CSc 466/566

Computer Security

9: Man-At-The-End — Tigress

Version: 2014/09/23 15:24:19

Department of Computer Science University of Arizona

collberg@gmail.co

Copyright © 2014 Christian Collberg

Christian Collberg

Get Documentation

Download these slides from

```
http://tigress.cs.arizona.edu/main.pdf
```

Also, get the fib.c program:

```
http://tigress.cs.arizona.edu/fib.c
```

Onsult the Tigress documentation at:

http://tigress.cs.arizona.edu

Get Tigress I

Download from

http://tigress.cs.arizona.edu/#download

- Unzip the .zip-file. You should get a directory named tigress-1.3.
- Set the TIGRESS_HOME environment variable to the directory in which the tigress binary resides. Also put this directory on your PATH.

Get Tigress II

- In the C-shell, you can do

 - > setenv TIGRESS_HOME /PATH_TO/tigress -1.3 > setenv PATH /PATH_TO/tigress -1.3:\$PATH

You can put these in your .cshrc file.

- 2 In the Bourne shell, you can do
 - > export TIGRESS_HOME=/PATH_TO/tigress -1.3 > export PATH= $$PATH:/PATH_TO/tigress <math>-1.3$
- Now try

```
tigress -- help
tigress — options
tigress — version
```

fib.c

```
#include < stdio . h>
#include < stdlib . h>
int fib(int n) {
   int a = 1; int b = 1; int i;
   for (i = 3; i \le n; i++) {
      int c = a + b; a = b; b = c:
   return b:
int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  if (argc != 2) {
      printf("Give one argument!\n"); abort();
  long n = strtol(argv[1], NULL, 10);
  int f = fib(n);
  printf("fib(%li)=%i\n",n,f);
```

Virtualize I

Apply a simple interpreter transformation:

```
tigress — Transform=Virtualize \
— Functions=fib \
— VirtualizeDispatch=switch \
— out=v1.c fib.c
```

2 Try a few different dispatchers: direct, indirect, call, ifnest, linear, binary, interpolation. Are some of them better obfuscators than others? Why?

Virtualize II

1 Try two levels of interpretation:

```
tigress — Transform=Virtualize
— Functions=fib \
— VirtualizeDispatch=switch \
— Transform=Virtualize\
— Functions=fib \
— VirtualizeDispatch=indirect \
— out=v2.c fib.c
```

- 2 Try combining different dipatchers. Does it make a difference?
- Try three levels of interpretation! Do you notice a slowdown? What about the size of the program?

Virtualize III

- Look at the output from one level of interpretation, with switch dispatch. Do you think the instrution handlers would be easy to reverse engineer?
- 2 Try superoperators:

```
tigress — Transform=Virtualize \
— Functions=fib \
— VirtualizeDispatch=switch \
— VirtualizeSuperOpsRatio=2.0 \
— VirtualizeMaxMergeLength=10 \
— VirtualizeOptimizeBody=true \
— out=v3.c fib.c
```

What differences do you notice?

Virtualize IV

- Notice that the instruction handlers all use stack operations. Does that make them easy to analyze?
- 2 Try registers instead:
 - $-\!\!-\!\!VirtualizeOperands \!\!=\!\! registers$
- Or, try mixing registers and stacks:
 - $-\!\!-\!\!VirtualizeOperands \!\!=\!\! registers \ , stack$
- What differences do you notice?

Virtualize V

Do you think the instruction handlers are still easy to identify? How about breaking them up with opaque predicates:

```
tigress — Transform=InitOpaque \
— Functions=main ... \
— VirtualizeMaxOpaque=4 ...
```

2 What differences do you notice?

Virtualize VI

- An add instruction handler could still be identified by the fact that it uses a + operator!
- 2 Try adding a arithmetic transformer:

```
\dots -- Transform=EncodeArithmetic \
--Functions=fib, main ...
```

3 What differences do you notice?

Virtualize VII

- Variable values (such as program counter and stack pointer) are always in the clear. This may help a dynamic analysis.
- 2 Try adding a data transformer to the stack pointer (you may have to look at the source to figure out the actual name of sp):

```
\dots -- Transform=EncodeData \
-- LocalVariables=fib: _1_fib_\$sp \
-- EncodeDataCodecs=poly1 ...
```

What differences do you notice? Is this transformation useful here?

Virtualize VIII

- A virus that uses virtualization would want to hide the virtualized function as much as possible.
- ② Use function splitting to break up the virtualized function:

```
... -- Transform=Split \
-- LocalVariables=fib: _1_fib_\$sp \
-- EncodeDataCodecs=poly1 ..
```

You can play around with the type of splitting to get one that looks good:

```
---SplitKinds=top, block, deep, recursive
```

Strings

Let's get rid of the constant string in main!

```
... - Transform=EncodeLiterals \- EncodeLiteralsKinds=string \- EncodeLiteralsEncoderName=STRINGS\- Functions=main
```

2 Look at the STRINGS function! Easy to analyze, right? Well, apply a virtualization to it!

```
... — Transform = Virtualize \
— Functions = STRINGS ...
```

Flatten I

1 Try flattening the original fib.c:

```
tigress — Transform=InitOpaque \
—Functions=main \
—Transform=Flatten \
—FlattenDispatch=switch \
—FlattenOpaqueStructs=array \
—FlattenObfuscateNext=false \
—FlattenSplitBasicBlocks=false \
—Functions=fib \
fib.c—out=f1.c
```

Flatten II

- Try different kinds of dispatch (switch, goto, indirect).
- 2 Turn opaque predicates on and off.
- 3 Split basic blocks or not.

Virtualize + Split + Flatten

- Now virtualize fib, split out as many parts as possible, and flatten the resulting (smaller) function!
- ② Is it still easy (for a virus scanner, say) to determine that fib has been virtualized?

Other transformations

Look at the documentation for Tigress on

 ${\tt tigress.cs.arizona.edu}$

and try out the remaining transformations!

Diversity

Setting Seed to zero will initialize tigress' random number generator with a different value each time it is run:

```
tigress --Seed = 0 ...
```

When the same two variants of the same program, run with the same transformations, but different seeds?