After all the negative advice given in the last Master Weaver it is about time to say something positive. We cannot conclude that only born geniuses, or those with special art studies have right to weave. But every weaver should make an utmost effort to learn as much about designing as possible in the existing circumstances. We have already discussed the best way, now we shall consider the second best, which should be accessible to every craftsman.

1-st. Discover or select our cultural background.

We take it for granted of course, that the craftsman in question does not come straight from one of those "isolated communities" we have described in the former article. But everybody has some kind of a background which carries memories of crafts and folklore. Even if this background is completely forgotten there are unconscious ties which make it more familiar to us than the arts and crafts of any other country. It may be Pennsylvania Dutch, or Indian of New Mexico, Castillian of California, or Acadian of New Brunswick, Colonial of New England or Creol of the deep South. And then there are European traditions - Scandinavian, Slavic, Balkan, Caucasian, Italian or Spanish.

Even if none of these can be recognised as "our own", we can always find one particular ethnical group, one particular primitive civilisation more appealing than any other.

2-nd. Get acquainted with it.

Once the "background" is found or selected, let us concentrate on it. Learn all there is to learn about this country or period. Start with the landscape, its line and colour in all seasons of the year. Then the original primitive architecture. The relationship between the shape of the buildings and the climat. For instance the pitch of thatched roofs is proportional to the amount of rainfall. More rain means steeper roofs. Wind is another factor which influences the builder. Then the heat and the cold. Thus the "design" of a house is a compromise between many requirements: the materials available, the weather, and the way of life of the inhabitants. Through the centuries the house develops into a form not only logical but beautiful, fitting perfectly into the landscape.
Next come the people. Their history, religion, trades, cooking, drinking, music and dancing. Furniture they used and dresses they wore. Interior decorating. Tools used in work. And learning about their life always ask the question: "why?". As in the case of the pitch of roofs, there is an explanation, a logical answer.

For instance in a certain part of Carpathian Mountains the highlanders make coverlets of pure wool about one inch thick. The design is not unlike Navaho. Why? Because the winters are severe, and the houses built of logs therefore poorly insulated. The only fuel is soft wood, which does not burn long. It gets cold towards the morning. The wool is cheap because it is produced locally. Thus it is easier to weave warm coverlets that to get up during the night and keep the stove running.

To make a very thick coverlet they use very heavy weft hardly spun at all. Thus the weaving is fast, but cannot be done with an ordinary shuttle because of the thickness of the yarn. Then why not use the tapestry technique? And the simplest and most logical designs in this technique are similar to Navaho patterns. The wool is spun "in grease" and it repells water - an advantage when the roof is made of fluted shingles, which are not always watertight, particularly when a heavy downpour comes after a long drought. To make the coverlets stronger they are fulled.

In the same district we find Dutch type stoves, covered entirely with tiles. Each tile is different, each has a picture, and these pictures often form a sequence like comic strips. Why? Dutch stoves because they remain hot longer than any other type. Then the tiles are made locally - therefore they are cheap. They are made by hand and nothing would be gained if all the tiles were identical. Thus every tile is different.

Women do not wear skirts - just aprons. Rather large aprons overlapping one another, so that the final effect is of a skirt. Why? Because all travel in these mountains is done on horseback. And it is easier to mount a horse particularly in cold weather wearing a set of aprons than in a skirt. These aprons are made of fine wool and their number may be adjusted according to the weather. The colours are made with local dyes, and cannot offend the eye, since local dyes are part of the landscape.

Looking closer we discover certain incongruities. For instance the presence of metallic threads in weaving. From purely practical point of view they have no justification. But their source is easy to find. From time immemorial through the mountain passes lead trails frequented by Oriental merchants. The highlanders were too poor to create a market of any importance. But in passing through the country the merchants left, perhaps as a gift for hospitality, some of the Oriental glamour. Fortunately the community was too poor to abuse this "novelty yarn". It just gave a sparkle to the striped woolen fabrics. Instead of corrupting the local style it became integrated.

