

## IMP 3: Expressions with Side-Effects

- many imperative languages allow expression evaluation to produce a side-effect on the store
  - if **func** calls can have side-effects, this happens by default
  - many languages have Expression syntax allowing Command execution as part of an Expression
    - such constructions are called "Command Expressions" or "Serial Clauses" (Algol 68)
    - Serial clauses analogues of **func** bodies: both produce side-effects *and* **return** values
    - **return** or **resultis** syntax establish return values

## $\text{IMP}_3 = \text{IMP}_{2c} + \text{Serial Clauses}$

- Features

- Expression evaluation can produce a changed store
- Function execution can alter the store

### Syntax of $\text{IMP}_3$

- change only the syntax of Expression s

Expression ::= ...

| **begin** Command ; **return** Expression **end**

## IMP<sub>3</sub> Semantics

- Specify semantic domains
  - most exactly as before in IMP<sub>2c</sub>

$$\text{Value} = \text{truth-value Boolean} + \text{integer Integer}$$

$$\text{Argument} = \text{value Value} + \text{variable Location}$$

$$\text{Procedure} = \text{Argument} \rightarrow \text{Store} \rightarrow \text{Store}$$

$$\text{Bindable} = \text{value Value} + \text{variable Location}$$

$$+ \text{function Function} + \text{procedure Procedure}$$
  - *new* domain reflects return value and side-effect
 
$$\text{Function} = \text{Argument} \rightarrow \text{Store} \rightarrow \text{Value} \times \text{Store}$$
- Specify Contextual Constraints
  - type of a serial clause is the type of its **return** expression
- Specify semantic functions
  - now an Expression produces a value and a new store
 
$$\text{evaluate} : \text{Expression} \rightarrow$$

$$(\text{Environ} \rightarrow \text{Store} \rightarrow \text{Value} \times \text{Store})$$
  - the signatures of *execute* and *elaborate* are unchanged
 
$$\text{execute} : \text{Command} \rightarrow (\text{Environ} \rightarrow \text{Store} \rightarrow \text{Store})$$

$$\text{elaborate} : \text{Declaration} \rightarrow$$

$$(\text{Environ} \rightarrow \text{Store} \rightarrow \text{Environ} \times \text{Store})$$

## Expression Semantics

- Serial Clauses

$$\begin{aligned} \text{evaluate} \llbracket \mathbf{begin} \ C; \ \mathbf{return} \ E \ \mathbf{end} \rrbracket \text{ env } sto &= \\ \mathbf{let} \ sto' = \text{execute} \llbracket C \rrbracket \text{ env } sto \ \mathbf{in} & \\ \text{evaluate} \llbracket E \rrbracket \text{ env } sto' &\text{ — a pair } (v, s) \end{aligned}$$

- Now *all* Expression rules must change to yield Value  $\times$  Store pairs even when there is no store effect

$$\begin{aligned} \text{evaluate} \llbracket N \rrbracket \text{ env } sto &= \\ (\text{integer valuation } N, \ sto) & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{evaluate} \llbracket \mathbf{true} \rrbracket \text{ env } sto &= \\ (\text{truth-value } \mathbf{true}, \ sto) &\text{ — similarly for } \mathbf{false} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{evaluate} \llbracket I \rrbracket \text{ env } sto &= \\ (\text{coerce}(sto, \text{find}(\text{env}, I)), \ sto) & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{evaluate} \llbracket E_1 + E_2 \rrbracket \text{ env } sto &= \\ \mathbf{let} \ (\text{integer } v_1, \ s_1) = \text{evaluate} \llbracket E_1 \rrbracket \text{ env } sto \ \mathbf{in} & \\ \mathbf{let} \ (\text{integer } v_2, \ s_2) = \text{evaluate} \llbracket E_2 \rrbracket \text{ env } s_1 \ \mathbf{in} & \\ (\text{integer}(v_1 + v_2), \ s_2) &\text{ — order is left to right} \end{aligned}$$

...

$$\begin{aligned} \text{evaluate} \llbracket \mathbf{not} \ E \rrbracket \text{ env } sto &= \\ \mathbf{let} \ (\text{truth-value } b, \ s) = \text{evaluate} \llbracket E \rrbracket \text{ env } sto \ \mathbf{in} & \\ (\text{truth-value}(\neg b), \ s) & \end{aligned}$$

## Expression Semantics (cont'd)

- In function calls, store can change during argument evaluation:

*give-argument* : Actual-Parameter  $\rightarrow$   
(*Environ*  $\rightarrow$  *Store*  $\rightarrow$  *Argument*  $\times$  *Store* )

