salary for a lace-maker (Figure 4).10 This is admittedly not a true comparison for not one but many lace-makers would have worked on such large dressing-table flounces; it is merely intended to provide a yardstick by which to gauge the importance of luxury goods at the time.

Still in Paris, in 1763, the Venetian ambassador, Count Tiepolo, moved into an elegant townhouse, or hôtel particulier, for the rental of which he paid 8,000 dollars a year, just a little more than was paid for the two lace flounces of the seven-year-old Madame Clotilde.11

Count Tiepolo must have been an elegant man for he regularly spent eight dollars a month in pomade and powder for his wigs, liked to have flowers on his terrace and in his apartments at the high cost of thirty-seven dollars a month, paid his tailor, Monsieur Cancray, an average of 900 dollars a month, and gave one of his servants twenty-four dollars a month to wash and generally care for his laces. He liked to eat ices in summer and spent an average of fifteen dollars a month on them during the hot season, while for the same amount a month the waterbearer brought in water for the household needs. His servants' wages amounted in all to almost 1,000 dollars a month. If we allow an average of twenty dollars a month to each servant, that means there were some fifty servants in the house. That figure is not too high considering that Miss Knox claimed there must have been at least four hundred domestics at the Château de Chanteloup.

To feed the entire household, servants included, cost Count Tiepolo an average of 1,500 dollars a month. He was particularly fond of Graves wines from the Bordeaux region, for which he paid two dollars a bottle. He and his family also drank an average of twenty-four bottles of mineral water a month at two dollars a bottle, or the same price as that of a bottle of wine.

As a man of elegance he kept a number of fine horses in his stables, among them an English horse purchased for 1,200 dollars in England and brought over by boat at a cost of 200 dollars. The six hundred bales of hay, six hundred bales of fodder and twelve 120-pound bags of oats for the horses cost him an average of 600 dollars a month, or the equivalent salary of thirty servants. He occasionally bought honey as a remedy for sick horses, at the high cost of twelve dollars a jar. In all, Count Tiepolo spent an average of 17,000 dollars a month while in Paris, living, it may be assumed, a fairly elegant life.
If daily life was an expensive affair, special occasions such as weddings were the pretext for such displays of elaborate elegance as to bring even wealthy families close to bankruptcy. Noble families marrying a daughter often had to pay for the dowry in several installments, so important were the amounts involved, while the bridegroom's went into debt, sometimes for years, to pay for the day's expenses and the bride's wedding attire.\textsuperscript{12}

Our young lady of fashion, Miss Knox, who had arrived in Venice in April 1765, was invited to such a wedding. All the bride's garments and jewels were laid out for the guests to see, causing Miss Knox to exclaim: "Never have I seen such a wardrobe before . . . at least a score or more gowns of rich materials. A profusion of gold and silver brocade, velvet, linen, fans, buckles, shoes, lace and embroidery. I call to mind in particular a superb costume of silver satin embroidered in silver, a cloak of rose-colored velvet trimmed with ermine, etc. etc." (Figure 5).\textsuperscript{13}

The wedding of his excellency Domenico Tiepolo, a relative of our ambassador, and the noble lady Maria Priuli, which took place in Venice in July 1788, must surely have been one of the most magnificent ones of the year. 63,739 dollars were spent on clothes and accessories for the bride alone.\textsuperscript{14} The bridal dress had to come from France, of course. The celebrated house of Farge et Fils, in Lyon, furnished it. It was made out of thirty-four ells of white silk damask with a garland motif, twelve ells of brocaded veil and thirty-seven ells of blonde lace for the trimmings, at a cost of 22,888 dollars, shipping in a sturdy crate included. In that connection, it is interesting to note that the dress was white and that there was a veil, although we do not know exactly how it was worn; this would seem to contradict the frequent assertion that weddings in white and wedding veils only came into fashion in the early nineteenth century.

The house of Farge and Sons also supplied various lengths of fabrics, embroidered waistcoats for the groom and other dresses among which was one, the color of London fog (in French, "couleur des cheminées de Londres"), that is, silvery grey, embroidered with gold bouquets for 990 dollars, seventeen meters of lilac-and-green-striped satin at 394 dollars, a man's coat and breeches of watered silk with matching embroidered vest for 670 dollars, and a man's waistcoat embroidered with gold and multicolored silks for 1,100 dollars.

The sums above amount to little, however, when compared with the price of a set of laces expressly ordered for the occasion from Flanders and comprising a deep flounce, a smaller one, two kerchiefs, two trimming lengths and quadruple sleeve cuffs or *engageantes*. The whole set cost 5,046 dollars, including the twenty-two dollars given the designer who created the motif (Figure 6). By contrast, two sets of lace cuffs, one of Brussels, the other of Malines lace, purchased on May tenth, 1788, for the same wedding, cost only 418 dollars; presumably the design, hence the workmanship and skill required, was here considerably less elaborate than on the preceding set. Indeed, lace
designs in 1788 generally consisted of nothing more than a few lightly traced branches with minute flowers and string of pearl-like ornaments (Figure 7). Grand sets, with an especially rich composition, were evidently still thought desirable for grand occasions, at least in Italy.

In Paris, the look in 1788 was one of utter simplicity. The French queen Marie-Antoinette, had adopted the English fashion of wearing white dresses made out of Indian lawn, a simple but expensive fabric at twenty-four dollars a yard; with that she usually wore a hat of yellow straw with a bow in front, one at the back and a ribbon to tie under the chin, at fifty-four dollars, and English linen kerchiefs around her shoulders at eighteen dollars each.\textsuperscript{15}

Back in Venice, where the bride's clothes were by no means so simple, the bridegroom, who had to pay for it all by tradition, did not hesitate to save where he could by subtracting a sizable 18% off the bill submitted by one Elisabetta Bagolin on the twenty-second of March, 1788, for the embroidering of a white silk skirt and cape. The bill amounted to 220 dollars; she was paid only 180. She could not read or write and so signed her name with a cross and it is to be hoped, for her sake, that she could not count either!

