

## C SC 397a, Spring 2010

### Notes on Assignment 7 Grading

#### String and IntList

Most students did well on both of these problems. To look for memory leaks I augmented the supplied tests for each with some simple long-running versions of the supplied tests. Six students failed at least one leak checker for `String`. The same was true for `IntList` but it wasn't the same six students. The full set of tests used for grading can be found in `$FILES/a7`.

#### answers.txt

Question (1), regarding references and operator overloading was a tough one. Only a few people nailed it, but any sort of reasonable discussion was worth four points. If largely correct, it was worth all five points.

A few people said that references are definitely need to implement assignment, because (by convention) it modifies the left hand operand. That's incorrect. Remember that `x = y` is equivalent to `x.operator=(y)` which invokes the **member function** `operator=(...)`, and member functions have implicit access to the object's data (unless they are declared `const`.)

Another somewhat common response was to simply argue that references allow the operator implementations to change the operand objects(s). That's true, but so would passing a pointer.

For question (2), regarding a warning on mixing `new/delete` with `malloc()/free()`, I was hoping that by now most everybody would have picked on the theme of C++ generally favoring performance over any sort of protection, and would have blasted the proposal out of the water. A number of students fired away, but lots of others liked it. However, any thoughtful argument earned all five points.

#### Miscellaneous

There were 14 `extra.txt` submissions that had a clear estimate of hours spent on the whole assignment and that didn't cite factors that could add significant noise to the data. The average was 5.6 hours; the median was 4 hours.