CSc 520

Principles of Programming Languages

6: Memory Management — Heap Allocation

Christian Collberg

collberg+520@gmail.com

Department of Computer Science
University of Arizona

Copyright © 2008 Christian Collberg

—Spring 2008 — 6

[1]


Interface to Dynamic allocation

C, C++: char* malloc(size) and free(char*) are standard library routines.

Pascal: new(pointer var) and dispose(pointer var) are builtin standard procedures.

Java: new(class name) is a standard function.

LISP: cons creates new cells:



Dynamic Memory Management

The run-time system linked in with the generated code should contain routines for allocation/deallocation of dynamic memory.

Pascal, C, C++, Modula-2 Explicit deallocation of dynamic memory only. I.e. the programmer is required to keep track of all allocated memory and when it's safe to free it.

Eiffel Implicit deallocation only. Dynamic memory which is no longer used is recycled by the garbage collector.

Ada Implicit or explicit deallocation (implementation defined).


Modula-3 Implicit and explicit deallocation (programmer's choice).

520 —Spring 2008 — 6

[2]

Explicit Deallocation

- ▶ Pascal's new/dispose, Modula-2's ALLOCATE/DEALLOCATE, C's malloc/free, C++'s new/delete, Ada's new/unchecked_deallocation (some implementations).
- Problem 1: Dangling references: p=malloc(); q=p; free(p);.
- Problem 2: Memory leaks, Heap fragmentation.



FOO Carino

Memory Leaks

```
DEFINITION MODULE Complex;
                  TYPE T;
                  PROCEDURE Create (Re, Im : REAL) : T;
                  PROCEDURE Add (A, B : T) : T;
               END Complex.
               IMPLEMENTATION MODULE Complex;
                  TYPE T = POINTER TO RECORD
                             Re, Im : REAL; END;
                  PROCEDURE Create (Re, Im : REAL) : T;
                  BEGIN
                    NEW(x); x\uparrow.Re := Re; x\uparrow.Im := Im;
                    RETURN x; END Create;
                  PROCEDURE Add (A, B : T) : T;
                  BEGIN
                    NEW(x); x\uparrow.Re := \cdots; x\uparrow.Im := \cdots;
                    RETURN x; END Add;
               END Complex;
—Spring 2008 — 6
                                      [5]
```

Fragmentation

```
VAR a, b, c, d: POINTER TO

ARRAY [1..1000] OF BYTE;

VAR x: POINTER TO

ARRAY [1..2000] OF BYTE;

BEGIN

NEW(a); NEW(b); NEW(c); NEW(d);

DISPOSE(a); DISPOSE(c); NEW(x);

a b c d x

Heap

1000

Free list:
```

Without compaction the last allocation will fail, even though enough memory is available.


Memory Leaks...

```
MODULE Use;
   IMPORT Complex;
   VAR a,b,c,d : Complex.T;

BEGIN
   a := Complex.Create(1.0, 2.4);
   b := Complex.Create(3.4, 4.0);
   c := Complex.Create(9.4, 6.6);
   d := Complex.Add(a,Complex.Add(b,c));

END Use.
```

Complex.Add(b, c) creates a new object which can never be reclaimed.



520 —Spring 2008 — 6

520 Spring 2009 6

Implicit Deallocation

- LISP, Prolog Equal-sized cells; No changes to old cells.
- Eiffel, Modula-3 Different-sized cells; Frequent changes to old cells.
- When do we GC?
 - **Stop-and-copy** Perform a GC whenever we run out of heapspace (Modula-3).

Real-time/Incremental Perform a partial GC for each pointer assignment or new (Eiffel, Modula-3).

Concurrent Run the GC in a separate process.


Implicit Deallocation...

- Fragmentation Compact the heap as a part of the GC, or only when the GC fails to return a large enough block.
- Algorithms: Reference counts, Mark/ssweep, Copying, Generational.

—Spring 2008 — 6 [9]

Algorithm: Reference Counts...

- Every object records the number of pointers pointing to it.
- When a pointer changes, the corresponding object's reference count has to be updated.
- GC: reclaim objects with a zero count. Circular structures will not be reclaimed.



Algorithm: Reference Counts

- An extra field is kept in each object containing a count of the number of pointers which point to the object.
- Each time a pointer is made to point to an object, that object's count has to be incremented.
- Similarly, every time a pointer no longer points to an object, that object's count has to be decremented.
- When we run out of dynamic memory we scan through the heap and put objects with a zero reference count back on the free-list.
- Maintaining the reference count is costly. Also, circular structures (circular linked lists, for example) will not be collected.

520 — Spring 2008 — 6

[10]

Algorithm: Reference Counts...

```
NEW(p) is implemented as:
malloc(p); p\uparrow.rc := 0;
```

```
p\.next:=q is implemented as:
z := p\uparrow.next;
```

```
if z \neq nil then
    z\uparrow.rc--; if z\uparrow.rc = 0 then reclaim z\uparrow endi:
endif;
p\uparrow.next := q;
q1.rc++;
```

This code sequence has to be inserted by the compiler for every pointer assignment in the program. This is very expensive.

520 Spring 2009 6

Readings and References

- Read Scott, pp. 395–401.
- Apple's Tiger book, pp. 257–282
- Topics in advanced language implementation, Chapter 4, Andrew Appel, Garbage Collection. Chapter 5, David L. Detlefs, Concurrent Garbage Collection for C++. ISBN 0-262-12151-4.
- Aho, Hopcroft, Ullman. Data Structures and Algorithms, Chapter 12, Memory Management.

Readings and References...

- Nandakumar Sankaran, A Bibliography on Garbage Collection and Related Topics, ACM SIGPLAN Notices, Volume 29, No. 9, Sep 1994.
- J. Cohen. Garbage Collection of Linked Data Structures, Computing Surveys, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 677–678.

—Spring 2008 — 6

[13]

520 —Spring 2008 — 6

[14]