Furs ordered for the bride included sable, white wolf and white rabbit from Moscow, as well as pelts from the underbelly of snow lynx with which to make cuffs, trimmings and linings for her white, pink, and powder blue satin cloaks. The whole was worth 2,458 dollars, or half as much as the set of laces from Flanders mentioned earlier. The use of fur trimmings had become fashionable, it is said, as a result of Louis XV's marriage to the daughter of the king of Poland, Marie Leszczyńska, in 1725, Polish dress being trimmed with fur in winter (Figure 8). But by 1788, in France at least, such fur trimmings had fallen almost completely out of fashion. Marie-Antoinette's fur bills for the entire year 1785 do not exceed the very modest sum of 1,030 dollars, out of a total wardrobe expenditure of 236,000 dollars.\textsuperscript{16} This amount was, by the way, more than 110,000 dollars in excess of the queen's annual wardrobe allowance of 120,000 dollars.

Back in Venice once more, Count Tiepolo's bride received twenty pairs of shoes for the wedding. Almost all of them were made of satin, red, white, or lilac colored, and some of them were embroidered. They were purchased from one Domenico Schiavetto and cost an average of only twelve dollars a pair, except for the one in embroidered white satin, which cost forty-eight dollars. These are extremely modest amounts considering the skill required to make a shoe. It means that a lady's maid could probably afford to wear satin shoes, just as her mistress did, on her monthly wages of twenty dollars.\textsuperscript{17}

The Tiepolo bride had twelve fans that, except for the one ordered from Paris and made of mother-of-pearl which cost 110 dollars, are also surprisingly among the most reasonable items in her trousseau. One of these, for example, with a leaf painted in the Chinoiserie style, cost only twenty-two dollars, even though it was ordered as well from a Parisian house by the name of Vielacz. Another painted fan cost even less: two and one half dollars! Altogether, the twelve fans with their boxes cost 404 dollars.
That fans were an inexpensive item, at least in the 1780’s, is confirmed as it were, in Marie-Antoinette’s accounts for the year 1785, where total expenses for fans amount to only 319 dollars. That would seem to make fans one of the few items much more valuable today on the antiques market than they were in the late eighteenth century.

The bride’s corset, made to order of course, included eleven main whalebone stays, as opposed to the thirteen generally used for a grown-up woman. Her age is not indicated but it is possible that she was in her early teens and consequently very small in the chest, hence the two stays less (see Figure 5). The eleven stays cost in all only three dollars.

The bridegroom ordered a watch from Geneva, a fashionably flat, enameled one with diamonds all round the dial and a secret compartment, for 1,540 dollars. He also ordered an oval snuff box with a medallion in the center surrounded with pearls, for 880 dollars, and a triple gold chain with diamonds for 2,904 dollars. Although expensive and far beyond the reach of most people at that time, these jewels and watches cost little more than a single dress or suit of clothes and far less than some laces, as we have seen.

Perhaps as a present to his bride-to-be, the groom had his portrait painted in April 1787, by a painter of some note, Signor Bertoldi, who was paid the handsome fee of 1,936 dollars. Unfortunately, the portrait does not seem to have remained in the Tiepolo family, whose direct descendant, Dottorezza Tiepolo, is currently head of the Venetian State Archives, where the bills concerning the Tiepolo family expenses as well as the account books of the Venetian ambassador to France, another Tiepolo, are kept.

All male servants received a new livery for the occasion of the Tiepolo wedding, as well as new silk stockings (they wore cotton ones on ordinary days). A pair of silk stockings cost twenty-two dollars, or two dollars more than the servant’s wage of twenty dollars a month and almost twice as much as a pair of satin shoes. The total amount spent by the Tiepolos to renew their servants’ outfits for the wedding, including that of the gondoliers who had a particularly elaborate costume, was 20,411 dollars.

Miss Cleone Knox bears witness to the magnificence of gondola decorations on festive days in Venice. She recounts the Gradenisos' gondola in particular “...draped superbly in gold brocade, fringed with purple, their coat of arms embroidered in silver upon either side. Their gondoliers in rich costume. The oars gilded. I lay on cushions of purple satin and thanked heaven I had on my lilac satin and pearls for this occasion.”

Finally, before we come to the expenses for the wedding banquet, let us briefly look at the Venetian palace where it took place. Everything in it was completely refurbished for the wedding. All chairs and sofas were reupholstered and new curtains made of Pompadour blue satin. It took 369 meters of fabric at twenty-seven dollars a meter to do so, bringing the upholstery bill, labor not included, to the enormous sum of 9,963 dollars.
Gourmet food was just as much a part of the elegant man's or woman's life in the eighteenth century as it is today. The wedding banquet at the Tiepolo-Priuli wedding was therefore a most elegant and costly one. Altogether 11,780 dollars were spent on it. There were such delicacies as twenty-one dozens of fresh Roman truffles (the white, Italian kind), at 168 dollars; 960 dollars' worth of salt-water fish and 669 dollars' worth of freshwater fish. There was veal, beef, chicken and other fowl and various vegetables on the menu. Among these young artichokes were one cent apiece. There were big strawberries. There were thirty large watermelons, all of them for only two dollars. This puts the price of a watermelon at something less than the price of a loaf of bread. It may safely be assumed that these prices were somewhat inflated, since the goods were supplied to a wealthy, patrician family. Presumably the common folk were able to obtain vegetables and fruits at lower cost so that the lace-worker mentioned earlier could, for example, with her three dollars a week, buy little meat but many fresh vegetables and fruits, as well as bread for her family.

