## CSc 120 <br> Introduction to Computer Programming II

08: Efficiency and Complexity

## efficiency matters

# reasoning about performance 

## Reasoning about efficiency

- Not just the time taken for a program to run
- this can depend on:
- processor properties that have nothing to do with the program (e.g., CPU speed, amount of memory)
- what other programs are running (i.e., system load)
- which inputs we use (some inputs may be worse than others)
- We would like to compare different algorithms:
- without requiring that we implement them both first
- abstracting away processor-specific details
- considering all possible inputs


## Primitive operations

- Abstract units of computation
- convenient for reasoning about algorithms
- approximates typical hardware-level operations
- Includes:
- assigning a value to a variable
- looking up the value of a variable
- doing a single arithmetic operation
- comparing two numbers
- accessing a single element of a Python list by index
- calling a function
- returning from a function


## Primitive ops and running time

- A primitive operation typically corresponds to a small constant number of machine instructions
- No. of primitive operations executed
$\propto$ no. of machine instructions executed $\propto$ actual running time
- We consider how a function's running time depends on the size of its input
- which input do we consider?


## Best case vs. worst case inputs

\# lookup(str_, list_): returns the index where str_ occurs in list_ def lookup(str_, list_):
for i in range(len(list_)):
if str_ $_{\text {_ }}=$ list_[i]:
return i
return -1

- Best-case scenario: str_ == list_[0] \# first element
- loop does not have to iterate over list_ at all
- running time does not depend on length of list_
- does not reflect typical behavior of the algorithm


## Best case vs. worst case inputs

\# lookup(str_, list_): returns the index where str_ occurs in list_ def lookup(str_, list_):
for i in range(len(list_)):
if str_ $_{-}=$list_[i]:
return i
return -1

- Worst-case scenario: str_== list_[-1] \# last element
- loop iterates through list_
- running time is proportional to the length of list_
- captures the behavior of the algorithm better


## Best case vs. worst case inputs

\# lookup(str_, list_): returns the index where str_ occurs in list_ def lookup(str_, list_):
for i in range(len(list_)):
if str_ $_{-}=$list_[i]: $^{\text {l }}$
return i
return -1

- In reality, we get something in between
- but "average-case" is difficult to characterize precisely


## What about "average case"?



## Worst-case complexity

- Considers worst-case inputs
- Describes the running time of an algorithm as a function of the size of its input ("time complexity")
- Focuses on the rate at which the running time grows as the input gets large
- Typically gives a better characterization of an algorithm's performance
- This approach can also be applied to the amount of memory used by an algorithm ("space complexity")


## Example

## Code

## Primitive operations



## Example

Code

## Primitive operations

def lookup(str_, list_): for i in range(len(list_)): $-\{$ range( ) : 1 if str_ == list_[i]: return i
return -1
Total primitive ops executed:
1 iteration: 9 ops
$\therefore$ n iterations: 9n ops

+ return at the end: 1 op
$\therefore$ total worst-case running time for a list of length $\mathrm{n}=9 \mathrm{n}+1$


## asymptotic complexity

## Asymptotic complexity

- In the worst-case, lookup(str_, list_) executes $9 n+1$ primitive operations given a list of length $n$
- To translate this to running time:
- suppose each primitive operation takes $k$ time units
- then worst-case running time is $(9 n+1) k$
- But $k$ depends on specifics of the computer, e.g.:

| Processor speed | $\boldsymbol{k}$ | running time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| slow | 20 | $180 \mathrm{n}+20$ |
| medium | 10 | $90 \mathrm{n}+10$ |
| fast | 3 | $27 \mathrm{n}+3$ |

## Asymptotic complexity



## Asymptotic complexity

- For algorithm analysis, we focus on how the running time grows as a function of the input size $n$
- usually, we do not look at the exact worst case running time
- it's enough to know proportionalities
- E.g., for the lookup() function:
- we say only that its running time is "proportional to the input length $n$ "


## Example

## Code

def list_positions(list1, list2):
positions = []
for value in list1:
idx = lookup(value, list2) positions.append(idx)
return positions

## Example

## Code

## Primitive operations

 def list_positions(list1, list2):1
return positions1

## Worst case behavior:

primitive operations $=n(9 n+5)+2=9 n^{2}+5 n+2$ running time $=k\left(9 n^{2}+5 n+2\right)$

## Example

## Code

def list_positions(list1, list2):
positions = []
for value in list1:
idx = lookup(value, list2) positions.append(idx)
return positions


As $n$ grows, the $9 n^{2}$ term grows faster than $5 n+2$
$\Rightarrow$ for large $n$, the $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ term dominates
$\Rightarrow$ running time depends primarily on $\mathrm{n}^{2}$

## Example



## Example



## Example



As n grows larger, the $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ term dominates
$\Rightarrow$ the contribution of the other terms becomes insignificant