But the merchants went farther north and west and sold expensive Oriental rugs to the landed gentry. These rugs inspired native weavers. Not that they were copied - but certain motifs
appealed somehow to the peasant craftsmen, and were "translated" into local style. Thus in old "kilims" (flat tapestry rugs) we find a tree - tree of life from India beside a Persian palmetto, and an undoubtedly Chinese "cloud", which in its long travel through many countries lost all resemblance to its meteorological ancestor. The process was so slow and the "translation" so thorough, that this foreign influence did hardly any damage - if anything, it enriched the traditional design, which otherwise might become rigidly geometrical as happened in some parts of Northern Europe.

*******

We gave this lengthy example to explain what we understand by the study of a cultural background. All aspects of life must be taken into consideration if we want to feel about the work of the native weaver the same way as he felt.

3. Learn the traditional designing.

We can now start weaving, remembering that we must stick to certain yarns, colours, and techniques peculiar to the place and epoch we have selected. At first let us copy the most typical traditional articles. This will be a test of our technical abilities. Then we may create new designs still in keeping with the tradition. But let us be very careful and conservative. For instance if we decided that it is the Colonial period where we start, we shall make our own patterns in overshot, but such patterns which would not be laughed at by an 18-th century Bostonian.

Obviously we cannot stop here. No matter how good our weaving is judged by the Colonial standards we could hardly find any market to dispose of it, even if by market we understand Xmas gifts.

A more advanced exercise consists on making adaptations for weaving from patterns used in different related crafts. For instance simple elements of traditional patterns used in tapestry can be adapted to such techniques as Locked Wefts (MW 4/7), or Twice Woven rugs (MW 20/3). Cross stitch can be copied on a draw-loom (MW 13/3), or a pattern harness (MW 7/8). The said patterns must belong to the period and place we have selected and cannot be taken from any other source.

A still further step will be to make our own patterns in the above mentioned techniques. Since they give the weaver much more freedom than the usual formal weaves, the difficulties are greater, and pitfalls more numerous. The patterns should be drawn first without any restrictions as if they were made for embroidery, and then adapted to weaving. By "adapting" we mean transcription into a practical weaving draft, which often means simplification. Even this step is only an exercise, and although it may produce highly artistic results, the weaving will be neither modern nor practical.

4. Modernise the traditional design.

Now comes the second stage. We have the "background" but we must integrate it into the modern way of life. How? In the same way as we acquired are background: by learning about the "modern way of life". Here our task should be easier since we already know quite a lot about this subject.
Books about designing will help at this stage. Except books on so-called "creative" art (as if art could be not creative), which have a rather special approach to the arts in general, and are of little value for applied arts and crafts.

What are the outstanding features of modern designing in crafts? First: simplicity. Second: freedom from routine. Third: functionality. The design must be simple, not necessarily symmetrical, and the finished product must be practical. This last condition does not mean the same as in colonial times. Then anything "practical" was supposed to be everlasting, which is of secondary importance now. We want it to be easy to use, and not too difficult to make.

Plain as these new rules are, they are not so simple when applied. Nothing easier than to make a dissymmetrical draft (freedom from routine) in tightly woven summer-and-winter (functionality) and use only one block of pattern in quiet colours (simplicity). Still the thing may look silly, as if one corner were cut from a large colonial piece. It may be serviceable, but still it is not what we wanted. Where then is the catch?

Here the books will help. Particularly the laws of composition. Geniuses may laugh at them, but we better not.

The catch is in functionality. This term does not mean only that the woven piece is easy to wash, but that there is a relationship between the design and the "function" the article is supposed to perform. Let us take as an example a place mat. It may be a beauty in itself, but how will it look when in use? What if the plate covers the most interesting part of it, the tumbler stands just off a dark square in the left corner, and the spoon is pushed off the mat by a diagonal?

Thus we must learn how to produce a compromise between different requirements. We start with the size of the place mat. This is governed by purely practical considerations such as the size and shape of the table. But the pattern if any is decided upon according to the arrangement of china and cutlery. This cannot be changed at our will - it must be practical, and it already forms a pattern. Unless we leave the surface of the mat completely uniform, our weaving pattern must harmonise with the already existing one. For instance: a large square for the plate, rectangles for forks etc., a small square or two for glasses. This is very obvious solution, even too obvious (fig.1). Or just the contrary: dividing lines between geometrical figures indicate the centers for eating implements (fig.2). Or the lines are tangent to the plates (fig.3). There is a number of possibilities here (figs. 4 to 6), but whichever we choose, the same principle of relationship should be used throughout. Again this is a rule, which may be disregarded by a very experienced designer.