The wedding cakes were eighteen in number and pyramidal in shape. They cost 320 dollars. By far the most expensive course on the menu, jams, sweetmeats and other candy distributed for dessert, cost 3,200 dollars.

Lavish tipping on the occasion of ceremonies such as weddings or funerals was also a tradition and a mark of elegance in the eighteenth century. It was also a way for the servants to increase their wages and they depended a great deal on it. For his part, his excellency Count Domenico Tiepolo distributed 8,121 dollars in various tips and gratuities among members of his household staff and that of his bride on the day of the wedding.

Because the amounts involved were so enormous, the economics of elegance was not always based on the outright purchase of goods which, as we have seen, were very expensive. Instead, there were a number of practices to which eighteenth-century men and women of elegance resorted in order to make their money go further.

They included purchasing an object and paying for it several months to several years later, interest free; asking for a discount at the time of payment; re-selling objects used or thought to have become unfashionable, sometimes to the very person from whom they had been purchased in the first place, but even more frequently to one's servants; or renting expensive items, particularly jewels, but also clothes and laces, instead of buying them. The specific examples that follow all come from Venetian archives, but could as easily have been found in Paris or in London.

Girolamo Corner, a Venetian nobleman, was obviously especially adept at the buy-now-pay-later system. On the seventh of April, 1745, a merchant whose boutique was called "At The Three Saints" had furnished him with thirty-five ells of extra fine gold lace, worth 782 dollars, presumably to trim a habit or a dress. Payment for the lace was made on the twelfth of September, 1751, or six and a half years later.20
Another Venetian, Francesco Barbarigo, had purchased on the twenty-fourth of December, 1739, a large number of laces, trimmings and shirts from several different boutiques including the most fashionable one in Venice then, “At The Cardinal”; the name of the boutique would seem to indicate something of the reputation of the church in matters of elegance. 

From Niccolò Foresti, the owner of “Al Cardinale,” in particular, he had bought a complete set of super fine French needle lace comprising two lappets for a bonnet of the latest style (latest style specified on the bill), a matching trim for the cap and a cap-back, a neckerchief and approximately twenty-one ells of trim, all for a total of 5,580 dollars. On the twenty-fourth of September, 1740, he consented to pay 1,650 dollars out of his total bill. By 1742, three years later, he had not given another penny and the merchant involved took him to court. Barbarigo at first pretended not to know the man and his associates, never to have had “... the opportunity of having been introduced to any of these gentlemen...” and informed the court sternly that if they should continue to pester him with their demands, he should find himself under the painful obligation of protecting his privacy by legal means. The judge was not amused and he sentenced Barbarigo to pay his debt; that was done, interest free, on August twenty-first, 1747, eight years after the original purchase, probably something of a record.  

Getting rid of used clothes, laces, or fabrics by selling them to one’s friends, servants or to second-hand dealers was a common practice at the time. On the eleventh of February, 1751, one of the ladies from the house of Tron, in Venice, sold a wool, silver-trimmed riding habit with matching skirt to a used-clothes dealer named Girolamo Savioni for 400 dollars, a small veil entirely of lace for 264 dollars, and for 1,320 dollars a complete trimming assortment of sable furs that had been purchased new at a cost of 2,200 dollars. It is interesting to note that the lady was able to obtain a full 60% of the original price of the furs from the dealer. 

Renting jewels and other expensive items is not limited to the eighteenth century, but it does seem to have been extremely prevalent then. On May third, 1788, for example, Signor Pietrotrenti, jeweler at the sign of “Bergamo,” undertook to supply the same soon-to-be-married Count Tiepolo mentioned earlier with a pearl necklace for his bride-to-be composed of thirty-one pearls, all perfect in shape and free of defects, weighing altogether one hundred and fifty-two carats, for a period of six months beginning June first, 1788. Count Tiepolo undertook for his part to return the necklace in the same condition at the end of the period. The whole transaction cost the relatively modest sum of 320 dollars. Purchasing the necklace would easily have cost ten times that amount. As we have seen earlier, Count Tiepolo had every reason to save where he could on his wedding expenses!

The figures I have quoted in relation to the Tiepolo wedding add up to some 114,000 dollars. Could this amount be translated into real dollars at today's value? As I have indicated at the onset, it is quite impossible to do so with any accuracy. Various experts have estimated the
currencies of the time to represent between three and ten times the value of modern currency. Taking these estimates for a moment as valid, and settling for a middle course between the two of five times the value, we translate the Tiepolo wedding expenses into a "modern total" of 570,000 dollars, a very respectable sum indeed. Using the same multiplier of five with the lace-worker's yearly wage of 156 dollars, we arrive at 780 "modern" dollars. The difference speaks for itself!

The answer to my original question: "Was the pursuit of elegance an expensive affair in the eighteenth century?" can therefore be answered with an unequivocal "yes." Fashionable people of the day were willing to spend five times more on a single set of laces than they did to feed themselves, their families and their staff for a month. Most of them were permanently in debt but most of them did not worry about it very much for there was no other way to maintain such elegant standards.

My last quote from our young Irish belle, Cleone Knox, shows the lady expressing unusual anxiety over the economics of her elegance: "Have decided on the following wardrobe for the Spring. Item. A butterscup silk, with red and green. White moiré gown ruffled with pink-lilac satin and a striped peau-de-soie. Pink and apple green striped satin with feathers. Crimson gros-de-Tours trimmed with Valenciennes lace, sky blue satin gown with bouquets of flowers with thick ruching. . . . Papa has purchased himself a new Spring suit and as for Ned [her brother] he is ordering himself new satin breeches and coats every moment, has as many as 12 long waistcoats and all his shoes set with gold buckles. Heaven knows who will pay for it all!" (Figure 9). 23

Notes

1. In addition, all fractions of a currency have been dropped and the figures rounded out: i.e. 156 livres 4 sols 6 deniers has become 156 "dollars," and 156 lire 4 soldi 6 piccoli has become 156 "dollars."

