

## Algorithms CSc — Homework #2

Due: 10/21/02.

1. Question 9.3-3 from CLR (second Addition). **Answer:** We use median-selection to find the pivot of merge sort. Since finding the median of  $n$  elements takes  $\leq cn$  time (for a constant  $c$ ), the running time of the whole algorithm obeys the formula  $T(n) \leq cn + c'n + 2T(n/2)$  (where  $c'n$  is the time needed for the partitioning). The solution of this formula is  $O(n \log n)$ .

2. Question 9.3-9 from CLR (second Addition).

**Answer:** The pipe must pass above exactly  $n/2$  of the wells. If it passes above more than  $n/2$ , then by shifting the line down we decrease its vertical distance to these ones, but decrease the distance to  $< n/2$  lines, so altogether the total distance decreases. An analogous argument holds if it passes above less than  $n/2$  wells.

3. Problem 9-1 from CLR (second Addition).

**Answer:** (a)  $O(n \log n)$ . (b) Takes  $O(n) + O(i \log n)$ . (c) Takes  $O(n) + O(i \log i)$ .

4. You are given a set  $L$  of  $n$  lines in the plane, in a sorted order order of slopes. Show, using a *potentials function* that the running time of the algorithm studied in class for computing the lower envelop of  $L$  is  $O(n)$ .

**Answer:** Assume  $L = \{\ell_1 \dots \ell_n\}$  in sorted order of slopes.

Let  $F_i$  denote the lower envelope of the lines  $\{\ell_1 \dots \ell_i\}$ . Let  $\phi_i$  denote the number of lines on the lower envelope after inserting  $\ell_i$ . If in the  $i$ th stage  $k$  segments of  $F_{i-1}$  need to be scanned, than all but the last one can also be deleted (as argues in class) so  $c_i$ , the actual work at this stage is  $k$ , and  $\phi_i - \phi_{i-1} = 1 - k$ . Hence the amortized time  $\hat{c}_i$  is

$$\hat{c}_i = c_i + \phi_i - \phi_{i-1} = k + (1 - k) = 1$$

5. The standard operations defined on a stack  $S$  are  $\text{pop}(S)$  that returns the element in the top of the tact and remove it from the stack, and  $\text{push}(S, x)$  that pushes  $x$  into  $S$ .

The operation on a queue  $Q$  are  $\text{EnQueue}(Q, x)$  that insert the element  $x$  into the tail of  $Q$ , and the operation  $\text{DeQueue}(Q)$  that returns the element at the head of  $Q$ , and remove it from  $Q$ .

Assume that you are given two stacks  $S_1, S_2$ , and  $O(1)$  memory in addition. Explain how you can support  $O(n)$  operations on a queue, where the only operations done on the stacks are of the type  $\text{push}(S_1, x)$ ,  $\text{pop}(S_1)$ ,  $\text{push}(S_2, x)$ , and  $\text{pop}(S_2)$ , So that a sequence of  $m$   $\text{EnQueue}$  and  $\text{DeQueue}$  operations would require  $O(m)$  operations on the stack.

**Answer:**

Function  $\text{EnQueue}(x, Q)$   
 $\text{Push}(S_1, x)$

Function  $\text{DeQueue}(Q)$   
While  $S_1$  is Not empty Do  
 $\text{push}(S_2, \text{pop}(S_1))$   
Return  $\text{pop}(S_2)$

Each element is inserted into each of the stacks exactly once, so the total time is  $O(m)$ .

6. Problem 17-2 from CLR (Second edition) a,b. Section c is more challenging.

**Answer:**

- (a) Since the number of arrays is  $O(\log n)$ , and search is done by performing a binary search in each, of sizes  $1, 2, 2^2 \dots 2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil}$ , and it takes  $\Theta(\log_2 2^i) = \Theta(i)$  time to perform a binary search in each, the query time is (in the worst case)

$$\Theta \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil} i \right) = \Theta(\log_2^2 n)$$

- (b) To perform insert of a new element  $x$ , create an array of size 1 for  $x$ . Next, we repeat: As long as there are two arrays of the same size, we merge them into an array of double size. We need to merge an array of size  $m = 2^k$  only after  $k$  insertions, and the merge process takes  $cm$  time (for a constant  $k$ ). Hence the time needed for  $n$  insertions is

$$cn + 2c \frac{n}{2} + 4c \frac{n}{4} + \dots + c2^i \frac{n}{2^i} + \dots + nc = cn \log_2 n$$

(where we assume for simplicity that  $n$  is a power of 2. Thus the amortized time for an insertion is  $O(\log n)$ ).

A slightly different way to obtain the same time bound, is to note that an element can be moved from an array of size  $m$  to an array of size  $2m$

*(during a merging process) only once, and so it can be moved at most  $\log_2 n$  times, and each time that an element is transferred to a new array we spend  $c$  time.*

One can obtain the same running time you obtained for this question, but in the worst case setting (i.e. not amortized). The idea is to keep a few copies of the data structure. Once merging of two arrays of size  $m$  is required as a result of inserting a new element, the merging process is divided into small tasks, so that each is accomplished during a sequence of  $m$  operations. Can you show the details here, and prove that the running time is not changed ?

7. Question 17.4-3 from CLR. You can prove the result in any way you choose.
8. Question 27 a,b from the handout on Splay trees. See how you feel about parts c,d.