In selecting the weave, yarn, and colours for the mat we shall be enormously helped by our "background". Its limitations will keep us sober, and will reduce the problem to a reasonable number of possibilities.

*******
Our approach to colour should be the same as in case of the pattern. We stick at first to the traditional scheme, or even to a still more limited selection. The best practice in colour composition are stripes. Nearly every country and every period has its striped fabrics, and it is most interesting to watch how their composition harmonises with other patterns and forms. We shall here find plenty of material for further experiments. In the period of transition from the traditional to the modern weaving we shall limit our choice of colours still more.

What we do now depends on how much we have learned so far. But we should always remember that it is safer to exaggerate in moderation, that to abuse the colours.

Yarns to be used are the easiest problem. We should specialise in one or two - the ones characteristic of our background. But with this one or two we should get acquainted thoroughly, starting with the heaviest down to the finest ever made. We must experiment with different twists, and different numbers of plies. We must learn how to combine different counts of yarn in the same woven piece.

Weaves are those which are or were used in the traditional weaving. This does not put us at a disadvantage in any way. Weaves are pliable and there is not a single one which could not be used in modern weaving.

And here we must stop our article. If one knows weaving, knows his yarns, and is familiar both with his background and with the modern life - will know also what to do next.

******

To meet our critics half way, we must reiterate that the advice given here is not for the weavers who successfully studied designing, or to whom it all comes just naturally, or who in one way or another take all the first prizes in exhibitions, but for those who although less fortunate, still do not want to copy, are dissatisfied with the artistic side of their weaving, and do not give up easily.

******
M's-8-O's is a peculiar weave. In its very principle it is not a very practical one, because it presents a danger of "slippage" whenever the blocks of pattern are of any size, even when the floats on the surface of the fabric are short. On the other hand it is a good texture weave. Nevertheless it is extensively used for pattern weaving and seldom if ever for texture.

Let us examine first a classical example of this weave. At the first glance the draft (fig.1) tells us the sad truth: there is no way of getting solid tabby across the fabric, and this is regardless of the tie-up used. Tabby may form squares alternating with a kind of lace, but it won't make a border on all sides of the woven piece. That is, unless we sacrifice one block of the pattern. Then we can have tabby as in fig.2. It can be used for borders, but it is better if it alternates with the lace in the pattern as well. Large areas of "lace" are very unstable and produce holes.

The lace is different from Bronson, Swedish and Huckaback lace. It has floats going in the same direction on both sides of the fabric. But inside between the floats of weft, the warp collects into narrow bunches. This distortion of warp produces curved lines which with a certain amount of imagination can be interpreted as M's or O's.

Practical drafts for the two-block M's-8-O's, and for a single block are given below. In the first case the horizontal border is made of a mixture of tabby and floats (fig.3), in the second - of tabby (fig.4).
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border: 4321.
"lace": 4343432143.
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**Fig.4**
The funny thing about M's-amp-0's is that it can be woven on nearly anything, that is nearly any threading draft. If we alternate several times two opposite treadles, we shall get the M's-amp-0's effect. Besides this we must have plenty of tabby (at least 50%) to keep the fabric from desintegrating.

Perhaps we better explain now what we mean by "opposite" treadles. It is a pair of treadles of which one sinks anything which the other raises, and vice versa. Thus, regardless of threading, a treadle tied to frames 1 and 3 is opposite to the one tied to 2 and 4; 1 and 2 - opposite of 3 and 4, and so on. Here is the list of pairs of opposite treadles in a 4-frame loom:

13 - 24, 12 - 34, 23 - 14, 1 - 234, 2 - 134, 3 - 124, 4 - 123.

If we alternate such two treadles, we may have in result either tabby or M's-amp-0's.

The real trouble with this easiest weave of all is that the floats in weft have no reason to stay in place, unless: 1-st the warp is very close, 2-nd, the weave is very firm (large number of ends per inch), 3-rd, the blocks of "lace" are very small. Thus we shall avoid first of all slippery yarns such as rayon, mercerized cotton, silk, two and three ply linens, nylon etc. We must set the warp close, and beat hard, which means that we cannot hope for a very "lacey" effect. The patterns must have small blocks, or at least large blocks must be divided into smaller units reinforced with tabby.

