University of Auckland

07.363

Functional and Logic Programming

Christian Collberg

October 7, 1994

Evaluation

Evaluation I – Lectures

Slide 9–1

Slide 9–2

Evaluation II – Lectures

Slide 9–3

Evaluation III – Lecturer

Slide 9–4

Slide 9–5

Evaluation IV – Assignment 1

Slide 9–6

Slide 9–7

Slide 9–8

Evaluation V –Assignment 2

Slide 9–9

Slide 9–10

Comments I - Lectures

- More examples.
- Don't speak to0 fast.
- Christian does a good job!
- I don't understand "cut"!!!
- Too much material is covered.
- Stop picking your nose in class!
- Don't go through examples so fast!
- All right, but please slow down!
- Use computers for variety.
- More explanations!
- Could we have a tutorial?
- Don't just read the transparencies!

Slide 9–11

Comments II - Handouts

- Put them in numerical order
- Hard to follow
- More detail
- Don't help if you don't show up for lectures.
- Too many mistakes
- Save trees!

Slide 9–12

Comments III - Assignments

- Ass 1 was ambiguous
- More directions on how to organize program
- Give us a skeleton to build on
- Should be more related to Prolog, not applications.
- Ass 2 is a major project rather than a slight extension.
- Homework is a good idea
- Very interesting!

Slide 9–13

Comments IV - Assignments

- Ass 2 much too time consuming.
- Don't make the assignments any harder!
- No more than 20 hours of work/assignment.
- Ass 2 is enjoyable.
- Just excellent!
- Too much housekeeping.
- I had no idea on how to start ass 1. The lectures did not prepare me.

Slide 9–14

Comments V - Paper

- 1st half very hard
- Return assignments on time
- What's the objective of the paper?
- Interesting but very difficult.
- Gopher harder but more interesting
- 2nd half more interesting
- Gopher sux.
- Material useful in industry?!
- No complaints.
- Too many assignments
- Too much material is covered.

Slide 9–15