

Contemplate Sorting with Columnsort

Lester I. McCann

mccann@uwp.edu

Computer Science Department
University of Wisconsin — Parkside
Kenosha, WI

ACM SIGCSE

March 5, 2004

Outline

- Motivation
- The Columnsort Algorithm
 - History
 - Prerequisites
 - The Eight Steps of Columnsort
 - Does Columnsort Actually Work?
 - Is Columnsort Actually Efficient?
- Columnsort Programming Exercises
 - Year One
 - Year Two
- Other Ideas for Assignments
- Conclusion

Motivation

- Sorting is an essential programming technique
 - Discussed in many core CS courses
- Traditional sorting algorithms are ubiquitous
 - Great examples of programming techniques
 - Good fodder for algorithm analysis
 - Different algorithms solve the same problem
- A “new” algorithm can provide a fresh perspective
 - But beware of losing sight of objectives!

A Brief History of Columnsort

- Introduced by Leighton in a 1984 extended abstract
- A generalization of Odd–Even Mergesort
- Designed as a parallel algorithm for a rectangular processor mesh
- Recently the motivation for the work of Chaudry at Dartmouth College
- Used in our work as an internal sorting algorithm

Prerequisites of Columnsort

- Quantity of items to be sorted = $n = r \cdot s$
- Items exist in an $r \times s$ matrix
- Restrictions:
 - $r \% s = 0$
 - $r \geq 2(s - 1)^2$
- Resulting matrix will be sorted in Column-Major Order

Eight Steps of Columnsort

- Step 1: Sort the Columns

		23	15	9		2	5	1	
		26	11	3		8	10	3	
		2	18	7		17	11	4	
		22	5	14		19	12	6	
Start	→	17	20	25	Step 1	→	22	13	7
		24	10	1			23	15	9
		8	13	21			24	16	14
		27	12	4			26	18	21
		19	16	6			27	20	25

Eight Steps of Columnsort (cont.)

- Step 2: Transpose (Turn Columns Into Rows)



Eight Steps of Columnsort (cont.)

- Step 3: Sort the Columns Again

		2	8	17		1	3	4	
		19	22	23		2	7	9	
		24	26	27		5	8	11	
		5	10	11		6	10	15	
Step 2	→	12	13	15	Step 3	→	12	13	17
		16	18	20			14	18	20
		1	3	4			16	21	23
		6	7	9			19	22	25
		14	21	25			24	26	27

Eight Steps of Columnsort (cont.)

- Step 4: Reverse Step 2's Transposition

		1	3	4		1	6	16	
		2	7	9		3	10	21	
		5	8	11		4	15	23	
		6	10	15		2	12	19	
Step 3	→	12	13	17	Step 4	→	7	13	22
		14	18	20			9	17	25
		16	21	23			5	14	24
		19	22	25			8	18	26
		24	26	27			11	20	27

Eight Steps of Columnsort (cont.)

- Step 5: Sort the Columns Yet Again

		1	6	16		1	6	16	
		3	10	21		2	10	19	
		4	15	23		3	12	21	
		2	12	19		4	13	22	
Step 4	→	7	13	22	Step 5	→	5	14	23
		9	17	25		7	15	24	
		5	14	24		8	17	25	
		8	18	26		9	18	26	
		11	20	27		11	20	27	

Eight Steps of Columnsort (cont.)

- Step 6: Shift 'Forward' by $\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ Positions

		1	6	16		$-\infty$	7	15	24	
		2	10	19		$-\infty$	8	17	25	
		3	12	21		$-\infty$	9	18	26	
		4	13	22		$-\infty$	11	20	27	
Step 5	→	5	14	23	Step 6	→	1	6	16	∞
		7	15	24			2	10	19	∞
		8	17	25			3	12	21	∞
		9	18	26			4	13	22	∞
		11	20	27			5	14	23	∞

Eight Steps of Columnsort (cont.)

- Step 7: Sort the Columns One Last Time

Step 6 →	$-\infty$	7	15	24
	$-\infty$	8	17	25
	$-\infty$	9	18	26
	$-\infty$	11	20	27
	1	6	16	∞
	2	10	19	∞
	3	12	21	∞
	4	13	22	∞
	5	14	23	∞
	Step 7 →	$-\infty$	6	15
	$-\infty$	7	16	25
	$-\infty$	8	17	26
	$-\infty$	9	18	27
	1	10	19	∞
	2	11	20	∞
	3	12	21	∞
	4	13	22	∞
	5	14	23	∞

Does Columnsort Actually Work?