We have said that M's-amp-0's can be woven on nearly any threading, and here are a few examples.

Overshot (fig.5) gives so little tabby that the fabric must be reinforced by picks of tabby, which would correspond to the vertical stripes only 2 ends wide. The whole effect is more of a texture than of pattern, but for a good texture fabric the blocks in the draft should be very short, and the sett of warp very close.

The same applies to crinkle or summer-and-winter in the following examples (figs.6 and 7). Here the floats are shorter but there is still less tabby in the vertical direction.
From this point of view much better results are obtained with Huckaback (fig. 8) or Bronson (fig. 9). The first gives only one block of pattern, but also tabby on all sides, therefore it is much more satisfactory. The second gives two blocks of pattern plus tabby ground. The two blocks can be woven separately or together as shown on the draw-down. However this is a slightly different kind of M's-&-O's. The floats do not alternate as in Figs. 1, 2, 3, and 8, but are all in the same shed more like in the case of crackle or summer-and-winter.

Swedish lace gives also 2 blocks of the same type as Bronson, and the two blocks can be woven at the same time (fig. 10). The only difference between Bronson and Swedish Lace is that when combining the blocks we have floats in alternate sheds. In our example on fig. 10 the floats are shorter than in Bronson, but the latter can be drafted also with short floats. The only advantage of Swedish lace in this case is that the threading draft is better balanced.

From what we have discussed so we may deduct the following observations:

1-st. The classical draft for M's-&-O's is the worst since it gives tabby only in one direction (vertical on 1,4). Overshot is as bad (tabby in horizontal direction only). The same applies to summer-and-winter and crackle.

2-nd. Huckaback is much better. It gives the same texture as classical M's-&-O's, and tabby in both directions.

3. Swedish Lace and Bronson Lace are the best, because they give two blocks which can be combined, and also tabby on all sides. However the texture here is a little different from the classical draft for M's-&-O's.
Let's take now two practical examples. One of huckaback, and one of Bronson. The first will give one block of pattern in the classical texture and the other two blocks but with floats in one shed.

Huckaback.

We shall start with the profile:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
\text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} \\
\end{array}
\]

It has 36 squares. With 10x10 huck it will give us 360 ends. We may use No.14 single linen set at 30 ends per inch, which will make a warp 12 inches wide. The threading draft will be as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
\text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} \\
\text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} \\
\text{5x} & \text{5x} & \text{5x} & \text{5x} & \text{5x} & \text{5x} & \text{5x} & \text{5x} & \text{5x} & \text{5x} & \text{5x} & \text{5x} \\
\text{1x} & \text{1x} & \text{1x} & \text{1x} & \text{1x} & \text{1x} & \text{1x} & \text{1x} & \text{1x} & \text{1x} & \text{1x} & \text{1x} \\
\end{array}
\]

tie-up: 00  
00  
00  
00  
4321  
"lace"- 1414141423 (B).

To square the pattern we take: A - 3 times, B - once, A - once, B - 5 times, A - once, B - once, A - 10 times, B - once, A - once, B - 5 times, A - once, B - once, A - 3 times.

Bronson.

Here we have two blocks separate or combined. Let us take as profile:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
\text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} \\
\end{array}
\]

It has 40 squares. Since one unit of Bronson has 6 ends, we shall take 2 units per square which will give 480 ends. This set at 32 ends per inch in 16/2 cotton, will give a warp 15 inches wide. The threading draft will be:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
\text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} \\
\text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} \\
\text{4x} & \text{4x} & \text{12x} & \text{4x} & \text{20x} & \text{4x} & \text{12x} & \text{4x} & \text{654321} & \text{4x} & \text{654321} \\
\end{array}
\]

treading: tabby - 565656 (A), 1-st block - 343434 (B), both blocks - 121212 (C). The second block alone is not used in this example.

To square the pattern we take: A - 10 times, C - 4 times, B - 12 times, C - 4 times, A - 20 times, C - 4 times, B - 12 times, C - 4 times, A - 10 times.

********

We have exhausted here all the possibilities of M's & O's for weaving patterns on a 4 frame loom. But when one looks for a more or less disorderly effect of texture weaving, M's & O's particularly in its classical form is very suitable, and presents new potentialities.

