Protein Secondary Structure Prediction (PSSP) Using **Conditional Random Fields** (CRF)

CSC 696H Fall'22 Project Proposal Presentation (Moyeen Uddin)

Outline

- 1. Problem Definition
- 2. Background
- 3. Graphical Model
- 4. Existing Work
- 5. Proposal
- 6. Evaluation

A Similar problem: Parts of Speech Tagging

Protein Secondary Structure Prediction

Input: A sequence of Amino Acids

```
(eg:
NISQHQCVKKQCPQNSGCFRHLDEREEC...)
```

Output: For each position, a label (from one of 3 or 8 chars)

```
(eg: HETHECGCE.....)
```

Q3: {helix (H), strand (E), and coil (C)} or

Q8: {helix (G), α -helix (H), π -helix (I), β -stand (E), bridge (B), turn (T), bend (S), and others (C)}

Parts of Speech Tagging

Input: Sequence of Words

(eg: "Reality is probabilistic...")

Output: For each word, a parts of speech tag

(eg: <<mark>Noun</mark>> <Verb> <Adjective> ...)

HMM



Some limitations: (1) Fixed transition and emission probabilities, (2) Emission probabilities depend only on one hidden state.

Conditional Random Field (CRF) [esp: linear-chain]



1. A Markov Random Field (MRF)

- a. Hence, good to infer conditional independence structure.
- b. But complication in factorizing the joint probability distribution.
- c. Marginal (P(Y)) can be computed

Discriminative Model: **P(Y|X)**

- 3. Compared to HMM:
 - a. Transition probabilities

depend on position value: i

4. Similarities with Logistic Regression

Feature Functions in a CRF

- 1. The set of input vectors, X
- 2. The position i of the data point we are predicting
- 3. The label of data point i-1 in X
- 4. The label of data point i in X

(These functions can be

linguistic for the POST taks

defined/motivated from domain knowledge.

We define the feature function as:

(or, structural biology in the PSSP task)

 $f(X, i, l_{i-1}, l_i)$

Feature Function

$$P(y, X, \lambda) = \frac{1}{Z(X)} exp\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j} \lambda_j f_i(X, i, y_{i-1}, y_i)\}$$

Where:
$$Z(x) = \sum_{y' \in y} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j} \lambda_j f_i(X, i, y'_{i-1}, y'_i)$$

Probability Distribution for Conditional Random Fields

$$L(y, X, \lambda) = -\log\{\prod_{k=1}^{m} P(y^k | x^k, \lambda)\}\$$

$$= -\sum_{k=1}^{m} log[\frac{1}{Z(x_{m})}exp\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j}\lambda_{j}f_{j}(X^{m}, i, y_{i-1}^{k}, y_{i}^{k})]$$

Negative Log Liklihood of the CRF Probability Distribution

$$\frac{\partial L(X, y, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{-1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} F_j(y^k, x^k) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} p(y|x^k, \lambda) F_j(y, x^k)$$

Where:
$$F_j(y, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(X, i, y_{i-1}, y_i)$$

Partial Derivative w.r.t. lambda

$$\lambda = \lambda + \alpha \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} F_j(y^k, x^k) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} p(y|x^k, \lambda) F_j(y, x^k)\right]$$

Gradient Descent Update Equation for CRF

Label Prediction

- During training, for each input point (x, y), the log-partition function Z has to be recalculated
- 2. During testing
 - a. Global:
 - i. Most Probable Sequence:
 - 1. $\operatorname{argmax}_{y} P(Y | X)$ (eg: with Viterbi Algorithm)
 - b. Local:
 - i. Marginal Probability:
 - P(y_{i} | X): (eg: using sum-product algorithm in factor graph)



Figure 1: The amino acid sequence and its corresponding 3state secondary structure of PDB 154L with UniProtKB accession number (P00718), which consists of 185 residues.

Existing Work

Capturing

- a. Local Pattern
 - i. Convolutional Architecture (CNN)
- b. Global Pattern
 - i. Recurrent Neural Network (RNN)
 - ii. Conditional Random Field (CRF)
 - iii. Or, both!



DeepACLSTM (Guo et al., 2019)

(c) CNN-BiLSTM-CRF



(Asgari et al., 2019)

Motivation

- The input sequence are created in such a way that it encodes long range dependency relationships
- However, the linear-chain CRF model has weaker assumptions that output at position "i" depends only on position "i-1"

Proposal

- 1. Data Pre-processing Focused:
 - a. Using a different encoding for the inputs (based on some heuristic found in existing papers)
- 2. PGM focused:
 - a. Incorporating more structural information into the CRF model formulation by relaxing the linear chain assumption (ie: considering long range edges)
 - i. Something like **General CRF** (but maybe simpler).
 - b. Evaluating how the inference complexity rises as edges are added.
 - c. Finding scope for optimization in the Forward-Backward (ie: Sum-Product) Algorithm. Eg: finding out whether the existing tools doing exact or approximate computation.
 - d. Being ambitious and propose a full Generative Model



Fig. 2.3 Diagram of the relationship between naive Bayes, logistic regression, HMMs, linearchain CRFs, generative models, and general CRFs. (Sutton & McCallum, n.d.)

Evaluation

Existing Codebases:

- Tool: biRNN-CRF
 - a. <u>https://github.com/alrojo/biRNN-C</u> <u>RF</u>
- Tool: CNN+BiLSTM+CRF
 - a. <u>https://github.com/ehsanasgari/De</u> <u>epPrime2Sec</u>

Existing Tools:

- Tool: Training General CRF
 - <u>https://mallet.cs.umass.edu/grmm/</u> <u>general_crfs.php</u>

DataSet and Benchmarks:

- Publicly available (eg: PDB (Protein Database))
- 2. Pre-processed Train-Test dataset from existing prediction tools.
- 3. Benchmark Dataset: CASP10

References:

- Wang, S., Peng, J., Ma, J., & Xu, J. (2016). Protein Secondary Structure Prediction Using Deep Convolutional Neural Fields. Scientific Reports, 6(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep18962
- Johansen, A. R., Sønderby, C. K., Sønderby, S. K., & Winther, O. (2017). Deep Recurrent Conditional Random Field Network for Protein Secondary Prediction. Proceedings of the 8th ACM International Conference on Bioinformatics, Computational Biology, and Health Informatics, 73–78. https://doi.org/10.1145/3107411.3107489
- Asgari, E., Poerner, N., McHardy, A. C., & Mofrad, M. R. K. (2019). DeepPrime2Sec: Deep Learning for Protein Secondary Structure Prediction from the Primary Sequences (p. 705426). bioRxiv. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/705426</u>
- 4. Sutton, C., & McCallum, A. (n.d.). An Introduction to Conditional Random Fields. 90.