Hash Tables
Hash Tables
A "faster" implementation for Map ADTs

Outline

- What is hash function?
  - translation of a string key into an integer
- Consider a few strategies for implementing a hash table
  - linear probing
  - quadratic probing
  - separate chaining hashing
Big Ohs using different data structures for a Map ADT?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Structure</th>
<th>put</th>
<th>get</th>
<th>remove</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsorted Array</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorted Array</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsorted Linked List</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorted Linked List</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binary Search Tree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A BST was used in `OrderedMap<K,V>`
Hash Tables

- Hash table: another data structure
  - Provides virtually direct access to objects based on a key (a unique String or Integer)
    - key could be your SID, your telephone number, social security number, account number, ...
    - Must have unique keys
    - Each key is associated with–mapped to–a value
Hashing

- Must convert keys such as "555-1234" into an integer index from 0 to some reasonable size
- Elements can be found, inserted, and removed using the integer index as an array index
- Insert (called put), find (get), and remove must use the same "address calculator"
  - which we call the Hash function
Hashing

- Can make String or Integer keys into integer indexes by "hashing"
  - Need to take hashCode % array size
  - Turn “$12345678” into an int 0..students.length
- Ideally, every key has a unique hash value
  - Then the hash value could be used as an array index, however,
    - Ideal is impossible
    - Some keys will "hash" to the same integer index
      - Known as a collision
    - Need a way to handle collisions!
      - "abc" may hash to the same integer array index as "cba"
Hash Tables: Runtime Efficient

- Lookup time does not grow when n increases
- A hash table supports
  - fast insertion $O(1)$
  - fast retrieval $O(1)$
  - fast removal $O(1)$
- Could use String keys each ASCII character equals some unique integer
  - "able" = 97 + 98 + 108 + 101 == 404
Hash method works something like...

Convert a String key into an integer that will be in the range of 0 through the maximum capacity - 1
Assume the array capacity is 9997

A string of 8 chars

Range: 0 ... 9996
Hash method

- What if the ASCII value of individual chars of the string key added up to a number from ("A") 65 to possibly 488 ("zzzz") 4 chars max
- If the array has size = 309, mod the sum
  
  390 \% \text{ TABLE\_SIZE } = 81

  394 \% \text{ TABLE\_SIZE } = 85

  404 \% \text{ TABLE\_SIZE } = 95

- These array indices index these keys

  81 \rightarrow abba

  85 \rightarrow abcd

  95 \rightarrow able
A too simple hash method

```java
@Test
public void testHash() {
    assertEquals(81, hash("abba"));
    assertEquals(81, hash("baab"));
    assertEquals(85, hash("abcd"));
    assertEquals(86, hash("abce"));
    assertEquals(308, hash("IKLT"));
    assertEquals(308, hash("KLMP"));
}

private final int TABLE_SIZE = 309;

public int hash(String key) {
    // return an int in the range of 0..TABLE_SIZE-1
    int result = 0;
    int n = key.length();
    for (int j = 0; j < n; j++)
        result += key.charAt(j); // add up the chars
    return result % TABLE_SIZE;
}
```
Collisions

- A good hash method
  - executes quickly
  - distributes keys equitably
- But you still have to handle collisions when two keys have the same hash value
  - the hash method is not guaranteed to return a unique integer for each key
    - example: simple hash method with "baab" and "abba"
- There are several ways to handle collisions
  - let us first examine linear probing
Linear Probing
Dealing with Collisions

- **Collision**: When an element to be inserted hashes out to be stored in an array position that is already occupied.

- **Linear Probing**: search sequentially for an unoccupied position
  - uses a wraparound (circular) array
A hash table after three insertions using the too simple (lousy) hash method

insert objects with these three keys:

"abba"
"abcd"
"abce"

Keys
Collision occurs while inserting "baab"

can't insert "baab" where it hashes to same slot as "abba"

Linear probe forward by 1, inserting it at the next available slot

```
<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;abba&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>&quot;baab&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;abcd&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;abce&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

"baab" Try [81] Put in [82]
Wrap around when collision occurs at end

Insert "KLMP" and "IKLT" both of which have a hash value of 308
Find object with key "baab"

"baab" still hashes to 81, but since [81] is occupied, linear probe to [82]. At this point, you could return a reference or remove baab.
HashMap put with linear probing

```java
public class HashTable<Key, Value> {

    private class HashTableNode {
        private Key key;
        private Value value;
        private boolean active;
        private boolean tombstoned; // Allow reuse of removed slots

        public HashTableNode() {
            // All nodes in array will begin initialized this way
            key = null;
            value = null;
            active = false;
            tombstoned = false;
        }

        public HashTableNode(Key initKey, Value initData) {
            key = initKey;
            value = initData;
            active = true;
            tombstoned = false;
        }
    }
}
```
private final static int TABLE_SIZE = 9;
private Object[] table;

public HashTable() {
    // Since HashNodeTable has generics, we can not have
    // a new HashNodeTable[], so use Object[]
    table = new Object[TABLE_SIZE];
    for (int j = 0; j < TABLE_SIZE; j++)
        table[j] = new HashTableNode();
}

public Value put(Key key, Value value) // TBA
**put**

- Four possible states when looking at slots
  - the slot was never occupied, a new mapping
  - the slot is occupied and the key equals argument
    - will wipe out old value
  - the slot is occupied and key is not equal
    - proceed to next
  - the slot was occupied, but nothing there now removed
    - We could call this a tombStoned slot
    - It can be reused
A better hash function

- This is the actual `hashCode()` algorithm of `Java.lang.String` (Integer’s is...well, the int)

\[
s[0]*31^{(n-1)} + s[1]*31^{(n-2)} + \ldots + s[n-1]
\]

Using int arithmetic, where \( s[i] \) is the \( i \)th character of the string, \( n \) is the length of the string, and \(^\land\) indicates exponentiation. (The hash value of the empty string is zero.)
An implementation