2. A.N. KK523, Journal des Dépenses d'une Dame de la Cour, 1787.

3. These figures have been computed by averaging prices found in expense accounts of the time, on bills submitted by various Parisian and other merchants, in individual and commercial inventories, and wedding trousseaux. The French and Venetian archives contain extensive collections of such records, some of which are referred to in the preceding and following notes. Two major sources used for computing prices have been "Mémoires de Marchandises Fourny et d'Ouvrage fait pour Madame et Mademoiselle de Bercy," 1756-1769, MSS, un-numbered, Musée de la Mode et du Costume de la Ville de Paris, and "Dépenses du Duc de Penthièvre," 1738-1770, A.N. KK 390-392.


5. See note 3 above.
6. The author writes in a letter to the editors dated January 19, 1987: “The amount of fabric and lace used for a dress and for a pair of engageantes respectively have been arrived at by several means: measuring eighteenth-century dresses at the Musée Galliera, where I curated the exhibition “Modes en Dentelles,” by finding bills for similar quantities of fabric in wardrobe accounts such as the ones quoted in my notes to this article and by measuring engageantes at the same museum. Taking these different factors into account, I have arrived at these averages which are meant to represent average dresses, not court attire (one bill for a court dress with train for Marie-Antoinette, for example, mentions that the quantity of fabric required was ninety-nine yards, or rather meters). I have also translated the French term “aulne” or ell into yards. I have also included in my computation the underskirt and the more or less required bottom flounce of the same fabric.”

7. A.N. o1 830/176.


10. A.N. KK 378.

11. cf. A.S.V. Archivio Tiepolo, Seconda Consegnia, B. 30. All other figures quoted in relation to Ambassador Tiepolo’s expenses are from the same source. I am grateful to Dottoressa Tiepolo, head of the Archivio di Stato Veneto, for letting me know of the existence of this particular document.

12. The author writes in the same letter quoted above: “The source for the bridegroom paying for the wedding expenses and the bride’s attire is quite simply that all the bills are addressed to him and not to her father or mother (or to herself); it is an old custom that prevails to this day in well-to-do and aristocratic families in Europe.”

13. See note 4 above.

14. cf. A.S.V. Archivio Tiepolo, Prima Consegnia, B. 7. All other figures quoted in relation to the Tiepolo-Priuli wedding come from the same source.


16. Ibid.

17. Again in the same letter, the author writes: “I do not mean this statement literally, but merely as a descriptive example of what a maid could afford to buy with her salary, and what shoes cost.”

18. See note 15 above.

19. See note 4 above.

22. Museo Correr, MSS P.D. 2254/2.
23. See note 4 above.

A.N. = Archives Nationales, Paris
A.S.V. = Archivio di Stato Veneto, Venice
MSS = Manuscripts

Figure 2: Lappet, Valenciennes bobbin lace, circa 1745. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Spence-Reigate Collection. The quality of workmanship, as well as that of the design, probably indicate that this is "real" Valenciennes, i.e., made in the city itself.
Figure 3: Cap crown, Argentan/Alençon needle lace, circa 1745. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Spence-Reigate Collection. This is undoubtedly an example of what the lace merchants of the day referred to as "superfine" or "extra fine" point, or the most expensive category of lace.
Figure 4: Panel cut from a flounce, Point de France / Point de Sedan needle lace, circa 1745. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, gift of Mrs. Edward S. Harkness, 1930. The flounce from which this panel was cut may well have served originally as a dressing table flounce, such as the one Madame Clotilde received for her apartments.
Figure 5: *Maria Luisa of Parma, later Queen of Spain*, by Laurent Péchaux (Italian [Roman], 1729-1821). This picture, which was painted in Parma shortly before Maria Luisa’s marriage in 1765, to be sent to her future bridegroom, Charles IV of Spain, shows the young lady at the age of thirteen or fourteen. Like the Tiepolo bride, her corset was undoubtedly made of fewer than the usual thirteen stays, and her costume probably resembles ones in the trousseau so much admired by Miss Knox. The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Bequest of Annie C. Kane.
Figure 6: Lappet, Binche bobbin lace, circa 1740. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Spence-Reigate Collection. A pair of lappets such as this would have been part of a complete “set” such as the Priuli bride ordered from Flanders for her wedding.
Figure 7: Cap crown, Argentan/Alençon needle lace, circa 1770. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Spence-Reigate Collection. The design on the Priuli bride's needle laces was probably of a richer composition than this, given the price quoted. By the late 1770's, however, lace designs were becoming less and less elaborate and this example, although still very elegant in its decorative concept, is typical of the evolution towards sparseness.
Figure 8: *Winter* by François Boucher (French, 1703-1770). Dated 1755, this charming allegory shows a young lady dressed for the weather in fur-trimmed garments, including a fur neckband and muff, but with shoulders and bosom exposed to the elements. Her lover, who pushes the swan sled, is more practically dressed, with a fur hat, fur-trimmed and lined cloak, heavy stockings and skates to protect his feet from the ice. Copyright the Frick Collection, New York.
Figure 9: *Madame de Saint-Maurice* by Jean Siffred Duplessis (French, 1725-1802). Painted in 1776, the lady's costume shows the use of lace and elaborate trimming in the eighteenth century in Europe. The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Bequest of James A. Aborn.
Lace for Your Shoes: The Impractical Vanity

Pat Earnshaw

During the peak period of the fashion of lace, from the late sixteenth to the early eighteenth century, it was the very impracticality of lace which made it a discriminating accessory par excellence. The extraordinarily stiff ruffs, the towering heights of the fontanges, the airily trailing lappets, the heavy flounces, the cascading rivulets of deep sleeve ruffles (engageantes), were quite simply announcements to the whole world that one had no need to perform a single practical activity for oneself. The ultimate, however, was the incongruity of lace on shoes, if we bear in mind the close proximity of feet to the muddy earth or cobbles of the streets, so frequently a repository for sewage and other unsavoury rubbish.

