Progress Report

Alex Loomis December 7, 2022 Recall the Stein variational descent algorithm:

- 1. Choose a target density f, and a collection of points $\{x_i^0\}_{i=1}^n$.
- 2. Let $\hat{\phi}_{\ell}^*(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma(x_j^{\ell}, x) \nabla_{x_j^{\ell}} \log f(x_j^{\ell}) + \nabla_{x_j^{\ell}} \gamma(x_j^{\ell}, x)$.
- 3. Define recursively $x_i^{\ell+1} = x_i^{\ell} + \varepsilon_\ell \hat{\phi}_\ell^*(x_i^{\ell})$.

We can think about this as a discrete time-step approximation of an interacting particle system, where $\phi^*(x_k)$ is the momentum of x_k . Passing to continuous time, we can define an interacting particle system by

$$rac{d}{dt} x_{\gamma}(t) = \phi_{\gamma}(t),$$

where $\phi_k(t) = \phi^*(x_k(t))$.

Let L_k be a function such that given $X = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ quantiles of a distribution with PDF f, $L_k(X) \approx (\log f)'(x_k)$.

Consider a dynamical system defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum \frac{1}{2} p_k^2 + (\log f)'(x_k)^2 + L_k^2.$$

Hamiltonian dynamical systems obey the equations of motion

$$\frac{dx_k}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k} = p_k$$
 and $\frac{dp_k}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_k}$

We can then relate the particle systems. If they simultaneously govern the same system, the equality

∂Н	dp_k	$d\phi_k$
$-\frac{1}{\partial x_k}$	= <u> </u>	dt

must be satisfied.

The derivative

$$\frac{d\phi_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{n} \sum \frac{d}{dt} \Big[\gamma(x_j, x_k) \Big] (\log f)'(x_j) \\ + \gamma(x_j, x_k) (\log f)''(x_j) \phi(x_j) + \frac{d}{dt} \partial_1 \gamma(x_j, x_k) \Big]$$

Taking the partial derivative of H,

$$rac{\partial H}{\partial x_k} = rac{1}{n} 2(\log f)'(x_k)(\log f)''(x_k) + rac{1}{n}\sum rac{\partial}{\partial x_k}L_j^2.$$

Thus we wish to choose a function L and kernel γ satisfying

$$-2(\log f)'(x_k)(\log f)''(x_k) - \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} L_j^2$$

= $\sum \frac{d}{dt} [\gamma(x_j, x_k)](\log f)'(x_j)$
+ $\gamma(x_j, x_k)(\log f)''(x_j)\phi(x_j) + \frac{d}{dt}\partial_1\gamma(x_j, x_k),$

for every k.

This will perhaps be more manageable if we pick a distribution whose PDF has a simple log derivative, and then attempt to generalize later. If we work with the normal distribution, then $(\log f)'(x) = -x$, and so the previous equation can be rewritten as

$$2x_k + \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} L_j^2 = \sum \frac{d}{dt} [\gamma(x_j, x_k)] x_j + \gamma(x_j, x_k) \phi(x_j) - \frac{d}{dt} \partial_1 \gamma(x_j, x_k).$$

I have had no success in finding L, γ satisfying this.

We could choose instead $(\log f)'(x) = -1$, and so the previous equation would be rewritten as

$$\sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} L_j^2 = \sum \frac{d}{dt} \big[\gamma(x_j, x_k) \big] - \frac{d}{dt} \partial_1 \gamma(x_j, x_k).$$

Restricting n = 2 and choosing $\gamma(x, y) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y-x)^2}$, we can search for an L_k that will satisfy this.

After a lot of arithmetic, we derive that

$$L_x^2 + L_y^2 = (y - x)(y - x - 1)\gamma(x, y)^2 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \operatorname{erf}(y - x) + g(x) L_x^2 + L_y^2 = (y - x)(y - x + 1)\gamma(x, y)^2 - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \operatorname{erf}(y - x) + h(y),$$

for some functions g, h. Subtracting one from the other,

$$h(y) - g(x) = \sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erf}(y - x) - 2(y - x)\gamma(x, y)^2$$
,

which may not be satisfiable.

However,

$$\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erf}(y-x) - 2(y-x)\gamma(x,y)^2 = O((y-x)^3)$$

near x = y, so we'll approximate h(y) = g(x) = 0 and see if that leads to anything useful. Adding the two equations for $L_x^2 + L_y^2$,

$$L_x^2 + L_y^2 = \frac{1}{2}(y-x)^2\gamma(y,x)^2.$$

From the physical interpretation of *L*, we should have that $L_x = -L_y$, so

$$L_y = \frac{1}{2}(y-x)\gamma(y,x).$$

Using the physical interpretation of L again, we will extend this to more than two particles by

$$L_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_k - x_j) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_k - x_j)^2}.$$

To see whether this is a meaningful choice, we can try to verify that

- the function L_k satisfies the desired approximation property, $L_k \approx (\log f)'(x_k)$,
- the same choice can be justified for other target distributions,
- the stable states of the Hamiltonian systems behave like expected, and
- the SVGD algorithm with the given kernel converges to a low energy state of the Hamiltonian system.

Repeating the derivation with the uniform distribution as the target yeilds

$$L_y = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(y-x)\gamma(y,x),$$

which hopefully indicates a dropped factor of -2. This demonstrates the biggest roadblock so far with this approach: there is a lot of involved arithmetic where it is very easy to make difficult to find errors.

References i

Qiang Liu and Dilin Wang. "Stein Variational Gradient Descent: A General Purpose Bayesian Inference Algorithm". In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. Ed. by D. Lee et al. Vol. 29. Curran Associates, Inc., 2016. URL: https: //proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2016/

file/b3ba8f1bee1238a2f37603d90b58898dPaper.pdf.