Iterators

FOR-loops are typically used to iterate over some range of enumerable values.

Iterators are used to iterate over an abstraction, such as the elements of a list, the nodes of a tree, the edges of a graph, etc.

For example,

```plaintext
for n := tree_nodes_in_inorder(T) do
  print n
end
```
Iterators in Java

In object-oriented languages it is typical to create an enumeration object which contains the current state of the iteration:

```java
Enumeration iter = new Tree.inorder(T);
while (iter.hasNextElement()) {
    Node n = (Node) iter.nextElement();
    n.print();
}
```

This is not as clean as in languages with built-in support for iterators.
Iterators were pioneered by CLU, a (dead) class-based language from MIT.

```
setsum = proc (s:intset) returns (int)
  sum : int := 0
  for e:int in intset$elmts(s) do
    sum := sum + e
  end
  return sum
end setsum
```
CLU-style Iterators...

- Procedure `setsum` computes the sum of the elements in a set of integers.
- `setsum` iterates over an instance of the abstract type `intset` using the `intset$elmts` iterator.
- Each time around the loop, `intset$elmts` yields a new element, suspends itself, and returns control to the loop body.
CLU-style Iterators...

\[
\text{intset} = \text{cluster is create, elmts, ...}
\]
\[
\text{rep} = \text{array[int]}
\]
\[
\text{elmts} = \text{iter(s:cvf) yields (int)}
\]
\[
i : \text{int} := \text{rep$low(s)}
\]
\[
\text{while } i <= \text{rep$high(s)} \text{ do}
\]
\[
\text{yield } (s[i])
\]
\[
i = i + 1
\]
\[
\text{end}
\]
\[
\text{end elmts}
\]
\[
\text{end intset}
\]
CLU-style Iterators...

- A CLU cluster is a typed module; a C++ class, but without inheritance.
- CLU makes a clear distinction between the abstract type (the cluster as seen from the outside), and its representation (the cluster from the inside). The rep clause defines the relationship between the two.
CLU-style Iterators...

```plaintext
elmts = iter(s:cvt) yields (int)
  i : int := rep$low(s)
  while i <= rep$high(s) do
    yield(s[i])
    i = i + 1
  end
end elmts
```
CLU-style Iterators...

- \texttt{s:cvt} says that the operation converts its argument from the abstract to the representation type.
- \texttt{rep$low} and \texttt{rep$high} are the bounds of the array representation.
- \texttt{yield} returns the next element of the set, and then suspends the iterator until the next iteration.
- Iterators may be nested and recursive.
CLU-style Iterators...

array = cluster [t: type] is ... 
elmts = iter(s:array[t]) yields(t) 
  for i:int in int$from_to(
    array[t]$low(a),
    array[t]$high(a)) do 
    yield (a[i])
  end 
elmts 
end array

elmts = iter(s:cvt) yields(int) 
  for i:int in array$elmts(s) do 
    yield (i)
  end 
elmts 
end  
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CLU-style Iterators...

- Iterators may invoke other iterators.
- CLU supports constrained generic clusters (like Ada’s generic packages, only better).
CLU Iterators — Example A

Here’s an example of a CLU iterator that generates all the integers in a range:

```plaintext
for i in from_to_by(first, last, step) do
  ...
end
```
CLU Iterators — Example A...

from_to_by = iter(from,to,by:int) yields(int)
  i : int := from
  if by > 0 then
    while i <= to do
      yield i
      i += by
    end
  else
    while i >= to do
      yield i
      i += by
    end
  end
end
CLU Iterators — Example B

Here’s an example of a CLU iterator that generates all the binary trees of $n$ nodes.

```plaintext
for t: bin_tree in bin_tree$tree_gen(n) do
    bin_tree$print(t)
end
```
CLU Iterators — Example B...

bin_tree = cluster ...
node = record [left,right : bin_tree]
rep = variant [some : node, empty : null]
...
tree_gen = iter (k : int) yields (cvt)
  if k=0 then
    yield red$make_empty(nil)
  else
    for i:int in from_to(1,k) do
      for l : bin_tree in tree_gen(i-1) do
        for r : bin_tree in tree_gen(k-i) do
          yield rep$make_some(node${l,r})
        end
      end
    end
  end
end tree_gen
...
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Iterator Implementation

Iter1 = iter ( ... )
    ... yield x
    (1) ...
end
end Iter1

P = proc ( ... )
    for i in Iter1(...) do
        S
    end
end P
Iterator Implementation

- Calling an iterator is the same as calling a procedure. Arguments are transferred, an activation record is constructed, etc.

- Returning from an iterator is also the same as returning from a procedure call.
Iterator Implementation…

Activation record for P

Activation Record for Iter 1

Resume frame for Iter1

resume link:

return address: (1)
Iterator Implementation...

- When an iterator yields an item, its activation record remains on the stack. A new activation record (called a **resume frame**) is added to the stack.

- The resume frame contains information on how to resume the iterator. The **return address**-entry in the resume frame contains the address in the iterator body where execution should continue when the iterator is resumed.
Nested Iterators

```python
for i in Iter1(...) do
  for j in Iter2(...) do
    S
  end
end
```
Since iterators may be nested, a procedure may have several resume-frames on the stack.

A new resume frame is inserted *first* in the procedure’s iterator chain.

At the end of the for-loop body we resume the first iterator on the iterator chain:

1. The first resume frame is unlinked.
2. We jump to the address contained in the removed frame’s return address entry.
When we get to the end of Iter2’s body we return as from a normal call. Iter1 may generate a new item and P may again start up Iter2.
Simpler Iterator Implementation

Iter = iter ( ... )
  while ... do
    yield x
  end
end

begin
  for i in Iter(...) do
    print(i);
  end
end
PROCEDURE Iter ( 
    Success, Fail : LABEL; 
    VAR Resume : LABEL; VAR Result : T); 
BEGIN 
    WHILE ... DO 
        ResumeLabel: 
        Result := x; 
        Resume := ADDR(ResumeLabel); 
        GOTO Success 
    END; 
    GOTO Fail; 
END
Simpler Iterator Implementation...

VAR Result : T;
VAR Resume : LABEL;
BEGIN
    Iter(ADDR(SuccesLabel), ADDR(FailLabel),
         Resume, Result);
    SuccessLabel:
    WRITE Result;
    GOTO Resume;
    FailLabel:
END;
Icon Generators

Procedures are really generators; they can return 0, 1, or a sequence of results. There are three cases

fail The procedure fails and generates no value.
return e The procedure generates one value, e.
suspend e The procedure generates the value e, and makes itself ready to possibly generate more values.

procedure To(i, j)
  while i <= j do {
    suspend i
    i+:= 1
  }
end
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Summary

Sather (a mini-Eiffel) has adopted an iterator concept similar to CLU’s, but tailored to OO languages.

Iterators function (and can be implemented as) coroutines. Smart compilers should, however, take care to implement “simple” iterators in a more direct way (See the Sather paper).

Inline expansion of iterators may of course be helpful, but the same caveats as for expansion of procedures apply: code explosion, cache overflow, extra compilation dependencies.