This fashion began with circular rosettes, fastened to a strap over the instep, and not infrequently spreading to twice the width of the shoes themselves. What the rosettes indicated was that the wearer had no need even to walk: he had a horse, a carriage, or some other conveyance in which servants could carry him. Even indoors, however, shoe roses must have been impractical, converting a casual stroll into an awkward strut with the legs straddled so that ankles and silk stockings alike were safe from the slash of their sharp edges (Figure 1).

![Image of lace shoes](image)

Figure 1. Shoe roses, and a garter rosette, worn by James Hay, first Earl of Carlisle, 1628. Portrait by George Geldorp. (Courtesy Christie's).
The cost of such roses was inordinately expensive since precious metal thread of gold or silver was commonly used, twisted into decorative scale-like loops called purling, or at times into something sufficiently elaborate to resemble punto in aria. As for their being scuffed by others, the whole dress assemblage of men and women—the protuberant ruffs, the stiffly padded and jewel-encrusted doublets and trunk hose, the farthingales—staked out a protective perimeter beyond which others would not dare to venture.

Matching roses might appear on the garters, but the two areas of foot and knee remained separated by the length of the shin. However, in the first quarter of the seventeenth century, boots of soft Spanish leather were replacing shoes, and lace for the feet was now impossible. It retreated to the knees, a little further removed from the soil, but it retained its connection with footwear by means of the canion (Fr. canon). This was a close tube of linen cloth depending from the lower end of the breeches, ducking down into the boot top and rising out again to form a neatly turned over border (Figure 2).

![Figure 2](image_url)

Figure 2. Canions of Flemish bobbin lace turned down (a) over the boot hose inside the boot, (b) over the top of the boot itself. The sole in (a) is protected by a golosh with a toe cap to prevent the boot sinking into the mud. (Based on engravings by Bossé, 1630's-1640's).
The tasteful restraint of the single band of lace which edged it, made of good linen (not precious metal) thread, was soon superseded by a greater exuberance. The tops of the boots spread more and more widely until they resembled buckets. The simple turned-down edging of lace multiplied into layer upon layer, each pleated closely upon itself until it filled the entire gap between boot and calf with a white foam (Figure 3).

The elegant Marquis de Cinq-Mars, who rose by devious means to be Master of the Horse to Louis XIII, outraged his master by his extravagance. "He has some 300 pairs of boots in his wardrobe," complained the king, nearing the end of his patience. According to Palliser, just such a number of lacy boot hose appeared in the inventory of the Marquis' estate in 1642 when, at the age of only 22, he was executed for treason.

Figure 3. Canions, French fashion, mid-seventeenth century. The spurs are intended to indicate that the wearer is not horseless, but chooses to walk. (From Dayot, Louis XIV, 1909).
By the 1660's the knee-footwear combination was again severed. Below the knees a frill of lace swooped down the lower leg halfway to the ankle. Fashionable boots reverted to fashionable shoes, and shoe laces became cords or shoe-strings, not a fabric with holes like a true lace, but a solidly woven tape or braid. A painting by Laumosnier of the marriage of Louis XIV to the Infanta Maria Theresa, daughter of Philip IV of Spain, in 1660, shows Louis and his courtiers with the high fastening of their shoes caught together by bows from which the strings extend far outwards on either side, like the wings of a dragonfly (Figure 4). It seems likely that strands of precious metal were incorporated to keep them extended, and one might imagine that, as with the earlier shoe roses, some care was needed in putting one foot before the other if damaging collisions were to be avoided. They "wear a farm in shoe-strings edged with gold/And spangled garters worth a copyhold," grieved the seventeenth-century poet John Taylor. The implication is either that the shoe-strings (how many pairs?) had cost as much as an entire farm, or that courtiers had been forced to mortgage their lands in order to appear satisfactorily dressed before their king. As for Louis XIV himself, he was quite prepared to bankrupt bankers,3 or anyone else from whom money could be extracted. On his death in 1715, France's national debt stood at millions of pounds.

Figure 4. The lace frills are still called canons in French fashion. (From Mrs. Bury Palliser, History of Lace, 1910 ed., after the painting of Louis XIV by Laumosnier, though the engraving has been reversed.)
By that time, lace-decorated shoes were already out of fashion, and never again did they appear as a status symbol of the "idle rich." For men, lace of any kind rapidly vanished as the decades of the eighteenth century rolled by, until finally the advent of trousers made lace trimmings of the lower limbs unthinkable.

It is all the more interesting then to find, some 200 years later, a lace or "fancy" being manufactured specifically for shoes. Figure 5 shows the registration of this fabric, which appears in the archives of G.W. Price Ltd., donated to the Trent Polytechnic Library in Nottingham. The situation was now very different. The aim was not extravagance, but economy. The market was not the upper minority, but the lower majority. Women were aimed at, not men. The two widely-spaced fashions for lace on shoes had perhaps one thing in common—a wish to impress by a form of deceit.

"Number of registration 786061. This is to certify that the Design...has been registered in class 12 on the 2nd day of May, 1933 in respect of the application of such design to a sheet of textile materials for use as a substitute for fancy leather."

Another registration of the same year bears the superscription: "Patt. No. 241. Shoe Fabric, or for Hand Bags. This fabric was made by sticking the Lace on to the specially made Canvas Backing. Then the Dark parts of the pattern were put on with a spray gun. Afterwards this sample had a thin coating of cellulose sprayed on it. Its aim, in short, was to suggest not lace, but snakeskin.