- Steps 1 – 4 place items within $(s - 1)^2$ positions of their final locations
 - See Leighton for the proof

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Step 4} \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 16 \\ 3 & 10 & 21 \\ 4 & 15 & 23 \\ 2 & 12 & 19 \\ 7 & 13 & 22 \\ 9 & 17 & 25 \\ 5 & 14 & 24 \\ 8 & 18 & 26 \\ 11 & 20 & 27 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4 & -3 \\ +1 & -1 & +1 \\ +1 & +3 & +2 \\ -2 & -1 & -3 \\ +2 & -1 & -1 \\ +3 & +2 & +1 \\ -2 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & +1 & 0 \\ +2 & +2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Does Columnsort Actually Work? (cont.)

- Steps 5 – 8 place items into their destination columns in sorted order.
 - $r \geq 2(s - 1)^2$, and $\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor \geq (s - 1)^2$

		1	6	16				$-\infty$	7	15	24	
		2	10	19				$-\infty$	8	17	25	
		3	12	21				$-\infty$	9	18	26	
		4	13	22				$-\infty$	11	20	27	
Step 5	→	5	14	23		Step 6	→	1	6	16	∞	
		7	15	24				2	10	19	∞	
		8	17	25				3	12	21	∞	
		9	18	26				4	13	22	∞	
		11	20	27				5	14	23	∞	

Is Columnsort Actually Efficient?

- Doesn't *feel* efficient!
 - Troubles some students; only want to learn the "best"
 - *Why should we spend time implementing an algorithm that no one has ever heard of?*
- Efficiency depends on the implementation decisions
 - There are plenty to be made
 - Makes Columnsort the source of many examples and activities

Columnsort Programming Exercises

- Used in consecutive offerings of a Data Structures and Algorithm Analysis course
- Common student background:
 - Completed CS 1, CS 2, Prob & Stats
 - Lectures on standard $O(n^2)$ and $O(n \log_2 n)$ sorts
 - Columnsort presentation and complete example

Year One

- Assignment: Sort supplied data in least time
 - Test data: One million integers (more or less)
 - Timing measurements:
 - Java: `System.currentTimeMillis()`
 - C++: `clock()`
 - 20% / 10% / 5% bonuses for fastest three in each language
 - Worth less than 1% of total course points

Year One Results

- 29 of 31 completed assignment on–time
 - Highest completion percentage of the semester
- Performance of implementations was quite varied:
 - Java: 3 sec \leftrightarrow 2+ hours (median: 7 sec)
 - C++: < 1 sec \leftrightarrow 14+ minutes (median: 6 sec)
- Notable quote:
 - *“I didn’t know a[n] n–squared sort took so long!”*

Year Two

- Assignment: How much data can be sorted in a second?
 - Inspired by SIGMOD's SecondSort contest
 - Records have 10-byte sort keys
- All students used Java and `System.currentTimeMillis()`
- Meager incentive: A prize for the 'winner'

Trinkets Make Students Happy!



SecondSort with Columnsort 'winner' Peter Joachim (on left)

Year Two Results

- 15 of 15 completed assignment on–time
 - Matched by only one other assignment
- Again, performance varied considerably:
 - 34K — 180K records in one second (median: 57K)
- Notable quote:
 - *“Is Columnsort a failed attempt at a sorting algorithm?”*

Year Two Results (cont.)

- Students completed pre-/post-assignment surveys
 - Amount of interest in assignment unchanged
 - 4.4 on a 0–6 scale
 - Which common sort is most like Columnsort?
 - Mergesort: Before: 31% After: 58%
 - Shellsort: Before: 25% After: 17%
 - > 50% reported that $s = 1$ is the best choice
 - Instructor's implementation worked best with $s = 2$ or $s = 3$, and was faster than Java's sorts

Year Two Attitudes

- About one-third of the students...
 - ...would have preferred to code Quicksort instead
 - ...conducted a Columnsort literature search
- About half of the students...
 - ...cited the mystery prize as a motivating factor
 - ...compared their implementation to another sort
- Over half of the students...
 - ...believed their implementations to be $O(n^2)$
(although no one verified that feeling)

Other Ideas for Assignments

- Choice of representation:
 - 1D or 2D array?
- How to “shortcut” even-numbered steps?
- Choice of algorithm for sorting columns:
 - Use a library sort or implement your own?
 - Should the Step 1 choice match that of Step 7?
- Under which circumstances can Columnsort be stopped after Step N ?

Conclusion

- Columnsort...
 - ...can be the source of a variety of exercises and analyses
 - ...motivates students to explore alternatives
 - ...shows that ‘algorithm archeology’ is worthwhile

Any Questions?



mccann@cs.uwp.edu

These full-screen PDF slides were created in \LaTeX using the `prospect` class.