## Example 2: $2 x^{2}+15 x+10$



## Example 2: $2 x^{2}+15 x+10$



## Example 2: $2 x^{2}+15 x+10$



## Example 3: $x^{3}+100 x^{2}+100 x+100$



## Example 3: $x^{3}+100 x^{2}+100 x+100$



## Example 3: $x^{3}+100 x^{2}+100 x+100$



## Example 3: $x^{3}+100 x^{2}+100 x+100$



## Growth rates

- As input size grows, the fastest-growing term dominates the others
- the contribution of the smaller terms becomes negligible
- it suffices to consider only the highest degree (i.e., fastest growing) term
- For algorithm analysis purposes, the constant factors are not useful
- they usually reflect implementation-specific features
- to compare different algorithms, we focus only on proportionality
$\Rightarrow$ ignore constant coefficients


## Comparing algorithms

Growth rate $\propto n$
def lookup(str_, list_): for $i$ in range(len(list_)): if str_ == list_[i]: return i
return -1

Growth rate $\propto \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{2}}$
def list_positions(list1, list2): positions = []
for value in list1: idx = lookup(value, list2)
positions.append(idx)
return positions

## Summary so far

- Want to characterize algorithm efficiency such that:
- does not depend on processor specifics
- accounts for all possible inputs
$\Rightarrow$ count primitive operations
$\Rightarrow$ consider worst-case running time
- We specify the running time as a function of the size of the input
- consider proportionality, ignore constant coefficients
- consider only the dominant term
- e.g., $9 n^{2}+5 n+2 \approx n^{2}$
big-O notation


## Big-O notation

Intuition:

# When we say... ...we mean <br> " $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ " "f is growing roughly as fast as $g$ " 


"big-O notation"

## Big-O notation

- Captures the idea of the growth rate of functions, focusing on proportionality and ignoring constants

Definition: Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers.

Then, $f(n)$ is $\mathrm{O}(g(n))$ if there is a real constant c and an integer constant $n_{0} \geq 1$ such that

$$
f(n) \leq c g(n) \quad \text { for all } n>n_{0}
$$

## Big-O notation

$f(n)$ is $\mathrm{O}(g(n))$ if there is a real constant c and an integer constant $n_{0} \geq 1$ such that $f(n) \leq \mathrm{c} g(n) \quad$ for all $n>n_{0}$


## Big-O notation: properties

- If $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{n})$ is growing faster than $f(n)$ :
$-f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$
$-g(n)$ is not $O(f(n))$
- If $\mathrm{f}(n)=a_{0}+a_{1} n+\ldots+a_{k} n^{k}$, then:

$$
f(n)=O\left(n^{k}\right)
$$

- i.e., coefficients and lower-order terms can be ignored



## Some common growth-rate curves



Input size

## using big-O notation

## Using big-O notation

Code

## Big-O complexity



O(1)

## Using big-O notation

## Code

## Big-O complexity



O (1)

## Using big-O notation

Code

## Big-O complexity

for i in range(len(list_)):
$\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$

O(n) (worst-case)
( $\mathrm{n}=$ length of the list)
O(1)

## Using big-O notation

## Code

## Big-O complexity

def lookup(str_, list_):
for i in range(len(list_))
O(n)

## Using big-O notation

Code

## Big-O complexity

## $O\left(n^{2}\right)$



## Using big-O notation

## Code

## Big-O complexity

def list_positions(list1, list2):
positions $=[] \quad O\left(n^{2}\right) \quad O\left(n^{2}\right)$
for value in list1:
idx = lookup(value, list2) positions.append(idx)
return positions

## Computing big-O complexities

## Given the code:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { line }_{1} & \ldots O\left(f_{1}(n)\right) \\
\text { line }_{2} & \ldots O\left(f_{2}(n)\right) \\
\ldots & \\
\text { line }_{k} & \ldots O\left(f_{k}(n)\right)
\end{array}
$$

The overall complexity is
$O\left(\max \left(\mathrm{f}_{1}(\mathrm{n}), \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{n}), \ldots, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{n})\right)\right)$

## Given the code

loop ... O(f1(n)) iterations line1 ... O(f2(n))

The overall complexity is
$O\left(f_{1}(n) \times f_{2}(n)\right)$

## EXERCISE

\# my_rfind(mylist, elt) : find the distance from the \# end of mylist where elt occurs, -1 if it does not def my_rfind(mylist, elt):
pos $=\operatorname{len}(m y l i s t)-1$
while pos >= 0 :
if mylist[pos] == elt:
return pos
pos-= 1
return -1
Worst-case big-O complexity = ???

## EXERCISE

\# for each element of a list: find the biggest value \# between that element and the end of the list def find_biggest_after(arglist):
pos_list = []
for idx0 in range(len(arglist)):
biggest = arglist[idx0]
for idx1 in range(idx0 $0+1$, len(arglist)):
biggest $=\max ($ arglist[idx1], biggest)
pos_list.append(biggest)
return pos_list
Worst-case big-O complexity = ???

## Input size vs. run time: $\max ()$



## EXERCISE

\# for each element of a list: find the biggest value \# between that element and the end of the list def find_biggest_after(arglist):
pos_list = []
for idx0 in range(len(arglist)):
biggest = max(arglist[idx0:]) \# library code pos_list.append(biggest)
return pos_list

Worst-case big-O complexity = ???