In the 1930's, such an invention had an air of desperation about it. The machine lace industry had fallen on hard times. The depression of the late twenties, following on the massive loss of skilled manpower, and of a whole apprentice generation during the first world war, was a disaster of the first order. The surviving male population sought less exacting work, while the restlessness of the decade made a five- to seven-year training in the industry too slow to be palatable. At the same time, increase in wages, in the cost of production, raw materials and general overhead made it more and more difficult for lace manufacturers to surmount the initial deadweight of expenditure in creating the design, punching the Jacquard cards, and hand-threading the machines. It was however still relatively inexpensive for the Leavers machine to make fancy nets, at which they had excelled in the early years of the twentieth century, with their violettes and motoring veils for tying down the flower-encrusted edifices of hats, and for protecting the complexion from dust and dirt. The application of a net of irregularly sized scale-like meshes to the shoe was, from the point of view of appearance, completely successful, and the saving in money for the customer extremely satisfactory. How well they could stand up to wear is another matter. Applied to practical usage, with the wearer caught in a shower, accidentally stepping in a puddle, slipping in unavoidable mud, wouldn't canvas surely become sodden and out of shape, the counterfeiting net unstuck?
In spite of the spraying with cellulose, lace on shoes remained an impractical vanity, its only aim to establish the importance of the wearer: in the 1930's by making her seem rich enough to wear snakeskin when she was not; in the earlier glorious centuries by creating an impression not only of apartness but of a quasi-divine origin which made it unnecessary for the wearers to keep their feet firmly on the ground.

Notes


Figure 5a. The imitation snakeskin made of net applied to canvas, and its intended use for a shoe.
Figure 5b. The net in isolation showing the carefully varied sizes of the hexagonal meshes.
Figure 1: Binche, 1680, 4" wide

Figure 3: Binche, 1690, 1½" across
Further Notes on l’Étoile Carrée

Emily Reigate

The lace shown by Doctor Loweman in the 1985 edition of this Bulletin is a beautiful piece, and it was a great pleasure to read her enthusiastic description of it. Besides being beautiful, it is a very interesting example of Flemish stitches. The center is a Flemish snowball. Flanders is a cold region, and snowballs were doubtless thrown by every child every winter as they are around New York. The reflected light from a mound of snow on top of a post is something we all see from early childhood, and the haloe snowball is an obvious interpretation of it. It is not an easy stitch and must also be slow to work.

In Binche lace the haloe snowballs are ringed by holes, insofar as the design permits (Figures 1 and 3). Sometimes the ring of holes is part of the ring around the next haloe snowball, so that it appears chaotic.

In the few pieces of Valenciennes that have a haloe snowball ground, there are many small holes scattered between the bigger haloe snowballs. The ground looks more solid, and the ground seems to be used as rough contrast to the smooth whole stitch of the design (Figure 2).

The Mechlin use of this ground is different again. The haloe snowballs are joined together with bars and the result is a line of haloe snowballs, with a horizontal line between each strip (Figures 4 and 5). The Mechlin snowballs have holes, where the Valenciennes ones do not. In the pieces shown in Figures 6 and 7, the haloes have been shaped into squares.

The Flemish designers and workers always liked squares in their work. When the French took over the area of Flanders in which Valenciennes lay, they insisted that French designs be followed there. They also carefully measured the time taken in making each stitch. The gradual disappearance of the complicated and slow-to-make stitches must mark the end of Flemish expertise at Valenciennes. By 1700, Valenciennes lace is very beautiful, thanks to the good designers, but the complicated stitching is no longer used.

To find a snowball in a double square is indeed a treat, an obvious extension of the earlier designs. The picture shown here of an attempt by a Mechlin worker to make the double square is interesting (Figure 8). It is, of course, an early piece of Mechlin, and the failure of the worker to make the design work is fascinating. There is in the Metropolitan Museum a piece of outstanding Mechlin which appears, from a photograph, to be the stitch worked to perfection (Figure 9). This cap back is dated about 1740.
Dr. Loveman's discovery is a great gift to us all. It must have given so many people so much pleasure to try to track down this beautiful stitch. I do, however, suggest that it is a Flemish stitch. So few of us speak Flemish, that when speaking of it in English, we might, perhaps, call it a snowball in a double box, saving its elegant French name for use when we are talking of Valenciennes as French lace.

* * * * *

All photographs by the author of laces in her collection, with the exception of Figure 9, which is in the collections of The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, Gift of Mrs. Edward S. Harkness (Acc. No. 30. 135. 130).

Figure 2: Valenciennes, 1690, 2" across
Figure 4: Mechlin, 1690, 1½" across

Figure 5: Detail of Figure 4 (Mechlin, 1690, 1½" across)
Figure 6: Mechlin, 1690, 1½" across

Figure 7: Valenciennes, 1690, 1¼" across
Figure 8: Mechlin, 2" across. This piece of Mechlin is presumably to be dated to about 1710. The Mechlin ground is not even and the outlining thread is fairly thin.
Figure 9: Mechlin, c. 1740, Metropolitan Museum of Art, gift of Mrs. Edward S. Harkness, Acc. No. 30.135.130.

Figures 10 and 11: By 1730, the Brussels lace-makers had discovered this beautiful stitch. These photographs show a lappet 3 ¾" across, and there are other examples, all of the same date.
Figure 10: Brussels, c. 1730, 3 ¼" across

Figure 11: Detail of Figure 10
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BOOK NOTES


The Gardner Museum is so well known for the outstanding masterpieces it contains that comparatively little attention has been paid to its collections of decorative arts. It is these, however, that truly make the Venetian palace on the Boston Fenway a work of art in its own right, expressing the personality of its creator so strongly that Mrs. Gardner is far more vividly present to the visitor than are other makers of comparable museums, such as Henry Frick or Richard Wallace in their former homes. The author's introduction to this catalogue of the textiles in the Gardner Museum begins: "It was inevitable that Fenway Court should become a repository for sumptuous textiles." He goes on to describe how Mrs. Gardner bought them, a fascinating account beginning as early as 1867.

