The Somewhat Simplified Solitaire Algorithm

Lester I. McCann
mccann@cs.arizona.edu

Computer Science Department
The University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ

ACM SIGCSE Nifty Assignments Panel
March 4, 2006

Who Is This Guy?

Who Is This Guy?

Best-selling Author Neal Stephenson

http://www.nealstephenson.com

What Has He Written?

(among others)

Cryptonomicon



(c) 1999

- A Combination of Historical & Modern-Day Fiction

Cryptonomicon



(c) 1999

- A Combination of Historical & Modern-Day Fiction
- Threads Joined By Cryptography

Cryptonomicon



(c) 1999

- A Combination of Historical & Modern-Day Fiction
- Threads Joined By Cryptography
- And After ~ 800 pages . . .

Cryptonomicon



(c) 1999

- A Combination of Historical & Modern-Day Fiction
- Threads Joined By Cryptography
- And After ~ 800 pages . . .
- . . . The Pontifex Transform Is Used

Pontifex == Solitaire

www.schneier.com

- In reality, Pontifex is really security expert Bruce Schneier's Solitaire cryptosystem.
- Schneier describes it in Cryptonomicon's appendix

Solitaire? A *Cryptosystem*??

Solitaire? A *Cryptosystem*??

No, not *that* Solitaire . . .

Bruce Schneier's Solitaire

- So named because it is based on manipulations of playing cards

Bruce Schneier's Solitaire

- So named because it is based on manipulations of playing cards
  - Who would question an innocent deck of cards?

As Tested on MythBusters!



by Ricky Jay, (c) 1977

Bruce Schneier's Solitaire

- So named because it is based on manipulations of playing cards
  - Who would question an innocent deck of cards?

    . . . OK, we'll ignore that.

Bruce Schneier's Solitaire

- So named because it is based on manipulations of playing cards
  - Who would question an innocent deck of cards?

    . . . OK, we'll ignore that.
- Sender and Receiver begin with matched decks
- Each application of Solitaire generates a sequence of keystream values, each in the range $[1..26]$
- Roughly:
  - Plaintext + keystream = Ciphertext
  - Ciphertext - keystream = Plaintext

Keystream Algorithm: Step 1 of 5



Step 1: Exchange ‘A’ Joker with Following Card

Keystream Algorithm: Step 2 of 5



Step 2: Exchange ‘B’ Joker with Following Two Cards

Keystream Algorithm: Step 3 of 5





Step 3: “Triple Cut”

Keystream Algorithm: Step 4 of 5

1	4	3	7	5	8	2	6



Keystream Algorithm: Step 4 of 5

1 4 3 7 5 8 2 6



Step 4: Needs More Words Than I Have Space!

Keystream Algorithm: Step 5 of 5



Step 5:

Keystream Algorithm: Step 5 of 5

v=2 1 4 3 7 5 8 6

Step 5: 1st Card's Value

Keystream Algorithm: Step 5 of 5

v=2 1 4 3 7 5 8 6

1 2 v+1 4 . . .

Step 5: 1st Card's Value + 1 $\Rightarrow$ Index

Keystream Algorithm: Step 5 of 5

v=2 1 4 3 7 5 8 6

1 2 v+1 4 . . .

Step 5: 1st Card's Value + 1 ⇒ Index ⇒ Keystream Value = 4

Encryption

Plaintext:	N	I	F	T	Y
	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓
Letter Values:	14	9	6	20	25
Keystream Sequence:	4	2	4	1	5
Sums:	18	11	10	21	30
Wrap:	18	11	10	21	4
	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓
Ciphertext:	R	K	J	T	D

Decryption

Ciphertext:	R	K	J	T	D
	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓
Letter Values:	18	11	10	21	4
Keystream Sequence:	4	2	4	1	5
Differences:	14	9	6	20	-1
Wrap:	14	9	6	20	25
	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓	⇓
Plaintext:	N	I	F	T	Y

Why “Somewhat Simplified”?

- Schneier has links to implementations in $\sim$ 12 languages

Why “Somewhat Simplified”?

- Schneier has links to implementations in $\sim$ 12 languages
- My Standard Adjustments:
  - Steps 1 and 2: No special bottom-of-deck behavior
    - Have students assume that the deck is circular

Why “Somewhat Simplified”?

- Schneier has links to implementations in $\sim$ 12 languages
- My Standard Adjustments:
  - Steps 1 and 2: No special bottom-of-deck behavior
    - Have students assume that the deck is circular
  - Use a different deck; for example:
    - Half-deck (only two suits)
    - Pinochle deck (need to add jokers)

Why “Somewhat Simplified”?

- Schneier has links to implementations in $\sim$ 12 languages
- My Standard Adjustments:
  - Steps 1 and 2: No special bottom-of-deck behavior
    - Have students assume that the deck is circular
  - Use a different deck; for example:
    - Half-deck (only two suits)
    - Pinochle deck (need to add jokers)

  $\Rightarrow$ Unwise cryptographically . . . but so what?

Adoption Issues

- Skill Prerequisites:
  - List Manipulation
  - Char $\Leftrightarrow$ ASCII
  - Text File I/O (?)

Adoption Issues

- Skill Prerequisites:
  - List Manipulation
  - Char $\Leftrightarrow$ ASCII
  - Text File I/O (?)
- Implementation Decisions:
  - Arrays or Linked Lists?
  - Card Representation?
  - Must state be retained?

Adoption Issues

- Skill Prerequisites:
  - List Manipulation
  - Char $\Leftrightarrow$ ASCII
  - Text File I/O (?)
- Implementation Decisions:
  - Arrays or Linked Lists?
  - Card Representation?
  - Must state be retained?

$\therefore$ Applicable to CS0, CS1, CS2, . . .

So Why Is This “Nifty”?

- Flexible — Can assign entire system or just parts
- Provides a gentle introduction to cryptosystems
- Encourages distributed testing (message exchange)
- Would be a fun algorithm to animate

So Why Is This “Nifty”?

- Flexible — Can assign entire system or just parts
- Provides a gentle introduction to cryptosystems
- Encourages distributed testing (message exchange)
- Would be a fun algorithm to animate

- Just *might* encourage students to read a novel! ☺

Image Credits

- Neal Stephenson: Bela Bollobas
- Bruce Schneier: dk.compulenta.ru
- Stephenson book covers: barnesandnoble.com
- Klondike: AisleRot 2.10.0 / Jonathan Blandford
- Cards As Weapons: amazon.com
- Card Images: david.bellot.free.fr
- UA Campus: The UA Computer Science Webcam

Any *Quick* Questions?



mccann@cs.arizona.edu

These full–screen PDF slides were created in LaTeX using the `prosper` class.