*give-argument*  $\llbracket E \rrbracket$  *env sto* =  
**let** ( *val*, *sto'* ) = *evaluate*  $\llbracket E \rrbracket$  *env sto* **in**  
( *value val*, *sto'* )

*give-argument*  $\llbracket \mathbf{var} I \rrbracket$  *env sto* =  
**let** *variable loc* = *find*(*env*, *I*) **in**  
( *variable loc*, *sto* )

*evaluate*  $\llbracket I ( AP ) \rrbracket$  *env sto* =  
**let** *function func* = *find*(*env*, *I*) **in**  
**let** ( *arg*, *sto'* ) = *give-argument*  $\llbracket AP \rrbracket$  *env sto* **in**  
*func arg sto'*

## Command Semantics

- Effects of *evaluate* change—it returns a pair in **Value**  $\times$  **Store**—propagate to everywhere that *evaluate* is used in other semantic functions
- Note the  $sto'$ ,  $\sigma'$  arguments in the following

*execute*  $\llbracket I := E \rrbracket env sto =$   
**let**  $(val, sto') = evaluate \llbracket E \rrbracket env sto$  **in**  
**let** *variable loc*  $= find(env, I)$  **in**  
*update* $(sto', loc, val)$

*execute*  $\llbracket \text{if } E \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \rrbracket env sto =$   
**let**  $(val, sto') = evaluate \llbracket E \rrbracket env sto$  **in**  
**if**  $val = truth\text{-value}$  **true**  
**then** *execute*  $\llbracket C_1 \rrbracket env sto'$   
**else** *execute*  $\llbracket C_2 \rrbracket env sto'$

*execute*  $\llbracket \text{while } E \text{ do } C \rrbracket =$   
**let**  $f \rho \sigma =$   
**let**  $(v, \sigma') = evaluate \llbracket E \rrbracket \rho \sigma$  **in**  
**if**  $v = truth\text{-value}$  **false**  
**then**  $\sigma'$   
**else**  $f \rho (execute \llbracket C \rrbracket \rho \sigma')$   
**in**  
*f*

## Command Semantics (cont'd)

*execute*  $\llbracket I(AP) \rrbracket env sto =$   
**let** *procedure proc* = *find*(*env*, *I*) **in**  
**let** (*arg*, *sto'*) = *give-argument*  $\llbracket AP \rrbracket env sto$  **in**  
*proc arg sto'*

## Declaration Semantics

- Only **const** declarations involve *evaluate*; **var** declaration does not initialize
- Both kinds of declarations are now "store-altering", but for distinct reasons

$elaborate[\mathbf{const} \ I \sim \ E] \ env \ sto =$   
  **let**  $(val, sto')$   $= evaluate[E] \ env \ sto$  **in**  
   $( bind(I, value \ val), sto' )$  — new store

$elaborate[\mathbf{var} \ I : T] \ env \ sto =$   
  **let**  $(sto', l)$   $= allocate \ sto$  **in**  
   $( bind(I, variable \ l), sto' )$  — as before



## Example: A Program with a Serial Clause

- Find the result of executing the program  $C$  starting with environment  $u$  and store  $s$ : Suppose that  $y$  has been allocated location  $u(y)$  and  $x$  has been allocated location  $u(x)$

$C = y := 7 ; x := \text{begin } y := y + 1 ; \text{return } y \text{ end}$

$execute[[C]] u s$   
 $= execute[[x := \text{begin } y := y + 1 ; \text{return } y \text{ end}]]$   
 $u (s[u(y) \mapsto 7])$

Denote the right side of this assignment by  $E$ . Then

$evaluate[[E]] u (s[u(y) \mapsto 7])$   
 $= evaluate[[y]]$   
 $u (s[u(y) \mapsto 7, u(y) \mapsto evaluate[[y + 1]] u (s[u(y) \mapsto 7])])$   
 $= evaluate[[y]] u (s[u(y) \mapsto 7, u(y) \mapsto 8])$   
 $= (8, s[u(y) \mapsto 8])$

So:

$= execute[[x := E]] u (s[u(y) \mapsto 7])$   
 $= update(s[u(y) \mapsto 8], u(x), 8)$

Therefore:

$execute[[C]] u s$   
 $= s[u(y) \mapsto 8, u(x) \mapsto 8]$

- very different from the following seemingly analogous program:

$C' = y := 7 ; \text{let const } y \sim y + 1 \text{ in } x := y$

$execute[[C']] u s$   
 $= s[u(y) \mapsto 7, u(x) \mapsto 8]$