In the two hundred sixty-one catalogue entries that follow, the date and method of acquisition, when known, continue the story. After 1896, Mrs. Gardner had Fenway Court to fill, so she could confidently buy fascinating medieval pieces twelve or thirteen feet high and even more extraordinarily, sets such as the five large pieces of the sixteenth-century Story of Cyrus and a similar number of the Story of Abraham, knowing that there was plenty of room for them. Few museums today could say the same.

There are twenty-three entries for tapestries, some of which, at least, could hardly fail to be noticed by the visitor to the museum, but he would probably be less conscious of the wealth of European woven and embroidered fabrics, many in the form of vestments, that constitute numbers 24 to 164 of the catalogue or of the eastern textiles, many of them bought by Mrs. Gardner in the Orient. The laces are even more unexpected; as the author says, the some two hundred pieces "must excite the admiration (and envy) of every textile collector."

The catalogue is most attractive in appearance, with good black-and-white plates of all the important pieces, including many details and all marks for the tapestries. The not very numerous color plates are, as so often in reproductions of textiles, mostly unpleasant, too red or too yellow. The text, of course, is entirely admirable.

—Edith Appleton Standen

This small, elegant catalogue outlines the career of an outstanding American dress designer, Ann Lowe. Born in Alabama, she was the great-granddaughter of a white man and a slave, and encountered throughout her life the tawdry racism prevalent in America. But her combination of enormous talent, tenacity, and just plain grit propelled her to the top of the fashion world, with many of her gowns finding a place in the Costume Institute of the Metropolitan Museum of Art. Perhaps the most famous dress she designed was the wedding dress of Jacqueline Bouvier, for her marriage to John F. Kennedy, but as the illustrations of the catalogue amply exemplify, any dress she produced was outstanding—a work of art. It is a pleasure to encounter this tribute to what the Japanese would have characterized as a National Treasure, although the recognition comes too late for her to enjoy it—Miss Lowe died in 1981.

—Anne Dahlgren Hecht

Rebecca Martin. TEXTILES IN DAILY LIFE IN THE MIDDLE AGES. Catalogue of an exhibition at The Cleveland Museum of Art, January 22-March 17, 1985. Published in cooperation with the Indiana University Press.

Drawing examples almost entirely from the collections of The Cleveland Museum of Art, Rebecca Martin of the Museum's Education Department, has produced a most fascinating text to the exhibition. Divided into two sections, it is comprised of textiles (including tapestries) used in ecclesiastical settings and those used in everyday, secular life. Ms. Martin uses comparisons with paintings, prints, sculpture and furniture of the same period (12th to 15th centuries), most of which are also in the exhibition. It is her grasp of theology on one hand, along with details of daily life on the other, however, which makes her essay outstanding. Many of her observations are drawn from sources of the period; all are well documented in footnotes.

Profusely illustrated in color and black-and-white, the catalogue also includes a glossary of technical costume and textile terms, and a selected bibliography.

—Anne Dahlgren Hecht

Although *Made in New York State: Handwoven Coverlets 1820-1860* was published as a catalogue for the 1984-1985 traveling exhibition of the same name, it is far more than a mere listing of the objects in the show. It is seventy-two pages long and includes enlightening and readable essays by Virginia Parslow Partridge, Adjunct Professor in the Cooperstown Graduate Program, and Rita J. Adrosko, Supervising Curator, Division of Textiles, Smithsonian Institution. Twenty-three black-and-white plates illustrate examples of coverlets and other fabric woven by New York weavers and are accompanied by straightforward catalogue information as well as interesting background on the piece where available. Another valuable facet of the book is the biographical section which outlines the careers of forty-seven professional New York State weavers.

The first essay of the catalogue, written by Ms. Partridge, discusses the history of the professional handweaver in New York State, from the end of the eighteenth century when the first weavers arrived from Scotland, until the 1850’s, when the industrialization of textile manufacture lessened the demand for the highly developed manual skills of the custom weaver. It is a lively history, well written and well researched.

The second essay, entitled “American Coverlet Looms,” was written by Ms. Adrosko. She takes the reader through a short history of American coverlet production, describing the basic process of weaving and the differences between the workings of a drawloom, Jacquard loom and power loom, all as they relate to coverlet weaving. It is a good basic text, useful as a concise explanation of weaving and looms.

*Made in New York State: Handwoven Coverlets 1820-1860* is a catalogue that more than fulfills its mission of being an accompaniment to an exhibition—it stands on its own as a book that should be on the shelf of anyone interested in American textiles.

—Amelia Peck
CLUB NOTES, 1986

The first meeting of the Needle and Bobbin Club in 1986 took place at the Metropolitan Museum of Art on January fourteenth at 10:00 a.m., when members were given a privately conducted tour of the exhibition, "Costumes of Royal India," by Ms. Jean Druesedow, Associate Curator-in-Charge of the Costume Institute. Ms. Druesedow, who gave a fascinating talk, is a member of the Needle and Bobbin Club.

* * * * *

Mr. and Mrs. Ralph Hays gave an illustrated lecture on "Fukusa from the Nomura Collection" at the Uris Center of the Metropolitan Museum at 2:30 p.m. on Tuesday, February eighteenth, 1986. The lecture was followed by a delightful tea, with Mrs. John W. Christensen, Mrs. James P. Gallatin, Mrs. John Hammond and Mrs. Morris Wirth acting as hostesses.