********
DRAFTING.

When drawing a profile for weaves which have either no units (overshot), or very short units (swivel, plain spot weave), we can use one of the following methods:

1-st. Select in the threading draft blocks of pattern of such length which could be always subdivided into groups of 4, 8, 12, etc. This is easy in case of swivel but hardly possible with overshot.

2-nd. Make a profile in which one square of the graph-paper will represent only one heddle. This is easy but practical only in case of very small patterns.

3-rd. Make an approximate profile. For instance one square may represent from 2 to 4 heddles, two squares – from 5 to 8 heddles, three squares – from 9 to 12, four – from 13 to 16 etc.

********

1-st method. Let us take swivel as an example. The selected draft will have all blocks subdivided into groups of eight:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\
\end{array}
\]

The profile (8 heddles per square): \( \text{mm}^3 \text{mm}^3 \text{mm} \)

This is of course just a fragment of a draft. A full size profile will be more like this:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc
\end{array}
\]

and the corresponding (condensed) threading draft:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc
\end{array}
\]

Here the profile is hardly any shorter than the threading draft, but it gives a pretty good idea of the pattern, and can be used to study its possible variations.

2-nd method. Here the profile has the same length as the threading draft, and it is never used when the draft can be condensed, or it is used for one repeat of the pattern only. But it may be of some help in transcribing drafts from one weave into another. The profile is made from the first weave, and then each square replaced by one unit of the second weave.
Example of small overshot draft:

```
  x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
    draft

  m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m
    profile
```

and of all-over-spot (Barley Corn):

```
  x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
    draft

  m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m
    profile.
```

3-rd method. This can be applied to any weave, but in most cases the pattern will be slightly distorted both in the profile, and in the draw-down, if any. Let us start with overshot:

```
  x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
    draft

  m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m
    profile.  Here the profile is much shorter than the draft but obviously distorted.
```

Swivel:

```
  x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
    draft

  m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m
    profile.
```

Spot Weave (Bronson):

```
  x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
    draft

  m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m
    profile.
```

The first two methods give profiles which can be used both to draw variations of patterns and to develop them into full threading drafts. The third method is more limited, since the profile cannot be developed into the threading draft with any accuracy. However this is better than nothing. Let us take overshot. Many colonial patterns have up to 500 heddles in one repeat. To make a draw-down of such a draft would require a sheet of paper 2 by 2 yards, or if one can use graph paper with 20 divisions per inch -
- one yard square. When we use the third method however, the size of
the draw-down is reduced four times in each direction or 16 times
in square inches, which makes even the largest patterns quite
practical for drafting.

*******

FROM THE EDITOR.

Our readers may be interested in the opinion which other
subscribers have about our publication. Unfortunately we hear very
seldom from those who continue to read the Master Weaver, except
when they send us complimentary letters. These, although most wel-
come, tell us very little if anything about our shortcomings.
Therefore we decided to make a survey among those weavers who stopped
the subscription. The question was very simple: Why did you stop
reading the MW? There were several suggested answers as well as
an invitation to write us more about it.

The largest percentage (about 25%) found that the technical
level of the bulletin was too high for them. This was to be expec-
ted. Whenever we can we warn new subscribers that MW is published
only for advanced weavers.

Only less than 1% disagreed with our approach to modern
weaving, but even then did not give it as reason for cancelling the
subscription.

But there was a completely unexpected percentage of readers
who stopped subscribing because they were no longer interested in
weaving. This is a complete revelation. It means that about 10% of
all weavers give up after a few years. It would be interesting to
know why. We have a theory on this subject, but this is not the
place to enlarge upon it.

About 10% simply forgot to renew the subscription (after
3 reminders).

But 5% found the Master Weaver too popular, with too little
theory, and too little material for multi-harness weaving. This is
most encouraging. We do not mind at all loosing these readers, even
if we cannot satisfy them.

The remaining 34% gave various reasons mostly personal,
although we feel that some of them unconsciously belong to the first
category.

It would be a good idea if the present subscribers took part
in a similar survey: Is there anything you particularly dislike in
the Master Weaver? Would you like to have articles on subjects so
far never touched upon?

We shall welcome all criticism, and should such criticism
be forthcoming, we shall reserve one page for discussion of brought
up topics.

************