```java
private static int TABLE_SIZE = 309;

// s[0]*31^(n-1) + s[1]*31^(n-2) + ... + s[n-1]
// With "baab", index will be 246.
// With "abba", index will be 0 (no collision).
public int hashCode(String s) {
    if(s.length() == 0)
        return 0;
    int sum = 0;
    int n = s.length();
    for(int i = 0; i < n-1; i++) {
        sum += s.charAt(i)*(int)Math.pow(31, n-i-1);
    }
    sum += s.charAt(n-1);
    return index = Math.abs(sum) % TABLE_SIZE;
}
```
Array based implementation has Clustering Problem

- Used slots tend to cluster with linear probing

Black areas represent slots in use; white areas are empty slots
Quadratic Probing

- Quadratic probing eliminates the primary clustering problem
- Assume hVal is the value of the hash function
  - Instead of linear probing which searches for an open slot in a linear fashion like this
    \[ hVal + 1, \ hVal + 2, \ hVal + 3, \ hVal + 4, \ldots \]
  - add index values in increments of powers of 2
    \[ hVal + 2^1, \ hVal + 2^2, \ hVal + 2^3, \ hVal + 2^4, \ldots \]
Does it work?

- Quadratic probing works well if
  - 1) table size is prime
    - studies show the prime numbered table size removes some of the non-randomness of hash functions
  - 2) table is never more than half full
    - probes 1, 4, 9, 17, 33, 65, 129, ... slots away
- So make your table twice as big as you need
  - insert, find, remove are O(1)
  - A space (memory) tradeoff:
    - 4*n additional bytes required for unused array locations
Separate Chaining

- Separate Chaining is an alternative to probing
- How? Maintain an array of lists
- Hash to the same place always and insert at the beginning (or end) of the linked list.
  - The list needs add and remove methods
## Array of LinkedLists Data Structure

- Each array element is a List

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>“AB” 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>“BA” 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Insert Six Objects

```java
@Test
class TestMyHashTable {  
    @DisplayName("Testing PutAndGet")
    void testPutAndGet() {  
        MyHashTable<String, BankAccount> h =  
            new MyHashTable<String, BankAccount>();

        BankAccount a1 = new BankAccount("abba", 100.00);
        BankAccount a2 = new BankAccount("abcd", 200.00);
        BankAccount a3 = new BankAccount("abce", 300.00);
        BankAccount a4 = new BankAccount("baab", 400.00);
        BankAccount a5 = new BankAccount("KLMP", 500.00);
        BankAccount a6 = new BankAccount("IKLT", 600.00);
        // Insert BankAccount objects using ID as the key
        h.put(a1.getID(), a1);
        h.put(a2.getID(), a2);
        h.put(a3.getID(), a3);
        h.put(a4.getID(), a4);
        h.put(a5.getID(), a5);
        h.put(a6.getID(), a6);
        System.out.println(h.toString());
    }
}
Lousy hash function and \text{TABLE\_SIZE}==11

0. [IKLT=IKLT $600.00, KLMP=KLMP $500.00]  
1. []  
2. []  
3. []  
4. []  
5. [baab=baab $400.00, abba=abba $100.00]  
6. []  
7. []  
8. []  
9. [abcd=abcd $200.00]  
10. [abce=abce $300.00]
With Java’s better hash method, collisions still happen

0. [IKLT=IKLT $600.00]
1. [abba=abba $100.00]
2. [abcd=abcd $200.00]
3. [baab=baab $400.00, abce=abce $300.00]
4. [KLMP=KLMP $500.00]
5. []
6. []
7. []
8. []
9. []
10. []
Experiment Rick v. Java

- Rick's linear probing implementation, Array size was 75,007
  - Time to construct an empty hashtable: 0.161 seconds
  - Time to build table of 50000 entries: 0.65 seconds
  - Time to lookup each table entry once: 0.19 seconds

- 8000 arrays of Linked lists
  - Time to construct an empty hashtable: 0.04 seconds
  - Time to build table of 50000 entries: 0.741 seconds
  - Time to lookup each table entry once: 0.281 seconds

- Java's HashMap<K, V>
  - Time to construct an empty hashtable: 0.0 seconds
  - Time to build table of 50000 entries: 0.691 seconds
  - Time to lookup each table entry once: 0.11 seconds
Runtimes?

What are the Big O runtimes for Hash Table using linear probing with an array of Linked Lists

- get __________
- put __________
- remove __________
Hash Table Summary

- Hashing involves transforming a key to produce an integer in a fixed range \((0..\text{TABLE\_SIZE}-1)\)
- The function that transforms the key into an array index is known as the hash function
- When two data values produce the same hash value, you get a collision
  - it happens!
- Collision resolution may be done by searching for the next open slot at or after the position given by the hash function, wrapping around to the front of the table when you run off the end (known as linear probing)
Another common collision resolution technique is to store the table as an array of linked lists and to keep at each array index the list of values that yield that hash value known as separate chaining.

Most often the data stored in a hash table includes both a key field and a data field (e.g., social security number and student information).

The key field determines where to store the value.

A lookup on that key will then return the value associated with that key (if it is mapped in the table).