* * * * *

On Wednesday, March twelfth at 2:30 p.m. an illustrated lecture by Mr. James W. Reid entitled "Affinities of Parallelism in the Iconography of Weavings and Textiles of Ancient Egypt and Ancient Israel" was given at the Uris Center of the Metropolitan Museum of Art. At the elegant tea that followed Mrs. Leopold R. Gellert, Dr. Margaret Heyman, Mrs. Alan Rhys Martin and Dr. Gustina Scaglia were hostesses.

* * * * *

Mr. Leslie Tillett, well-known painter, designer, speaker and author, gave a lecture on his "Work with Minority Groups at Home and Abroad" at 2:30 p.m. on Tuesday, April fifteenth, at the Uris Center of the Metropolitan Museum. Examples of the textiles produced in the programs were shown. Hostesses at the abundant tea which followed were Mrs. John Hammond, Mrs. Charles Hilliard, Mrs. Mary Ann O'Brien Malkin and Miss Helen Mathez.

* * * * *
Mrs. Williston Benedict, Mrs. Howard Bird, Mrs. John A. B. Bradley, Mrs. William Breitmayer, Mrs. John W. Christensen, Mrs. Samuel Dugan, Mrs. William L. Finger, Dr. Camille Henderson, Mrs. Renville H. McMann and Mrs. Walter L. Milliken were hostesses for the Annual Spring Safari, held on Wednesday, May fourteenth. The day included a tour of the Hannah Stilman House, a picnic lunch at the New Canaan Historical Society and a lecture by lace expert Mrs. Jeannet Van Oord.

* * * * *

The Document Archives at Brunschwig et Fils were opened to members of the Needle and Bobbin Club on Wednesday, October fifteenth at 2:30 p.m. The tour was conducted by Miss Judy Stratton, Archivist for the firm, at the D & D Building, 979 Third Avenue.

* * * * *

At 2:30 p.m. on Wednesday, November fifth at the Junior League, Mrs. Juliette Hamelcourt, author, speaker and specialist on weaving and embroidery, gave a lecture on "Treasures of the High Middle Ages" with emphasis on the Order of the Golden Fleece. Mrs. John W. Christensen, Mrs. David Hecht, Mrs. Andrew Weir and Mrs. Morris Wirth were hostesses. Wine and cheese were served following the lecture.

* * * * *

Due to unforeseen circumstances, the Board of the Needle and Bobbin Club regretfully cancelled the Christmas Party for 1986.

Happy New Year 1987!
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New publications from
THE METROPOLITAN MUSEUM OF ART

European Post-Medieval Tapestries and Related Hangings in The Metropolitan Museum of Art, by Edith A. Stander.

This two-volume work is a comprehensive catalogue of the Museum's collection of hangings made in Europe after the Middle Ages. The 152 entries are presented chronologically within eight geographic categories.

The author discusses the hangings and related works according to physical description, condition, subject, source of the design, weaver and date, history, related hangings, exhibitions, and publications. Each entry is illustrated in its entirety, often with additional color details and comparative illustrations.

A monumental work, it is a major contribution to the literature on the subject. 2 vols. (slipcased), 848 pages, 511 illus. (69 in full color). 9 1/2 x 11 in. Cloth.

(EI604X) $125.00
Member's price, $106.25

Dance: A Very Social History, by Carol McD. Wallace, Don McDonagh, Jean L. Druesedow, Laurence Libin, and Constance Old; preface by Diana Vreeland.

Published to accompany the current exhibition at the Museum's Costume Institute, this delightful book features highlights from the Institute's superb holdings of clothing worn by men and women for social dancing over the last three centuries. Essays by five authorities cover: the great balls and dancing parties of Europe, England, and America from the 18th century to the present; dance steps; ball gowns and dancing costumes; and the depiction of dance in works of art through the ages. Illustrated with paintings, works of decorative art, contemporary prints, and photographs. 128 pages, 158 illus. (55 in full color). 8 3/4 x 11 1/4 in. Cloth. Regular price $25.00.

Special Museum price (D2225X) $14.95
Member's price, $12.71

Pleasures of the Garden: Images from The Metropolitan Museum of Art, by Mac Griswold.

This is a book for everyone who loves to garden or who just loves gardens. Both entertaining and informative, the volume is lavishly illustrated with works from the collections of the Metropolitan Museum. It explores a wide range of subjects relating to the garden as a work of art in its own right: images of Paradise; garden styles throughout history; garden sculpture, grotoes, and topiary; the garden as a social setting; working gardens and public gardens throughout the world. Numerous quotations give vivid and often humorous accounts of gardening adventures and adventurers. 160 pages, 177 illus. (96 in full color). 9 x 10 1/4 in. Cloth. Regular price $27.50.

Special Museum price (DO528X) $19.95
Member's price, $16.96

*Member's prices are discounted prices (15% off), offered only to Museum Members with annual dues of $45.00 ($60.00 as of July 1, 1987) or more and National Associates.

To order by mail, use the six-digit order code number for each book you order, and send your check (payable to The Metropolitan Museum of Art) to the address below. Add taxes (for deliveries in New Jersey and New York State only) and shipping/handling charge, as follows: $2.95 for orders $10.01 to $25.00; $3.95 for orders $25.01 to $40.00; $4.95 for orders $40.01 to $75.00; and $5.95 for orders of more than $75.00.

THE METROPOLITAN MUSEUM OF ART
Special Service Office, Middle Village, N.Y. 11381
ERRATA

The Editors of The Bulletin of the Needle and Bobbin Club wish to apologize for the errors which appeared in Volume 69, 1986. Corrections follow:

p. 17, note 49: The jacket illustrated in Figure 8 was furnished by John L. Nevinson, not Margaret Swain.

p. 18: Miss Janet Byrne has been Curator in the Department of Prints and Photographs at The Metropolitan Museum of Art since 1970.

p. 39, Fig. 22: The second line of the caption as it stands should be omitted and should read “pearls and spangles and coloured silks in long and short stitch. The purse”